

FROM THE LIBRARY OF



MENDEL PETERSON

PET

www.libtool.com.cn

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2013

AMERICAN www.libtool.com.cn JOURNAL OF NUMISMATICS

WITH INDEX

By Author and Subject

Volumes 1-50, (1868-1916)

AND TO THE

PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN NUMISMATIC SOCIETY

1917

VOLUME LI

PUBLICATION COMMITTEE

JOHN REILLY, JR., Chairman

HOWLAND WOOD

SYDNEY P. NOE



PUBLISHED ANNUALLY BY
THE AMERICAN NUMISMATIC SOCIETY
BROADWAY AT 156TH STREET
NEW YORK



CONTENTS

THE SELEUCID MINT OF ANTIOCH	. By	Edwar	'd T.	Newell.	(13	Plat	es)			1
RÉSUMÉ. COINAGE AND M	IINT									129
ADDITIONS AND CORRECTION										136
TABLE OF ISSUES .										137
PRIVATE SILVER COINS ISSUED IN	N THE	Unit	ED S	TATES.	By	Fa	ırran	Zer	be.	
(Portrait and text illustrations.)					•					
THE LESHER OR REFEREN		PIECES								153
THE SPRINKLE DOLLAR										167
swift's money										171
PORTRAIT RELIEFS, MEDALS AND										
By T. Spicer-Simson .	•		•		•	•	•	•	٠	175
INDEX BY AUTHOR AND SUBJECT	то Т	не Ам	ERICAN	Jour	NAL (of N	UMIS	MATI	es,	
Volumes 1-50 (1868-1916),	AND 7	го тне	Proc	EEDIN	GS OF	тні	е Ам	ERIC	AN	
NUMISMATIC SOCIETY. By Sy	dney	P. Noe	•							
FOREWORD										i
INDEX TO JOURNAL .										1
INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS										43

THE SELEUCID MINT OF ANTIOCH

BY EDWARD T. NEWELL

It is surprising that, while much has been written concerning the large and important coinage of the Seleucid sovereigns of Asia, we should still be at such a loss to assign the greater part of this coinage to the mints that were once so active in its production. To be sure, we can easily and correctly distinguish the issues of Sidon, Tyre, Berytos, and Ake Ptolemais — but only because, from the reign of Alexander Balas on, these coins bear such obvious mint marks that they can not be misread. Some of the later issues of Tarsos and Mallos in Cilicia are also distinguishable. But since the appearance of Dr. George Macdonald's two illuminating monographs dealing with certain Seleucid coinages of Asia Minor, little advance has been made and the origin of the bulk of the Seleucid coinage is still an enigma.

At first sight the vast material remaining seems to present almost insurmountable difficulties, but the inducements offered to students and historians to solve the problem are correspondingly many. The Seleucid coinage in particular is closely associated with, and therefore partakes of, the vicissitudes of the many rulers who issued it; its long and splendid line of living portraits—not only of the legitimate scions of the House of Seleucus but also of usurping regents and rebellious satraps such as Achaeus, Timarchus, Tryphon, and others—give it a vital and human interest that is not surpassed in Greek Numismatics. Its many mints and long existence give it a variety of types and of artistic style that is most attractive. While the time is certainly not yet ripe for a pretentious study of the Seleucid coinage as a whole (such as, for instance, has been done by Svoronos for the Ptolemaic series) much can be accomplished in sorting out the issues of various mints or in the intensive study of some one of these mints.

¹ Jour. Hell. Studies, Vol. XXIII, 1903, Vol. XXVII, 1907.

² Dr. Imhoof-Blumer has since published an article dealing with Seleucid coins in the Numismatische Zeitschrift for 1913, but while this is important for new material and its association with types already known, only suggestions are made concerning the actual mints. Rev. Edgar Rogers has also published some Seleucid coins in his collection (Num. Chron., 1912).

It is undoubtedly obvious that the most important and the longest lived of all the Seleucid mints was that of their capital Antioch. Curiously enough no systematic study has yet been made of this mint under the Syrian Kings. If the probably prolific issues of this great commercial and political centre, the heart as well as the head of the Seleucid Empire, could be picked out, gathered together, and studied, not only would a considerable advance be recorded in our knowledge of this particular field, but a long step would be taken towards solving the problems of the remaining mints. The following is an attempt in this direction.

Apologies, however, are necessary for the incompleteness of this work, due partly to the rush of other work but principally to the present war which has not only hastened the publication but, above all, has made it impossible to secure casts of certain important coins in the great public and private collections abroad. Reliance has therefore had to be placed solely upon the catalogues of the Seleucid coins in Paris. London, and Glasgow; the catalogue of the coins in the Hermitage Collection, Petrograd (Jour. Int. Num., Vol. XIII, 1911); various sales catalogues of the past twenty years; catalogues of certain private collections; and finally upon such actual specimens as are to be found in the scattered collections of America. While, therefore, the following list of coins attributable to the great mint of Antioch is far from complete³, perhaps enough have been brought together to give us a more or less clear outline of the issues as a whole, to show their real sequence. and to throw in relief the comparative importance of this coinage and the light it sheds on the history of the times. It is also most unfortunate that we are forced to commence our studies of the Antiochene mint with a coin struck as late as the reign of Seleucus II. This was certainly not the first issue of our mint; its coinage undoubtedly goes back well into the reign of Antiochus I, if not earlier. But the coinages of the earlier sovereigns from Seleucus I to Seleucus II are notoriously obscure and complicated, and they can not be satisfactorily studied and elucidated without the assistance of hundreds of coins and casts. These, it is manifest, can not be secured at the present time. In this article we desire only to deal with coins which can be certainly attributed to Antioch, and as the issues of this mint emerge from chaos

³ Only the gold and silver issues have been treated with any fullness as these are the ones most commonly illustrated in our catalogues. The bronze issues have only been indicated, as it would be impossible to treat of these successfully without seeing and handling the actual specimens. Furthermore, the bronze coins are seldom illustrated in our catalogues.

into a clear and orderly sequence only with the following coin this one must, perforce, form the introduction to our study.⁴

SELEUCUS II, 246-226 B. C.

1 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Seleucus II to right. Circle of dots.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (to r.) ΣΕΛΕΥΚΟΥ (to l.). Apollo, naked, standing to l., holds arrow in outstretched r., and leans with l. on tall tripod. On l., outside the inscription, ξ. On r., outside the inscription, γ.

Newell, Plate I; London, no. 5; Paris, no. 255.

2 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar, and of similar style.

Rev. Similar to preceding. On 1., outside inscription, \mathbf{X} .

Paris no. 256.

The attribution of these tetradrachms to Antioch is dependant upon the similar ones struck by Seleucus III and Antiochus III of which we will treat later. We here see a custom followed which seems to have been more or less prevalent in Greek regal coinages, namely that the issues of the capital or principal mint of a kingdom bore only magistrates' symbols or monograms — but never any mark to designate the particular city at which the piece was strnck.⁵ Thus under the Ptolemies the issues of Alexandria bore only magistrates' marks, but the provincial mints of Cyprus and the Phoenician coast nearly always placed mint marks, in the full sense of this term, upon their coins. So it had been under Alexander the Great. The central mints of Pella, Amphipolis, Sardis, Halikarnassos, Tarsos, Babylon, and Alexandria used no distinctive marks to designate the issuing mint, while, on the other hand, subsidiary mints in Cyprus, Syria, Phoenicia and other localities often did employ real mint marks. The same thing is true of the later Macedonian and Pergamene royal issues. While this may seem a sweeping statement, close inspection will show that it is essentially correct. The few exceptions that from time to time occur only

⁴ The historical notes accompanying this article are based throughout on Bevan, "The House of Seleucus," and Niese, "Die Geschichte der griechischen und makedonischen Staaten." Acknowledgment is here made the assistance afforded by these invaluable works.

⁵ This custom is not confined to ancient times as, for instance, to-day in the United States only the subsidiary mints of New Orleans, Denver, and San Francisco mark their issues, the principal mint, Philadelphia, does not.

tend to prove the existence of such a custom as a whole. It is also not meant to imply that all minor mints were accustomed to mark their coinages. There are innumerable instances where they too used only magistrates' marks; but in general, especially in the Seleucid Empire, they managed by monogram, symbol, or peculiar type to distinguish the local series from the larger mass of the royal issues struck in the great centres of the empire. To reiterate then, in the Seleucid coinage we will find that the mint of Antioch never, until a late period, placed a distinguishing monogram or symbol on its issues, in strong contrast to the smaller, or perhaps more autonomous mints, such as Tyre and other Phoenician cities, which from the time of Antiochus III gradually adopted the custom of using special marks for their coins. This custom was also later followed by mints in Cilicia.

SELEUCUS III, 226-223 B. C.

In 226 B. C. Seleucus III succeeded to his father's dominions, which by now had shrunk to Cilicia, Syria, Mesopotamia, Babylonia, Persis, and Media. Without being able to increase these dominions he died suddenly (probably by poison) in the Summer of 223 B. C.

Such coins issued in his name and bearing his portrait as can be assigned to Antioch are the following:

3 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Seleucus III, with slight indication of a side beard, to right. Circle of dots.

Rev. $\mathsf{BA} \succeq \mathsf{IA} \succeq \mathsf{IA} \succeq \mathsf{IA} \succeq \mathsf{IA} \succeq \mathsf{IA} \mathsf$

London no. 1 (Pl. vii, 6); J. Ward Coll. no. 781 (Pl. xix); Jameson Coll. no. 1682 (Pl. lxxxiv); Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 657 (Pl. xix); Roll. & Feuard. Sale, June, 1913, no. 330, Plate I; Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 575 (Pl. xvii); Hirsch, Weber Sale, no. 4047 (Pl. lvii); Newell (two specimens, one ex. Zschiesche & Köder Sale, April, 1913, no. 690, Pl. ix); Paris, nos. 297 and 298; Coll. Walcher de Molthein, 1895, no. 2913 (Pl. xxv).

4 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Seleucus III Rev. Similar to preceding. On l., outwith beard very evident. Circle of dots. side inscription, Ξ . On r., outside inscription, \square .

Paris, no. 296 (Pl. viii, 11); Egger Sale xli, no. 652, Plate I.

5 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similarvtov preceding.com.cn

Rev. Similar to preceding. On l., outside inscription, \(\mathbf{Y} \).

Paris, no. 299; London (Num. Chron., Ser. IV, Vol. XIV, Pl. viii, 8).

6 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to preceding.

Rev. Similar to preceding. On l., outside inscription, $\mathbf{\xi}$. On r., outside inscription, $\mathbf{\Sigma}\Omega$.

London, no. 2.

That these types belong to the same mint as no. 1 of Seleucus II is evident from similarity in style, and above all by the recurrence of the two characteristic monograms \(\mathbf{z}\) and \(\mathbf{Y}\). The rule of Seleucus III was too short to bring about much change in the personel of the mint. The comparative commonness of the type represented by no. 3 (it is easily the most frequent of all the tetradrachms of Seleucus III) points to the principal mint of this ruler's empire as their place of origin.

ANTIOCHUS III, 223-187 B. C.

For a short time after the sudden death of Seleucus III the succession to the Seleucid throne was under debate. The younger brother of the dead king, Antiochus by name, was at this time in distant Babylonia as governor. Fortunately for him, however, his cousin Achaeus assumed the direction of affairs in the West in his favor until the new king could himself reach the capital and take over the actual rule. As Achaeus had thus declared for Antiochus III from the beginning, and occupied the central portion of the Empire, there is no doubt that coins were struck at Antioch in the latter's name shortly after the reception of the news of the death of Seleucus III. In full accord with this assumption we find a large series of tetradrachms closely bound by style and monograms to those of the dead king and evidently struck in the same mint with little or no interval between their respective appearances.

⁶ The well known coins with the portrait of a young boy and the inscription BAΣIΛΕΩΣ ANTIOXOY have been assigned to this period by Droysen and Babelon and supposed by them to have been struck by an ephemeral and shadowy Antiochus mentioned in an inscription. This attribution has since been discarded—and with right as we shall see.

SERIES I, Circa 223–206 B. C. www.libtool.com.cn

YOUTHFUL PORTRAIT.

a. Beaded Border.

7 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Youthful head of Antiochus III to r., diademed. Ends of diadem hang down. Circle of dots.

Rev. BASINEQS (to r.) ANTIOXOY (to l.). Apollo, naked but for chlamis draped over right thigh, seated to left on omphalos, holds arrow in outstretched r., rests l. on bow. On l., outside inscription, Ψ and \mathfrak{T} .

Bunbury Sale, no. 466, Plate I; Glasgow, no. 3 (Pl. lxv, 7); Newell.

8 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar head but side beard not Rev. Similar to above. On 1., outside visible and one end of diadem flying. inscription, \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} .

Egger Sale xli, no. 659, Plate I; Sotheby Sale, July, 1899, no. 116 (Pl. iii).

9 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Youthful, diademed head of Antiochus III to r. Ends of diadem hang down. Circle of dots. Rev. Similar to preceding. On 1., outside inscription, $\mathbf{\xi}$. On r., outside inscription, $\mathbf{\varphi}$.

Egger Sale xli, no. 663, Plate I.

b. Fillet Border.

10 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Youthful, diademed head of Antiochus III to r. Ends of diadem hang side inscription, ¥ and ¥. down. Fillet border.

Jameson Coll. no. 1686, Plate I.

11 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to preceding. Fillet border. Rev. Similar to preceding. On 1., outside inscription, \(\mathbf{Y}\) and \(\mathbf{\pm}\).

Helbing, Zschiesche & Köder Sale, April, 1913, no. 689 (pl. ix).

12 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar to preceding.

Rev. Similar to preceding. On 1., outside inscription, \mathfrak{X} .

Egger Sale, xli, no. 660; Egger Sale, xli, no. 661; Egger Sale, 1908, no. 577, Plate I; Merzbacher Sale, 1910, no. 823 (Pl. 14); Num. Chron., 1883, Pl. v. 1; London, no. 25; Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, 1895, no. 2920 (Pl. xxv); Amer.

⁷ The gold Oktadrachm in the Hunterian Coll., Glasgow, no. 1, Plate lxv, 6, of this type, is now considered to be a forgery (cast).

Num. Soc.; Rome, Strozzi Sale, 1907, no. 1663 (Pl. xi); A. Cahn Cat. no. 24, no. 763 (Pl. iv). www.libtool.com.cn

13 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. More mature head, high relief. Rev. Similar to preceding. On l., outside inscription, y.

Sardis (Publications of the Amer. Soc. for the excavation of Sardis), Vol. XI, Part I, 1910-14, no. 397 (Pl. i).

14 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to preceding but of lower relief. Beaded border.

Rev. Similar to preceding. On l., outside inscription, y.

American Numismatic Society, Plate I.

15 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to preceding.

Rev. Similar to preceding. On 1., outside inscription, φ and φ . On r., outside inscription, φ .

Newell, Plate I.

This compact series of tetradrachms is closely associated with those of Seleucus II and III by the constant recurrence of the two characteristic monograms \(\mathbf{x}\) and \(\mathbf{y}\), and by the close similarity of their styles. With no. 10 appears for the first time in the Seleucid coinage the fillet border in place of the more usual beaded border. As Babelon, following Cavedoni, has shown, the significance of the fillet on account of the close association between this particular form of fillet and the worship of Apollo is to be referred to the Apolline origin of the Seleucid family. On well preserved Seleucid coins we are able to distinguish the fillet decoration on the omphalos upon which Apollo is seated. It is needless to draw the reader's attention to the great honor in which the worship of Apollo was held at Antioch and to the famous temple and sacred grove of this divinity situated just outside the walls at Daphne. There may be some significance, therefore, in the fact that it is upon the issues which we would attribute to the Antiochene mint that the fillet border first appears.

The portrait of Antiochus III, as found on this series, suits the circumstances of the case very well as we know that he was about eighteen years of age when he was called to the throne. The portrait is evidently that of a young man in his early twenties.

⁸ Babelon, Les rois de Syrie, etc., Introduction, pp. lxxvi, lxxvii.

Running parallel with these silver coins there is also a bronze series containing at least two denominations. The types for the large size are: obv. Head of Antiochus III, rev. Apollo seated; those for the small size are: similar obverse, rev. Apollo standing. The monogram ≇ is found on all of these and, in addition, the letters △ (Paris no. 400), I (Paris, 399, 410, and London 49-51), ⊙ (Paris 398), and without letters (Paris 397). It is not impossible that these letters may form a portion of a series of regnal dates or the indications of numbered issues.

SERIES II, Circa 206-200 B. C.

MIDDLE-AGED PORTRAIT.

a. Elephant type.

16 STATER.

Obr. Diademed head of Antiochus III to r. Ends of taenia hang down. Circle of dots.

Paris, no. 393, Plate I.

17 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Diademed head of Antiochus III to r. Ends of taenia hang down. Fillet border.

Paris, no. 394, Plate I.

18 TETRADRACHM.9

Obv. Similar to preceding.

London, no. 28, Plate I.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (above) ANTIOXOY (below). Elephant to r. On l., ♣, on r.,

Rev. BASINEQS (to r.) ANTIOXOY (to

1.) Elephant with raised trunk to r. In

front, Y, in exergue, A.

Rev. Similar to preceding. On l., $\not\Leftrightarrow$, on r., $\not\vdash$ l.

b. Apollo type.

19 STATER.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus III to right. Ends of taenia hang down. Circle of dots.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (to r.) ANTIOXOY (to l). Apollo, naked but for chlamis draped over right thigh, seated to l. on omphalos, holds arrow in outstretched r., rests l. on bow. On l., outside inscription, φ. On r., outside inscription, [۲].

London, no. 3, Plate II; Hirsch Weber Sale, 1908, no. 4050 (Pl. lii).

⁹ If the rather common drachms of the elephant type are to be taken as an Antiochene issue, as now seems likely, they would form, in style and type, a transition between the tetradrachm no. 13 of the preceding Series, and nos. 17 and 18 of the present Series. The monogram found on these drachms is not unlike that on the Elephant tetradrachms. Specimens of the drachm are to be seen in the following collections: London, nos. 30 (Pl. ii), 31, 32; Paris, nos. 395 (Pl. x, 3), 396; Jameson, no. 1689 (Pl. lxxxv); Newell (two specimens); Petrograd, nos. 268, 269; J. Ward Coll., no. 784 (illustrated); Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), nos. 32 (Pl. lxv, 13), 33.

20 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Head of Wantio Mas above Rev. Similar to preceding. On l., out-except that ends of taenia are flying. Fil-side inscription, y. let border.

Newell, Plate II; Paris, nos. 374 and 375: Bourgey, Rousset Sale, April, 1908, no. 198 (Pl. vii).

21 DRACHM.

Obv. Head as above. Circle of dots. Rev. Similar to preceding. On l., outside inscription, γ.

London, no. 16.

22 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 20. Fillet border. Rev. Similar to no. 20. On l., outside inscription, ♣.

Newell, Plate II: Newell (another).

23 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 21. Border of dots. Rev. Similar to preceding. On 1., outside inscription, \clubsuit .

London, no. 14, Plate II; Paris, no. 392 (Pl. ix, 16).

24 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 20. Fillet border. Rev. Similar to preceding. On 1., outside inscription, TRIPOD (with base).

Newell, Plate II; Paris, nos. 372 (Pl. ix, 11) and 385 (Pl. ix, 13); Bunbury Coll., no. 474 (Pl. iv); Sardis (Publications of the Amer. Soc. for the Excavation of Sardis), Vol. XI, Part I, 1910-14, no. 393 (Pl. i).

In the Numismatische Zeitschrift for 1913, pp. 187, 188, Dr. Imhoof-Blumer has assembled certain of the coins which we have just enumerated, namely nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 and 16 and, on account of the characteristic monograms $\not\equiv$ and $\not\equiv$ which these bear, has rightly enough discerned that the coins in question must be the product of a single mint, and suggests, furthermore, that this mint may have been Antioch. In this we can but agree with him as being the only possible solution because of the great number, not only of varieties but of actual specimens that have come down to us. In proceeding from the point at which Dr. Blumer left off and studying the succeeding series of coin issues which appear for every reign throughout the remainder of Seleucid history we will see that only Antioch could have been the source of such an unbroken sequence of coinages. When, however, Dr. Blumer

would see in the monograms * and * something else besides magistrate's marks because, as he states, the coins bearing these cover a period of fifty years or more, we find it impossible to follow him. error lies in computing the years over which these two monograms must stretch by counting in the full reigns of both Seleucus II and Antiochus III, that is from 246 to 187 B. C.—a matter of some fiftynine years. We have seen, however, that the two monograms in question only appear upon the coins of Seleucus II just before his death in 226 B. C. They then continue through the reign of Seleucus III (226-223), and through the first period (circa 223-206) of Antiochus III when his portrait is still that of a comparatively young man. At this point \(\mathbf{t}\) drops out, but \(\mathbf{y}\) appears again, but alone, during the next period which ends about 200 B. C. and whose coin issues show Antiochus III as a man of middle age — that is about thirty-five to forty years of age. In other words the two magistrates signing themselves # and y respectively were in office, the one not longer than from say 228 to about 206 B. C., the second from 228 to about 200 B. C. at the longest, an extended but never-the-less far from impossible tenure of office. Dr. Blumer appears to be mistaken in considering the features of Antiochus III on the later coins (our nos. 16 and 20) as those of an elderly man and therefore to be referred to the end of his reign. can be seen on our plates the features of Antiochus on this Series (nos. 16 to 24) are still full and vigorous and without the trace of a single wrinkle. They would seem to be those of a man of strong personality and in the prime of life, perhaps some thirty-five to forty years of age, which fits in well with the dates assigned to these coins. A much older portrait will be found in the next series.

Looking closely at the coins which comprise Series II we see that it is but a continuation of Series I. We find a slightly older head, the same fillet border of the latest coins of the previous series, the characteristic monogram \(\frac{7}{3}\), and in \(\frac{7}{3}\) a slight variant of the monogram \(\frac{7}{3}\) seen on no. 15. The elephant stater, no. 16, certainly belongs to our mint on account of the monogram \(\frac{7}{3}\). It is therefore likely that the two tetradrachms nos. 17 and 18, with the same reverse type of an elephant, were struck here as well. In confirmation of this we should note the close similarity of style and workmanship between the obverses of these two remarkable pieces and the obverses of nos. 20, 22, and 24. The placing of the commencement of Series II in the year 206/205 B. C. is due to the sudden and ephemeral appearance of the elephant

for the reverse type. This animal would be the most natural emblem that could be selected to celebrate what Antiochus III was pleased to call his subjugation of Bactria and India. His achievements in the East undoubtedly made a great impression upon his contemporaries by whom he was henceforth called the "Great." There were no doubt great celebrations held at Antioch when news arrived of his successes, the acknowledgment of his suzerainty by Euthedemus and Sophagasenus, and the statement that the Bactrian king (and perhaps the Indian as well, though our historians do not say so) had turned over to the Seleucid army a large force of Indian elephants. These remained the pride and strength of the Seleucid armies until, in later years, when disasters had befallen the Empire, Rome saw fit to send a commission to Syria with the express purpose of destroying or rendering useless all elephants collected at Apamea the Seleucid arsenal.

With no. 24 monograms disappear for a time from the coinages of our mint, their place being taken, by symbols. The style and appearance of this piece is too similar to the preceding numbers to separate it from them.

The custom, first brought out in this series, of using the fillet border only for the tetradrachms, is to be noted; the smaller sized denominations, such as the stater and drachm, still use the beaded border.

SERIES III, Circa 200-187 B. C.

OLDER PORTRAIT.

25 GOLD OKTADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus III to r. Ends of taenia flying. Fillet border.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (to r.) ANTIOXOY (to l.). Apollo, naked but for chlamis draped over right thigh, seated to left on omphalos, holds arrow in outstretched r., rests l. on bow. On l., outside inscription, ROSE.

London, no. 1, Plate II.

26 TETRADRACHM.10

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, BOW IN CASE.

London, no. 27, Plate II; Paris, nos. 378, 380, and 381; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), nos. 10 and 11; Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 580 (Pl. xvii).

¹⁰ To this issue may belong Paris no. 373, with symbol: CORNUCOPIAE. As I have not seen the piece it has not been included. No. 582 in Egger Sale of Jan. 7, 1908, Plate xviii, with doubtful symbol in field, probably also belongs here.

27 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar libtool.com.cn

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, BOW IN CASE. In exergue, A≥K.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 12, Plate II; Paris, no. 379.

28 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, BOW IN CASE. In exergue, ACK.

Newell, Plate II.

29 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, HORSE'S HEAD.

Newell, Plate II.

30 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, ANCHOR.

Newell (formerly Hirsch, Weber Sale, no. 4056), Plate II; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 14 (Pl. lxv, 10); Egger Sale xlv, no. 753 (Pl. xxi).

The coins of Series III, as a glance at our plates will show, are but a continuation of the later coins of Series II. The only differences to be noted are the ageing of the king's features, and the general adoption of a symbol in the place of a monogram to designate the officiating mint magistrate. On nos. 27 and 28 an additional magistrate places his initial letters in the exergue. These letters ASK have, not surprisingly, been thought by numismatists to indicate a mint at Ascalon in Palestine. But if we attribute these two pieces to Ascalon we will have to also assign all the coins nos. 19-30 to this mint as well, which is manifestly absurd. The indication of mints by letters or symbols on Seleucid silver issues did not become general until the time of Alexander Balas, although from the end of the reign of Antiochus III the Tyrian mint is indicated by a club. So far as I know this is the only mint throughout the reigns of Antiochus III, Seleucus IV, Antiochus IV and V, and Demetrius I that placed any direct allusion to locality on its silver coinages. We can not separate nos. 27 and 28 from the remainder of this Series as they are all too closely bound together by style and appearance.

The features of Antiochus on these coins show, as stated above, a distinct advance in age over those of the previous Series.

The most interesting of these coins is the gold oktadrachm no. 25. Although it is unlikely, as has been suggested, that this large coin (a very rare denomination in the Seleucid series and only struck under Antiochus III) has anything to do with the famous despoiling of the temple of Anaïtis at Ecbatana at the commencement of the great Eastern expedition, we may perhaps attribute its appearance to the mighty preparations for the war against Rome. Or, with more likelihood, we may see in it an attempt to meet the demand for such pieces in the Phoenician cities after their removal from the Egyptian domination. Under the second and third Ptolemies, and at times under the later ones, many gold oktadrachms (of Phoenician weight) were struck in the mints of Sidon, Tyre, Ake-Ptolemais, Gaza, and Joppa. When these mints failed to strike any specimens of this particular denomination the mints of Cyprus and of Alexandria seem to have continued to do so. The inhabitants of these Phoenician cities would have grown accustomed to the use of a large denomination in gold and it might therefore have been in an attempt to conform with this usage that certain Seleucid mints proceeded to strike what was to them an unaccustomed piece. The apparent age of the features on our particular specimen would point to about the commencement of the second century B. C. as the date of striking. As by 197 B. C. the Phoenician coast was firmly in the possession of Antiochus this possibility is at least worth considering.

SELEUCUS IV, 188/7-176/5 B. C.

After the storm and stress of the glorious as well as disastrous reign of Antiochus III, surnamed the Great, the years of Seleucus IV, his son, passed in comparative peace and quiet. It is a period given up to the rebuilding of the Seleucid power, a power shaken and strained in every fibre of its being by the wars and turmoil, the victories and defeats of the past thirty years. This may have been a time of peace as it was not of prosperity—the losses of the colossal and far-flung wars of Antiochus were too great, the country groaned beneath the tribute which successful Rome had demanded of the defeated Empire. According to Polybius twelve thousand talents was the yearly stipend to be paid for the duration of twelve years. Theoretically this great assessment would have been completed by 178 B. C., but the bankrupt kingdom was unequal to the task and so we find that in the reign of

Antiochus I Nothe unsettled portions of the indemnity were still being paid.

31 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Seleucus IV to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (to r.) ΣΕΛΕΥΚΟΥ (to l.). Apollo, naked but for chlamis draped over right thigh, seated to l. on omphalos, holds arrow in extended r., rests l. on bow. On l., outside inscription, STAR.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 1, Plate II.

32 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar, but border of dots.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, filleted palm branch.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 10 (Pl. lxvi, 4).

33 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar, but filleted border.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, WREATH-BEARING NIKE.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 2; Paris, no. 472.

34 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l. outside inscription, FILLETED PALM BRANCH.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 4: Paris, no. 469.

35 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, FILLETED PALM BRANCH. In exergue, 4.

Newell, Plate II; Paris, nos. 464, 465; Petrograd, no. 307 and (?) 308; Sotheby, Guzman Sale, 1914, no. 112 (Pl. vi); Rome, Strozzi Sale, 1907, no. 1666 (Pl. xi).

36 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar, but with a circle of dots. Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, PALM BRANCH. In exergue, 4.

Paris, no. 467.

37 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 35.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, FILLETED PALM BRANCH. In exergue, M.

London, no. 9; Paris, no. 470; Amer. Num. Society, Plate III; Petrograd, nos. 311 and 312.

38 TETRADRACHM.

w.libtool.com.cn

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, FILLETED PALM BRANCH. In exergue, Φ .

Paris, no. 468; Egger Sale, Jan. 7, 1908, no. 586, Plate III; London, no. 11.

39 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, PALM BRANCH and WREATH. In exergue, 4.

London, nos. 12 and 13, Plate III; Paris, nos. 462 and 463; Hirsch, Rhousopoulos Sale, no. 4450 (Pl. ly); J. Ward Coll., no. 785 (Pl. xix); Egger Sale, xli, 1912, no. 671 (Pl. xix); Newell; Amer. Num. Soc.: Petrograd, nos. 305 and 306; Egger Sale, Jan. 7, 1908, no. 587 (Pl. xviii); Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, 1895, no. 2928 (Pl. xxv); Sotheby, Cumberland Clark Sale, 1914, no. 264 (Pl. vii); Hirsch, xxxiii, 1913, no. 894 (Pl. xxi); Egger Sale, xlvi, 1914, no. 2443 (Pl. xxxix).

40 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, FILLETED PALM BRANCH and APLUS-TRE. In exergue, 4.

London, no. 8; Paris, no. 466; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 8, Plate III; Petrograd, no. 309.

41 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, PALM BRANCH. In exergue, P.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 6.

The coinage of Antioch under Seleucus IV follows closely the model set by the last issues of Antiochus III. We see the magistrate's symbol in the field on the left outside the inscription; the fillet border on the obverse¹¹ for the tetradrachms; the circle of dots for the drachm; the same high relief for the head; the comparatively low relief for the reverse. With no. 35 and following, a magistrate's monogram is found in the exergue, while the symbol still continues in the field.

In contrast to the coinages of Antiochus III the issues of Seleucus. as a whole, seem to be divided between only three or four mints at most. The coins which we here attribute to Antioch easily predominate in number of varieties as well as in number of actual specimens. The issues of the supplementary mints of Tyre, Seleucia on the Tigris,

¹¹ Nos. 32 and 36 are the only exceptions.

and possibly one or two others, are scanty in comparison. Under Antiochus III, on the other hand, while the issues of Antioch are very plentiful and outnumber those of any other one mint, they do not comprise the bulk of his coinage by any means. This is undoubtedly due to the fact that Antiochus' reign was a long one; that his many and great campaigns kept him in the outlying portions of his empire which must have thrown a large part of the burden of coinage for military purposes upon the provincial mints rather than upon Antioch; and lastly his empire was of wide extent comprising many great and populous provinces with their capitals in which, because of the great distance from Antioch, and for reasons of economy which this fact involved, would be struck all money for local needs. When Seleucus came to the throne the empire had shrunk once more to Cilicia, Svria, Phoenicia, and the provinces to the East including Media. The new reign was not a warlike one and its energies were spent in trying to meet the financial drain of the huge indemnity exacted by Rome. It is most natural, therefore, that the coinage should have been principally minted at the capital and greatest city of the kingdom, Antioch.

ANTIOCHUS IV, EPIPHANES, 176/5-165/4 B. C.

The principal activity in the reign of Seleucus IV seems to have been the raising of money to meet the terrible drain of the Roman indemnity. The man who was charged with the thankless task of making the people meet this obligation was a certain Heliodorus, a citizen of Antioch. Not content with the great power he had thus secured he aimed higher and caused the assassination of Seleucus. At this time there was residing¹² in Antioch a younger¹³ son of Seleucus, and him Heliodorus caused to be proclaimed king. But there were other and more powerful claimants. After the disastrous battle of Magnesia and the peace terms dictated by the victorious Romans, the younger son of Antiochus III, also named Antiochus, had been sent to Rome as a hostage. A short time previous to the unexpected end of Seleucus IV, at the request of the Roman Senate he sent his own son Demetrius as hostage in place of Antiochus. The latter set out for the East, but on his way stopped at Athens where he seems to have spent some time. It was here that the news of his brother's sudden death reached him.

¹² Diodorus xxx 7, 2.

¹³ The oldest son, Demetrius by name, was at this time a hostage in Rome.

He first went to Asia Minor where he was warmly received by Eumenes king of Pergamum who caused him to be crowned with the royal diadem. A powerful army was also placed at his disposal with which to drive out the usurper and such persons as refused to see in him the rightful king of Syria. Details of the campaign are lacking but by the Summer of 175 B. C. we find Antiochus IV safely installed as the Seleucid king in Antioch. The little Antiochus, son of Seleucus IV, was done away with, his minister Heliodorus disappeared.

SERIES I, Circa 176/5–170/69 B. C.

a. Portrait of Antiochus IV.

42 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus IV to r. Ends of diadem hang down. Fillet border.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (to r.) ANTIOXOY (to l.). Apollo, naked but for chlamis draped over right thigh, seated to l. on omphalos, holds arrow in outstretched r., rests l. on bow. On l., outside inscription, Lyre. In exergue, Δ.

Paris, no. 514, Plate III; Bunbury Coll., no. 488 (Pl. iv); Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 2; Petrograd, no. 329.

43 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On r., outside inscription, Lyre. In exergue, 4.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 3 (Pl. lxvi, 8).

44 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, TRIPOD. On r., outside inscription, LYRE. In exergue, 4.

London, nos. 3 and 4, Plate III.

b. Portrait of young Antiochus.

45 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of the young Antiochus to r. Ends of diadem hang down. Fillet border.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (to r.) ANTIOXOY (to l.). Apollo, naked but for chlamis draped over right thigh, seated to l. on omphalos, holds arrow in outstretched r., and rests l. on bow. On l., outside inscription, TRIPOD. In exergue, ⊢.

Newell (formerly Hirsch, Philipsen Sale, no. 2901), Plate III; London, no. 1; Paris, no. 329; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 1 (Pl. lxvii, 1).

46 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, TRIPOD. In exergue, Δ .

Jameson Coll., no. 1683, Plate III; J. Ward Coll., no. 782 (Pl. xix): Petrograd, no. 383; Sotheby, O'Hagan Sale, 1908, no. 652 (Pl. xi).

47 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, TRIPOD. In exergue, A.

Paris, no. 327.

48 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, TRIPOD. In exergue, A.

Paris, no. 328; Petrograd, no, 384 (slight variation in monogram).

49 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, TRIPOD. In exergue, \(\Gamma\).

Paris, no. 326.

50 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, TRIPOD. In exergue, \mathcal{A} .

London, no. 2; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 2.

51 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, TRIPOD. In exergue, B.

London, no. 3, Plate III; Paris, no. 330 (Pl. viii, 17); Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 3.

52 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, TRIPOD. In exergue, Δ .

Newell, Plate III; Paris, no. 325.

53 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, TRIPOD. In exergue, &.

Petrograd, no. 382.

The first issue of Antiochus IV at Antioch follows the style and appearance of the last issues of Seleucus IV. A symbol is seen in the

left field, while the same monogram, 4, as on Seleucus' coins, is in the exergual space.

The coins (nos. 45-53) which bear the childlike features of the young Antiochus, being of unusual character, have been the occasion of much conjecture and controversy and have, in the last fifty years, been assigned to no less than four different persons. Gardiner in the British Museum Catalogue, and Babelon in the Paris Catalogue, have both followed Droysen and given these coins to an Antiochus, son of Seleucus III, known to us only from an inscription. He could have reigned for only a very short time in 223 B.C., that is, in the perturbed period that intervened between the death of Seleucus III and the general acknowledgment of Antiochus III as king. Both Niese¹⁴ and Bevan¹⁵ have discarded this attribution. In fact, style and monograms are both fatal to it. These coins have nothing in common with the known issues of Seleucus III, the fillet border does not appear till the reign of Antiochus III, the monograms and symbol are not common to the coinages of previous rulers or to the first issues of Antiochus III, and, finally, the very existence of this ephemeral personage is doubtful.

It has also been suggested that these coins were struck in honor of the young son, Antiochus by name, whom Antiochus III left behind him as regent in Antioch when he embarked upon his eastern adventures in 212 B. C. I was at first somewhat inclined to follow this theory until a close inspection of the issues of the young Antiochus and of Antiochus III showed more divergence than similarity between them. In the first place the fillet border on the coins of the third Antiochus is large and boldly cut, on those of the little Antiochus it is far less prominent and of very different character; in the second place the reverse surface of the former's coins is flat, of the latter's coins it is distinctly concave; thirdly the two series have not a single monogram in common, and fourthly the symbol TRIPOD, which is also found on certain coins of Antiochus III for Antioch (see no. 24), varies in the two coinages—in the one it stands upon a base, in the other it does not. Finally the fact that the little son of Antiochus III was ten years old when his father left him regent in Antioch would seem fatal to the attribution of these coins to him. The portrait they bear is distinctly that of a very young child not more than two or three years of age. If we forced ourselves to accept this attribution we would have to admit that the coins, because of the childishness of the features, could only have been

¹⁴ Niese, loc. cit., vol. II, p. 777, note to p. 172.
¹⁵ Bevan, loc. cit., I, p. 300, note 3.

struck when Autiochus first left Antioch. In other words these pieces must have been struck nearer 212 B. C. than 200 B. C. But for this date their style is too late. Turn now to our Plate III where they have been placed following the coins issued by Seleucus IV. At once the close similarity between these two series becomes evident. Bevan has already drawn attention to the great likeness which exists between the features of Seleucus IV and this little Antiochus. We should also note the same concavity of the reverses, the similarity in treatment of the fillet border, and lastly the fact that the monograms \vdash and \triangleleft are common to both series.

Historical reasons, however, do not permit us to attribute these pieces to the reign of Seleucus, for, although we know he had a second son Antiochus by name, he never made him co-regent, and the eldest son (and therefore heir to the throne) bore the name Demetrius, and was at this time a hostage in Rome. It does not seem likely that coins would be struck with the portrait of a second son unless he had actually been proclaimed a partner in the government.

Bevan¹⁷ would see in these enigmatical pieces issues by the revolting Heliodorus in the name of the little Antiochus whose father he had just murdered. But the fatal objection to this theory lies in the fact that the time during which this Antiochus could have ruled before the arrival of Antiochus IV who claimed the succession and soon made good this claim, was far too short to allow the coin production from one mint to be so great as we know it to have been in this case. The coins with the infant's portrait are too common, consist of too many varieties of dies and monograms, and are of too careful workmanship to make it at all probable that they could have been issued during the few weeks of turmoil and uncertainty that intervened between the death of Seleucus IV and the arrival of Antiochus IV.

Another theory, put forward by Dr. Macdonald in the Jour. Hell. Stud., 23, 111 ff. and Cat. of Hunterian Coll., p. 53, is that these coins were struck by Antiochus IV in honor of his little son Antiochus who later became king and known as Antiochus V Eupator. This theory has been followed here as best fitting both the historical and numismatic evidence at our disposal. It is furthermore supported by the evidence certain Babylonian contract tablets of this period furnish us. The earliest¹⁸ tablet we possess of the reign of Antiochus IV is dated on the

¹⁶ Loc, cit., Vol. II, p. 126, note 1.
¹⁷ Bevan, loc, cit., Vol. II, p. 126, note 1.

¹⁸ Clay, Legal Documents from Erech, N. Y., 1913, Introd., page 14.

22nd day of the 2nd month, 138th year Aer. Sel. (= 174 B. C.) and is in the name of the object of the object of the name of the object of the Antiochus IV and his eldest son Antiochus. The latest one we have with the two names is for the 29th day, 10th month, 142nd year, or 170 B. C. The present writer has in his possession another tablet dated the 6th day, 2nd month, 144th year (or 168 B. C.) but with only the name of a single Antiochus. This would seem to show that another tablet published by Dr. Clay and dated 12th day, 6th month, year 146 (or 166 B. C.) and also only bearing the single name Antiochus is to be assigned, not to Antiochus V Eupator as has been thought, but, because our literary sources speak of Antiochus IV reigning until 165/4 B. C., to Antiochus IV. In other words we gather from the evidence furnished by these Babylonian tablets that Antiochus IV associated his infant son with himself in the Government as early as 174 B. C., but by 168 B. C., at the latest, this arrangement, for some reason or other, had been discontinued in favor of his sole kingship. Now it is to just this period of double power that it seems best to assign the earliest tetradrachms which bear the portrait of the elder Antiochus, as well as all those which bear the infant's head. The two series are identical in style and fabric and bear in common the symbol TRIPOD. Probably in conjunction with this series were issued the following bronze coins which have for their obverse type a draped and veiled female bust, for their reverse type an elephant's head to left. All of these pieces have as symbol a TRIPOD, as on our silver issues, and, in addition, the following letters, ΘΕΚ (Hunter), Ω (Hunter), IA (Hunter), Η (Hunter), Α (Paris, Plate x, 12), A (Paris, no. 487), and one with same types but a serrated edge and letter \triangle (Hunter, no. 55). It is to be noted that not only have all these coins the same tripod symbol found on the silver issues but many of them have similar letters or monograms. Bronze coins of similar style and fabric are also to be found struck for Seleucus IV. Therefore the attribution to this period seems corroborated. Now that we also have such a numerous series of bronze coins to place alongside of the tetradrachms with the child's head the possibility of their having all been struck by the shadowy Antiochus, second son of Seleucus IV, in the short time he is supposed to have ruled, rapidly vanishes and we are only left with the alternative of the first years of the joint reigns of Antiochus IV and his son Antiochus.

SERIES II, Circa 169-167 B. C.

www.libtool.com.cn a. Regular issues.

54 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Diademed head of Antiochus IV to r. Ends of diadem hang down and are adorned with STARS. (On some specimens these are not visible being off the flan.) Fillet border.

Rev. BASIAEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) Θ EOY | $E\PiI\Phi$ ANOYS (to l.). Zeus, naked to waist, seated to l. on high-backed throne, holds Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre. In exergue, Φ .

London, no. 15, Plate III, also nos. 13 and 14; Paris, no. 531; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), nos. 21 and 22 (Pl. lxvi, 13); Amer. Num. Soc.; Petrograd, no. 343; J. Ward Coll., no. 786 (Pl. xix); Bunbury Coll., no. 491 (Pl. iv); A. Cahn Sale, xxxv, 1913, no. 210; Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 590 (Pl. xviii); Sotheby, Ashburnham Sale, 1895, no. 211 (Pl. iv).

55 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Ends of diadem adorned Rev. Similar. In exergue, Σ with stars.

Paris, no. 533, Plate III: Petrograd, no. 344.

56 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Ends of diadem adorned Rev. Similar, but without monogram or with stars.

Newell, Plate IV; London, nos. 11 and 12: Paris, no. 532; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), nos. 23 and 24; Amer. Num. Soc.; Jameson Coll., no. 1697 (Pl. lxxxv); Hirsch, Weber Sale, 1908, no. 4066 (Pl. liii).

The peaceful reign of Selencus now began to bear fruit and the Selencid Empire once more became a powerful factor in Eastern affairs. Particularly was this the case when Rome became involved in a mighty trial of strength with the Macedonian kingdom of Perseus. Antiochus was thus, to a certain extent, freed from the watchful and ever suspicious eye of Rome and did all that lay within his power to build up and perfect the Syrian army and navy. So successful was he in this that when the Egyptian kingdom, with remarkable lack of judgment and a poor understanding of conditions, attempted to assert the old claim to Coele-Syria and Phoenicia (lost to her under Antiochus III) Antiochus IV in two short and equally successful campaigns routed the Egyptian armies, seized the country from Memphis to the Sea, laid siege to Alexandria and all but captured it. At the last moment Rome, having successfully dealt with Persens and being in no mind to see Egypt subject to Antiochus, intervened and forced a peace between the two kingdoms. Antiochus was thus cheated of his prize but gained immense prestige.

It was during these Egyptian campaigns that the preceding coins were probably wstitickl. coase the Babylonian contract tablets show us Antiochus was now reigning alone and so the coins bear only his portrait.

The new types which were now introduced in the Seleucid coinage by Antiochus IV are interesting as they are so intimately associated both with the events of the time and with the personality, political aims, and aspirations of Antiochus himself. Brought up in Rome, inspired by Greek learning and ideals, quickened by his sojourn in Athens, the intellectual metropolis of all true Hellenes, Antiochus IV considered himself the foremost champion of Hellenism in the East. He attempted to imbue his oriental subjects with this spirit, to conform their customs to this ideal, and more or less to standardize their innumerable local cults to one common form of worship. To focus their worship on some Hellenic deity, as near like the local divinities as possible, was his solution of the problem. Zeus Olympios, the nearest to the innumerable Baals or Baalim that had come down from the earliest days in every township of the kingdom, therefore became the leading and, one might say, the official god of the Empire. Not content with this, Antiochus seems to have identified himself with Zeus, to have presented himself to the people as the "effulgence in human form of the Divine, a god manifest in the flesh." For it is thus that he styles himself upon these new coin issues: Θεὸς Ἐπιφανής, the God manifest. Most appropriately the type chosen for the tetradrachms was that of Olympian Zeus, now become the great Divinity of the Seleucid empire, to whom Antiochus had commenced the erection at Athens of a magnificent and colossal temple but destined never to be finished until the time of Hadrian. On the citadel at Antioch another sumptious temple, adorned with plates of gold, was dedicated to Jupiter Capitolinus; in the great temple of Apollo at Daphne a statue as near like the masterpiece of Pheidias at Olympia was set up; the temple of Jehovah in Jerusalem was rededicated to Zens Olympios; the temple to Jehovah in Shechem in Samaria to Zeus Xenios. To make his own divine nature evident upon the coinage, Antiochus caused stars to be placed upon the ends of his diadem on the silver issues, and divine rays about his head on the bronze. Upon the reverses of the new tetradrachms is placed a close copy of the Zens Olympios of Pheidias, the God seated in divine splendor holding a wreath-bearing Nike in his right hand,

¹⁹ See above, page 21.

²⁰ Bevan, loc. cit., Vol. II, page 154.

the God whose representative upon earth Antiochus claimed himself to be, the God who was to be the supreme divinity, the composite of all local gods, the emblem of the Syrian kingdom.

There are also bronze coins, consisting of two denominations, that appear to belong to this period. The larger has the radiated head of Antiochus on the obverse, and a standing figure of Zens holding a sceptre on the reverse (B. M. Cat. Pl. xii, no. 6). The smaller, denomination has a similar head upon the obverse and upon the reverse an advancing figure of Apollo holding a bow in his outstretched left and drawing an arrow from the quiver at his shoulder with his right (B. M. Cat. Pl. xii, no. 8). The specimen of this latter type in the writer's collection, corroborates the attribution to Antioch, as these types are restruck over an older coin with a veiled female bust on the obverse and an elephant's head upon the reverse — a coin which we have seen (page 21) is to be attributed to this mint for the first part of Antiochus' reign. The inscription on these two denominations is the same as on the tetradrachms, that is BAΣIΛΕΩΣ ANTIOXOY ΘΕΟΥ ΕΠΙΦΑΝΟΥΣ. style of the head is also identical with that of the silver issues. Because of similarity of style and types the municipal issues of Antioch (fig. 1) with the inscription ANTIOXEΩN TΩN ΠΡΟΣ ΔΑΦΝΗΙ (B. M. Cat. nos. 61 to 71) should also be assigned to about this period. The tripod, which appears as an adjunct symbol on many of these bronze pieces should be compared with the same symbol on the tetradrachms of Series I.





Fig. 1.

SERIES II, Circa 168 B. C. b. Commemorative Issues.

57 DRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus IV
to r. Ends of diadem hang down and are
adorned with STARS. Border of dots.

Rev. BASIAE Ω S | ANTIOXOY (to r.)

OEOY | EΠΙΦΑΝΟΥΣ (to l.). Eagle standing to r. on thunderbolt.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 51, Plate IV.

58 BRONZE (Denomination I).

Obv. Head of Zeus-Serapis to r. wearing laurel wreath. Border of dots.

Paris, Pl. xiii, no. 14.





Fig. 2.

59 BRONZE. (Denomination II).Obr. Head of Zeus-Serapis as on no. 58.London, Pl. xii, no. 11. (Fig. 2.)

Rev. Inscription and types as on no. 57.

Rev. Inscription and types as on no. 57.

Rev. Inscription and types as on no. 57.





Fig. 3.

60 BRONZE (Denomination III).

Obv. Bust of Isis wearing corn-wreath ending above in symbol of Isis. Circle of dots.

London, Pl. xii, no. 12. (Fig. 3.)



Fig. 4.

61 BRONZE (Denomination IV).

Obv. Radiated head of Antiochus IV to
r. Border of dots.

London, Pl. xii, no. 13. (Fig. 4.)

A full list of the known specimens of these most interesting coins can be found in Svoronos Τὰ νομίσματα τῶν πτολεμαίων. The drachm no. 57 is the key to the time and place of minting. Comparing it with the tetradrachms as illustrated on Plate IV it will be seen that it is of identical style and fabric. Particularly noticeable are the two STARS which adorn the ends of the diadem—the characteristic emblem of this issue. Following the custom prevalent since the time of Antiochus III the drachm has a beaded border, leaving the fillet border to the tetra-

drachms. The reverse type of the eagle standing upon the thunder-bolt of Zeus serves, perhaps, a double purpose. On the one hand, being the well-known symbol adopted by the Ptolemies of Egypt for their far-travelling coins, its sudden and passing appearance upon the coins of Antiochus IV no doubt celebrates the contemporary triumphs over the Egyptian kingdom, on the other hand it is but a condensed form of the type of Zeus Nikephoros of the tetradrachms, and is therefore emblematic of the great Hellenic god, now the leading divinity of the Seleucid kingdom.

Accompanying this drachm and belonging to the same mint and date is the well-known series of bronze coins in four denominations described above under nos. 58 to 61. On account of their several types, which offer a definite and undoubted allusion to the victorious Egyptian campaigns of Antiochus IV, these pieces have ever been thought of as having been struck in Egypt itself. There are, however, many objections to this view which, when taken together, would seem fatal to its final acceptance. In the first place it is evident that these bronze coins cannot be separated from the drachm no. 57, as they resemble it in style and particularly in absolute identity of their inscriptions and reverse type. This drachm, however, as we have seen above, is too closely allied to the tetradrachms nos. 54, 55, and 56 to be thus easily separated from them and attributed to a distant mint in an alien country. The bronze coins themselves are comparatively common (Svoronos has altogether enumerated as many as 107 pieces) while Antiochus' stay in Egypt was of short duration, and sufficient opportunity to issue so many coins (which there undoubtedly were, since they furnish us. with so many examples) would seem to be lacking. Furthermore, if these coins had been struck in Egypt²¹ and distributed to the people, as has been supposed, they would have been principally used in that country and consequently found there to-day. Of the seven specimens in the writer's collection every one came to him from sources other than Egyptian, and this though on three separate occasions he spent several months in Egypt diligently collecting many thousands of coins. This is not meant to imply that these particular varieties are never found in Egypt — but that the writer has never seen any there or has never heard of any having been found there. In addition, four of the seven pieces in his collection were secured from definitely Syrian sources, another shows a patina (shiny black surface with reddish colored

²¹ Babelon, Introduction, page c.

earth adhering) which is peculiarly Syrian and once seen can never be mistaken; and as a whole, none of them show an appearance or patina generally associated with coins found in Egypt. Of the two specimens in the Yale collection of this type one was secured in Constantinople and the other came by purchase from Syria (probably Marash). There are several specimens in the collection of Prof. Torrey at Yale whose collection was formed in Jerusalem and the Syrian coast. Of all the 107 specimens enumerated by Svoronos only two are mentioned as belonging to an Egyptian collection, but not therefore necessarily found in Egypt. In other words, with such material as is available at the present time, no reference can be found of coins of this type having ever been unearthed in Egypt. In comparing these pieces with contemporary issues of the Egyptian mints no similarity except, in a general way, in types and weights, can be found. Their fabric is utterly unlike anything known for Egypt, but closely approaches the bronze issues we know to have been struck at this time in Syrian mints. The only coin that can definitely be assigned to Egypt for the period of Antiochus' sojourn there is the unique piece in M. Dattari's (Svoronos, loc. cit., Vol. II, p. 234, no. 1422, Pl. xlviii, 7) collection which by style and types is undoubtedly of Egyptian origin, but utterly unlike the pieces now under discussion. Because both style and fabric of these four remarkable bronze coins are so at variance with a coin which we know to have certainly been struck in Egypt at this time, because such records of provenance as happen to be at our disposal point to Syria and not to Egypt, and because of the difficulties of time and opportunity, therefore our conclusion must be that the bronze coins nos. 58-61 can not possibly have been struck in Egypt. We must even go further and definitely assign them to the mint at Antioch because of the drachm no. 57. This piece can neither be separated from the bronze coins because of type and inscription, nor from the tetradrachms nos. 54-56 because of style, inscription, and the star adorned diadem. These tetradrachms, finally, can only be assigned to Antioch because of sequence of style and the continued appearance of the characteristic monogram 4 on the preceding Antiochene issues of Seleucus IV and Antiochus IV. as well as the succeeding issues of Antiochus IV, Antiochus V, and Demetrius I. The entire issue, on account of its unusual types and denominations, was evidently a commemorative one to celebrate the victories won over the Ptolemaic armies in 169 and 168 B. C.

SERIES III, Circa 167–165/4.

www.libtool.com.cn Commemorative Issues for 167 B. C.

62 GOLD STATER.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus IV to r. Ends of diadem hang down. Fillet border.

Rev. BASIMEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ΘΕΟΥ | ΕΠΙΦΑΝΟΥΣ (to l.) ΝΙΚΗΦΟΡΟΥ (in exergue). Zeus Olympios enthroned to l., naked to waist, legs placed parallel, holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre.

Paris, no. 534, Plate IV: London (dotted border)=Num. Chron., Ser. IV, Vol. XII, 1912, no. 27 (Pl. vii, 11).

63 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Laureated head of Zeus to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BASINERS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ΘΕΟΥ | ΕΠΙΦΑΝΟΥΣ (to 1.) ΝΙΚΗΦΟΡΟΥ (in exergue). Zeus Olympios as on preceding coin. . The figure of Nike is sometimes to r. as on the tetradrachms of the previous issues, sometimes to l. as on the remainder of the coins of this issue.

Paris, no. 544, Plate IV, nos. 545 and 546; London, no. 22 (Pl. xi, 9); Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 50; Newell; Egger Sale xli, no. 684 (Pl. xix); Jameson Coll., no 1700 (Pl. lxxxv), formerly Sotheby, Rome Sale, no. 165; Petrograd, no. 349.

64 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Laureated head of Apollo to r. Fillet border.

Rer. BASIAEQS | ANTIOXOY | OEOY (to r.) ETTIΦANOYS | NIKHOPOY (to l.). Apollo Kitharoedos to r., in long robes, holding lyre in l., and patera in outstretched r.

Jameson Coll., no. 1699, formerly Hirsch, Weber Sale, no. 4069, Plate IV; Paris, no. 547 (Pl. xii, 12).

65 HEMIDRACHM.

Obv. Radiated and diademed head of Antiochus IV to r. Border of dots.

Rev. BASINEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ΘΕΟΥ | ΕΠΙΦΑΝΟΥΣ (to l.). Medusa head upon Aegis.

Paris, no. 529, Plate IV, no. 530; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 27; Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, 1895, no. 2935 (Pl. xxv).

66 DIOBOL.

Obv. Similar to preceding.

Rev. Inscription as on preceding coin. Tripod on base.

London, no. 10, Plate IV.

b. Regular Issues for 167–165/4 B. C. www.libtool.com.cn

67 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus IV to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ΘΕΟΥ | ΕΠΙΦΑΝΟΥΣ (to l.) NIKHΦΟΡΟΥ (in exergue). Zeus Olympios, naked to waist, one end of chlamis draped over left shoulder, legs parallel, holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre. On l., outside inscription, φ.

London, nos. 17 and 18; Paris, no. 536 (Pl. xii, 10); Newell; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 47; Sotheby, American Artist Sale, 1910, no. 119, Plate IV; Egger Sale, Jan. 7, 1908, no. 591 (Pl. xviii); Coll. Walcher de Molthein, 1895, no. 2934 (Pl. xxv); Rome, Strozzi Sale, 1907, no. 1669 (Pl. xi).

68 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $1 \ge$.

London, no. 16; Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 674 (Pl. xix).

69 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, &.

Newell, Plate IV.

70 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, ightharpoonup.

Sotheby, Butler Sale, no. 250, Plate IV; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 48; Paris, no. 539.

71 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, \bigcirc .

Amer. Num. Soc.

72 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, \square .

Paris, nos. 535, 537 and 538; Petrograd, no. 345.

73 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, M.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 49 (Pl. lxvi, 18); Egger, Sale xli, no. 682 (Pl. xix).

In the Spring of 167 B. C. Antiochus, having safely returned from his Egyptian campaigns, sent messengers and deputations throughout the Greek world, inviting all and sundry to attend the magnificent games and other festivities shortly to be held near Antioch in honor of his recent achievements. Antiochus spared no expense to make this celebration a unique one of its kind. Our historians dilate upon the magnificence of the processions, the sumptuonsness of the costumes and trappings, the extravagance of the displays and spectacles attending the festivities. It was undoubtedly on this anspicious oceasion, to add to the general display and perhaps to furnish keepsakes for the countless visitors from distant lands, that Antiochus caused the commemorative series of coins to be struck which we have collected under nos. 62 to 66. On these coins we see the title νικηφόρος added to the already formidable and grandiloquent array, a title distinctly and unmistakably alluding to the great victories achieved in Egypt, and furnishing us with the final evidence needed to connect these coins with the celebrations of 167 B. C.

As the games and festivities were held ostensibly in honor of Apollo, the supposed progenitor of the House of Seleucus and its patron god, and of Zeus Olympios Nikephoros, now the chief divinity of the Seleucid empire, the scene of the celebration was most appropriately the beautiful grove and great temple of Apollo at Daphne, just outside the walls of Antioch, Here was located the famous colossal statue of that god, the work of Bryaxis, made of gold and wood with head and arms of marble. In this temple, too, Antiochus as we know, had shortly before erected a close copy of the chryselephantine statue of Zeus at Olympia, the masterpiece of Pheidias. The special types chosen for the tetradrachms of the commemorative issues perpetuate these two famous statues. On no. 63 we see the laurel crowned head of the Olympian Zeus with his smiling and benignant features, on the reverse of the same coin is displayed the entire statue of the god enthrough, holding out in his hand a wreath-bearing Nike. On no. 64 we see on the obverse the head of the Apollo statue, on the reverse the statue itself, Apollo Kitharoedos in long robes, holding lyre and patera.

Small coins of rather unusual denominations, for the Seleucid series, seem also to have been struck. On nos. 65 and 66 the king's head, surrounded with the divine rays, adorns the obverse, the reverse type of the hemidrachm is Athene's aegis adorned with the Gorgo head, perhaps similar to one in gold set up by Antiochus in Athens;

the reverse type of the diobol is Apollo's tripod. These two small denominations have been associated here with the larger pieces of special type because of the peculiarity of their types and because of the tripod which would seem to connect the smaller of the two, at least, with the Apolline tetradrachm. On both of these pieces the title νικηφόρος has been omitted, perhaps on account of lack of room. In the bronze coin with the reverse type of the Aegis, illustrated in the British Museum Catalogue, Pl. xii, no. 7, we have a contemporary issue in this metal. To the writer, however, it seems quite probable that at the time of the great celebrations at Antioch the special issues in bronze with peculiarly Egyptian types (described above under nos. 58 to 61) continued still to be issued. Their types are so especially appropriate to an occasion particularly celebrating the Egyptian victories of the king that their issue at this time would seem most likely. They have been catalogued under the immediately preceding period only because the silver drachm (no. 57) — from which they can not well be separated — belongs by style and fabric and by the star adorned diadem, to the coins of Series II, and because the inscriptions on all of these pieces omit the title νικηφόρος which is the particular characteristic of Series III. Because their types were so peculiarly appropriate to the celebrations of 167 B. C. it is quite possible that their issue was continued. In support of this it must be noted that we have no bronze coins at all of Antiochus IV inscribed with the νικηφόρος title. It is reasonable to suppose, however, that bronze coins were issued after 167 B. C.; and, if so, that it was these particular pieces because of the very appropriateness of their types celebrating the Egyptian victories.

The gold stater no. 62 has been assigned to the commemorative series because of the νικηφόρος title it bears and because, after the reign of Antiochus II, the appearance of gold issues in the Seleucid series always has something of the unusual about it. To augment the ostentation and sumptuous display of the great occasion, what better symbol of his wealth and power could Antiochus devise than to strike and distribute specimens of the beautiful gold stater seen on Plate IV, with the king's portrait on the obverse and Zeus Nikephoros enthroned upon the reverse?

Throughout this third period of coinage tetradrachms of the usual type continue also to be struck. They vary from those of Series II only in the fact that stars are no longer visible on the diadem ends, that the title νικηφόρος is always found in their exergues, and that the legs of the

Zeus figure are always parallel and not crossed as they were in the previous issue. While the commemorative issues appearing at Antioch in the summer of 167 B. C. bear no magistrate's letters or monograms, the regular coinage, continuing from this date to the end of Antiochus' reign, display them as usual. Among them we find ♠ and ▷ of Series II and also a new one ℍ, whose owner is destined to hold office through several succeeding reigns.

ANTIOCHUS V EUPATOR, 164-162 B. C.

The unexpected and unfortunate death of Antiochus IV brought his young son to the throne, a child of but nine or ten years of age. He is known to history as Antiochus V and was given the surname Eupator.

At this time, it must be remembered, there still resided at Rome the son of Seleucus IV, Demetrius by name. But Demetrius in 175 B. C., by the swift action of his uncle Antiochus IV in hastening from Athens to Asia where he was immediately proclaimed king, had been forestalled in claiming the succession to the Seleucid throne. This prince, now grown into an ambitious and capable young man of twentythree, enjoyed the friendship of many very influential Romans (among them our principal historian of this very period, Polybius) and formed a striking figure in the life of Rome. He naturally looked askance at what would seem to him the usurpation of a throne which by all rights was his. He sought, by every means at his command and with the help of his influential friends, to induce the Senate to acknowledge him king in the place of the boy Antiochus Eupator. The Senate, however, preferred to see the Selencid kingdom at a disadvantage under the rule of a mere child, and so rejected Demetrius' petitions or returned evasive answers, while at the same time keeping a strict watch over his movements. In the meanwhile, in Syria, affairs were more or less in constant turmoil due to the machinations of various wouldbe regents and ambitious generals, each trying to turn to his own advantage the weakness of the child ruler, Antiochus; while serious rebellion in Judea and incipient revolt in Antioch kept matters in suspense.

To add to this, there arrived at Antioch in the year 163 B. C. Gnaeus Octavius, ambassador from Rome, sent to watch Seleucid

affairs, to block any attempt to increase the kingdom's power, and if possible and with the batterests of Rome to destroy the elephants or any other asset of material strength the Syrian kingdom might still possess. So well and so harshly did Octavius follow the Senate's behest that he soon raised a storm of popular indignation against himself, in the course of which he was suddenly assassinated, an event which naturally brought Syria and Rome to the brink of war. At this juncture the young Demetrius seized the opportunity to escape from Rome—a dramatic incident vividly related to us by Polybius who himself was among the conspirators. Demetrius arrived safely in Palestine, and was enthusiastically welcomed by the people, now wearied with continual wars and the troubles due to the conflicting ambitions of various generals uncurbed by the too youthful Antiochus. Demetrius soon swept all before him and Antiochus V was murdered while trying to oppose him just outside the walls of Antioch, 162 B. C.

The short coinage at Antioch of the boy-king Antiochus V, is represented by the following coins:

74 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus V to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (to r.) ANTIOXOY (to l.) EYΠΑΤΟΡΟΣ (in exergue). Zeus Olympios, as on the issues of Antiochus IV, enthroned to l., naked to waist, one end of chlamis over shoulder, holds wreathbearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre. On l., outside inscription, Δ.

London, no. 3, Plate IV, also no. 4; Sotheby, Butler Sale, no. 253 (Pl. vi); Egger Sale, Nov., 1909, no. 421 (Pl. xv); Petrograd, nos. 386 and 387; Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, 1895, no. 2944 (Pl. xxvi); Hirsch, xxxiii, 1913, no. 899 (Pl. xxi); Sotheby, Cumberland Clark Sale, 1914, no. 266 (Pl. vii); Sotheby, Ashburnham Sale, 1895, no. 212 (Pl. v).

75 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, Π .

London, nos. 5 and 6, Plate IV; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), nos. 4 and 5; Newell; Bunbury Sale, no. 495, now Jameson Coll., no. 1701 (Pl. xxvi); Paris, nos. 695 and 696 (Pl. xv, 9); Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 686 (Pl. xix); Hirsch xxv, Philipsen, 1909, no. 2902 (Pl. xxxiii); Hirsch, Weber Sale, 1908, no. 4072 (Pl. liii); Amer. Num. Soc.; Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 594 (Pl. xviii); Petrograd, no. 385; Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, 1895, no. 2945 (Pl. xxvi).

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, ΔI .

Newell, Plate IV; Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 595 (Pl. xviii).

77 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, H.

J. Ward Coll., no. 787, Plate IV.

77a TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar (same die as Plate IV, 77). Rev. Similar. In exergue,

Electrotype in author's collection, present whereabouts of original unknown.

78 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar, but border of dots.

Rev. Similar.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 6 (Pl. lxvii, no. 2)

The coinage for Antioch, comprising the above varieties, struck in the name of Antiochus V Eupator very closely follows the last coinages of his father in style and fabric. The two magistrates 4 and \square were also continued from the preceding issues.

DEMETRIUS I SOTER, 162-150 B. C.

Immediately after establishing himself firmly upon his throne at Antioch, Demetrius proceeded to reconquer the Babylonian provinces which had fallen away from the kingdom under the preceding reign. He soon overcame Timarchus, who had seized the Diadem, and was enthusiastically welcomed by the populace of Seleucia on the Tigris who hailed him as Saviour. It was here, in about the year 160 B. C., that Demetrius first received the title of Soter.

SERIES I, Circa 162–156 B. C. a. Early Issues 1.

79 TETRADRACHM.

Ohr. Young head of Demetrius, diademed, to r. in laurel-wreath circle.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (to r.) ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ (to l.). Tyche, naked to waist, seated to l. on cippus adorned with two winged monsters whose bodies end in scrolls or fishes tails, holds sceptre in outstretched r. and cornucopiac in l. On l. outside inscription, Δ.

Bunbury Coll., no. 499, Plate V: Newell (same obverse and reverse dies as preceding specimen. The monogram ♠ has been recut over another, perhaps ☒).

Obv. Similar.www.libtool.com.cn

Rev. Similar, but Tyche (also naked to waist) seated on throne, only one leg of which is to be seen. This has form of a winged lion's leg. On l., outside inscription, Δ .

J. Ward Coll., no. 788, Plate V.

81 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar, but Tyche is completely draped and leg of throne has the form of a winged monster whose extremities end in scrolls. On 1., outside inscripsion, \prod .

Newell; London, no. 33, Plate V; Paris, no. 709; Petrograd, nos. 391 and 392; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 4; Hirsch Sale xix, Nov., 1907, no. 599, Plate V.

b. Early Issues 2.

82 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Demetrius as Rev. Tyche as on no. 81. On l., outbefore but of lower relief.

Newell, Plate V; Egger Sale xlvi, 1914, no. 2448 (Pl. xxxix).

NOTE. — Probably the tetradrachm of Demetrius I, no. 29, on page 47 of the British Museum Catalogue, should be inserted here because it appears to bear the same monogram as our tetradrachm no. 77a (Antiochus V). As the attribution to Antioch would also depend upon the style and fabric of the piece, it has not been included here because of the impossibility of securing a cast at the present time.

83 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 82.

Rev. Tyche as on no. 81 but of smaller proportions. On l., outside inscription, Δ .

Newell, Plate V; London, no. 32; Petrograd, no. 397 (without casts of these last two pieces it is impossible to determine whether they belong here or under nos. 79 and 80).

84 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 82. Rev. Similar to no. 83. In exergue,

Newell, Plate V; Paris, no. 710; Petrograd, no. 393; London, no. 34; Coll. L.
Walcher de Molthein, 1895, no. 2949 (Pl. xxvi): Hirsch xix, 1908, no. 599 (Pl. xv).

85 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 82. Rev. Similar to no. 83. In exergue, A, Glasgow (Hunterian Coll., Pl. lxvii, no. 4), no. 5; Paris, no. 715.

e. Later Issues.

86 TEWWAYDIBAGOHADM.cn

Obr. Older head of modified style and Rev. Similar to no. 82. On 1., outside higher relief.

Cumberland-Clark Sale, 1914, no. 269, Plate V.

87 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, \bowtie or \bowtie .

Amer. Num. Soc., Plate V; Paris, nos. 712 and 713; London, no. 27; Petrograd, no. 394.

88 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, \square .

Paris, no. 717; Merzbacher Sale, Nov., 1910, no. 826 (Pl. 14).

89 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. No monogram.

Newell, Plate V: London, no. 25.

90 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscrip-

tion, Ax.

Hirsch, Sale xxxiii, 1913, no. 901 (formerly Egger, Sale Jan. 7, 1908, no. 596) Plate V.

91 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar to no. 89. On 1., outside inscription, \bigcap .

Paris, no. 711 (Pl. xvi, no. 3); London, no. 31.

92 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, Al.

Newell, Plate V; London, no. 26; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 2.

93 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On I., outside inscription, EY.

Newell, Plate VI.

94 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. In exergue, El.

Newell, Plate VI.

The mint at Antioch, upon the arrival of Demetrius, immediately began the coming of tetradrachms bearing the portrait, name, and types of the new king. The two magistrates A and M, who had officiated under Antiochus V, were evidently left in office and display their monograms upon the new coinage. There are several reasons for placing nos. 79, 80, and 81 at this particular point and so seeing in them the first coinages in Antioch of Demetrius. In the first place his features are more youthful than on any of his other coins; the figure of Tyche on nos. 79 and 80 is undraped to the waist, a peculiarity not found on any of the later coinages and therefore most likely to occur at the commencement when details of the type had not yet become fixed; the throne too, has not yet become stereotyped, for on no. 79 we see what appears to be a cippus decorated with the winged monsters which by no. 81 have become the sole support of Tyche and therefore unexplainable without this coin to serve as a prototype; and, finally, the same magistrates are functioning as under the preceding reign. On the obverse, instead of the fillet border as on the coinages of Antiochus III to V, we find a laurel wreath border. This innovation may perhaps be more or less directly due to the final successes which Demetrius gained over his adversaries just outside the walls of Antioch. It is quite possible that he actually attributed his victory to Apollo. For it must be remembered that Apollo had been, par excellence, the patron divinity of the Seleucid dynasty down through the reign of Seleucus IV. Antiochus IV Epiphanes, however, seems to have caused Apollo's worship to become of secondary importance in favor of Zeus Olympios. As a type Apollo was almost completely ousted from the Seleucid coinage during the reigns of Epiphanes and his son Antiochus V. With the return to power of the legitimate branch, in the person of Demetrius, Zeus disappears until the succeeding reign when Alexander Balas (who gave himself out as a second son of Epiphanes) replaces Zeus and discards Apollo. Demetrius II, son of Demetrius I, succeeds Alexander and once more Apollo assumes his rightful place. It is quite possible that in the tetradrachm illustrated in the British Museum Catalogue, Pl. xiv, no. 3, we should recognize the first coinage of Demetrius Soter upon his capture of Antioch. There is considerable artistic affinity between the head on this coin and the heads on our nos. 79, 80, and 81 to support this suggestion. If this is the case then Apollo was actually introduced again on the earliest of Demetrius' coinages to suggest the return of the legitimate branch. When Demetrius finally decided upon a type of more personal significance (is the female divinity on his later coins really intended to be Demeter?) the favor of Apollo was still retained by placing that god's symbolic plant, the laurel, on the obverse in the form of a wreath surrounding the king's portrait, a procedure that at the same time, immortalizes Demetrius as the Victor.

The exact significance of the reverse type of the seated goddess has never been satisfactorily explained. It is even uncertain whether the figure is intended to be that of a Tyche or of Demeter. The fact that she is partially undraped on the earliest coins would seem inimical to either explanation, particularly so to the latter. It seems almost certainly to have been copied from some statue but from what one or why is still an enigma.

The coins have been roughly classed in what appears to have been their general order of appearance. The details of the several issues have only been worked out in a general way as the writer has had at his disposal only such pieces as have been illustrated in the various catalogues or exist in actual specimens in American collections.

SERIES II, Circa 156-155 B. C.

a. First Issue.

95 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Demetrius to r. Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (to r.) ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ Laurel wreath border. (to l.). Tyche as on no. 94. On l., outside inscription, ♠.

London, no. 30: Paris, no. 716: Petrograd, nos. 395/6; London (see Num. Chron., Ser. III, vol. X, 1890, Pl. xix, 19).

96 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, Al.

Amer. Num. Soc., Plate VI; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 3: Paris, no. 718.

97 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inseription, P.

London, no. 28; Paris, no. 719.

98 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. In exergue, ⊢P. Paris, no. 720; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 6; Newell, Plate VI.

b. Second Issue with title $\sum \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$.

99 TETRADRAQHMol.com.cn

Obv. Similar.

Rev. BASIMERS (to r.) \triangle HMHTPIOY| $\ge \Omega$ THPOS (to 1). Tyche as on no. 95. On l., outside inscription, \triangle .

Sotheby, Headlam Sale, May, 1916, no. 442, Plate VI; Newell.

100 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar to preceding. On 1., outside inscription, A.

Newell, Plate VI; London, no. 23.

101 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. In exergue, \vdash .

London, no. 24; Egger Sale xlvi, 1914, no. 2454 (Pl. xxxix).

By their monograms and the style of their reverse dies the coins of Series II are the immediate forerunners of the dated coins we will study in the next paragraph. The title $\Sigma \omega \tau \acute{\eta} \rho$ also links these coins with those that follow. Although this title had already appeared upon the Babylonian issues of Demetrius (it was given him by the people of Seleucia on the Tigris as early as 160 B. C.) it does not appear upon the coinage of the Antiochene mint until about 155 B. C. as proved by the succeeding pieces — which bear the date HNP of the Seleucid Era,— that is from October 155 to the end of September 154 B. C.

SERIES III, 155-150 B. C.

Dated HNP Oct. 155 to Oct. 154.

102 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Demetrius to r. Laurel wreath border.

Rev. BASINEQS (to r.) \triangle HMHTPIOY $\ge \Omega$ THPOS (to l.). Draped figure of Tyche seated to l. on throne the back leg of which consists of winged monster the body of which ends in two scrolls. Tyche holds short sceptre in outstretched r., and cornucopiae in l. On l., outside inscription, \bowtie | \triangle . In exergue, HNP.

London, no. 6; Newell; Paris, no. 742.

103 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, \bowtie | \bowtie . In exergue, HNP.

London, no. 10; Paris, no. 743; Petrograd, no. 424; Hirsch, Hoskier Sale, 1907, no. 438, Plate VI.

Obr. Similar.libtool.com.cn

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, \bowtie | \bowtie . In exergue, HNP.

London, no. 13; Newell; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 18.

105 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, \bowtie | MI. In exergue, HNP.

Paris, no. 741.

b. Dated ONP Oct. 154 to Oct. 153.

106 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\bowtie \mid \land$. In exergue, \lozenge NP.

London, no. 7; Paris, no. 745; Petrograd, no. 425; Jameson Coll., no. 1702 (Pl. lxxxvi).

107 TETRADRACHM.

Ohv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, \bowtie | \bowtie . In exergue, \bowtie \bowtie \bowtie

Newell; Paris, no. 747; Yale Univ. Coll.

108 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, \bowtie | \bowtie . In exergue, \bowtie NP.

Newell, Plate VI: London, no. 14.

109 DRACHM.

Obv. Head as above, but in fillet border. Rev. BASIME Ω S (to r.) Δ HMHTPIOY $\Sigma \Omega$ THPOS (to l.): Cornucopiae. Below, \bowtie A | ONP.

Newell, Plate VII: Paris, no. 746: Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 22: Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 682 (Pl. xix).

110 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Below, □ 1 | ONP.

London, no. 36.

c. Dated EP Oct. 153 to Oct 152.

111 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar to no. 102.

Rev. Similar to no. 102. On l., outside inscription, \bowtie | \triangleq . In exergue, \exists P.

Newell, Plate VI: London, no. 8; Paris, no. 748.

Obv. Similar. www.libtool.com.cn Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, ⋈ ⋈. In exergue, ΞP.

Newell; London, no. 11; Paris, no. 750.

113 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $\bowtie \mid \vdash$. In exergue, $\exists P$.

London, nos. 15 and 16; Paris, no. 752; Petrograd, nos. 429 and 430.

114 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 109.

Rev. Similar to no. 109. Below, $\bowtie \land \mid$ $\exists P$.

London, no. 39; Paris, no. 749; Petrograd, no. 427; Newell; Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, 1895, no. 2955 (Pl. xxvi); Yale Univ. Coll.

115 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Below, \bowtie \bowtie \mid $\exists P$.

Newell; Paris, no. 751; Petrograd, no. 428; Egger Sale xli, no. 694 (Pl. vii); Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 23.

116 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Below, \ □ | ∃P.

Paris, nos. 753 (Pl. xvi, no. 15) and 754; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 24; Yale Univ. Coll.

d. Dated AEP Oct. 152 to Oct. 151 B. C.

1st Issue.

117 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 103.

Rev. Similar to no. 103. On l., outside inscription, \bowtie | \triangle . In exergue, AEP.

London, no. 9.

118 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $\bowtie \mid A$. In exergue, AEP.

London, no. 12, Plate VI; Paris, no. 756; Newell.

119 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $\bowtie \mid \bowtie$. In exergue, A \exists P.

London, nos. 17 and 18; Paris, no. 755; Petrograd, no. 431; Newell; Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, 1895, no. 2951 (Pl. xxvi); Hirsch xxx, Barron Sale, 1911, no. 591 (Pl. xviii).

120 DRACHM.

btool.com.cn

Obv. Similar to no. 110. Rev. Similar to no. 110. Below, \ ♠ | AEP.

London, no. 40: Paris, no. 761: Petrograd, no. 433: Newell: Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.) no. 27.

121 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. Below, \square \square \square \square \square \square Paris, nos. 758 and 762: Petrograd, no. 432; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 25;

122 DRACHM.

Yale Univ. Coll.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Below, \bowtie \bowtie \mid A \equiv P. London, no. 37, Plate VII, no. 38: Paris, nos. 763 and 764: Newell: Petrograd, no. 435; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 26 (Pl. lxvii, 7).

2nd Issue.

123 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 103.

Rev. Similar to no. 103. On l., outside inscription, \bowtie | MI. In exergue, AEP.

London, no. 19.

124 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscrip-

London, no. 20; Newell, Plate VI; Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 691 (Pl. xix).

125 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On I., outside inscription, 內 | 名. In exergue, AEP.

Newell, Plate VI.

126 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 110.

Rev. Similar to no. 110. Below, ⋈ ⋈ | AEP.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 28; Paris, nos. 759 and 760; H. R. Drowne Coll.; Newell, Plate VII; Schulman, White-King Sale, no. 568 (Pl. vi); Yale Univ. Coll.

127 DRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Below, \square P. AEP.

Newell, Plate VII.

e. Dated BEP Oct. 151 to Spring 150.

128 GOLD TWOWANDOA-CHAEF STATER.

Obv. Goddess holding sceptre in r. and cornucopiae in l., seated on throne to l. Circle of dots. Beneath throne, B4 (erased). On l., in field, B4.

Rev. BASINEQS \triangle HMHTPIOY (to r.) $\ge \Omega$ THPOS (to l.). Double cornucopiae adorned with taenia. On r., between inscription and design, BEP. On l., between inscription and design, \bowtie | \ge A.

Paris, no. 765, Plate VII.

129 GOLD DISTATER.

Obv. Similar to preceding. On l., in field, B.

Rev. Similar. On r., between inscription and design, BEP. On l., between inscription and design, \bowtie | \bowtie .

Berlin (Zeitschrift für Numismatik, vol. VI, 1879, p. 2).

130 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 102.

Rev. Similar to no. 102. On l., outside inscription, \bowtie | \triangle . In exergue, BEP.

Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 599, Plate VII; Paris, no. 767; Newell.

131 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $\bowtie \mid \bowtie$. In exergue, BEP.

Paris, no. 766; (London, nos. 21 and 22 with uncertain monogram may go here).

132 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, \bowtie | ff. In exergue, BEP.

Paris, no. 768: Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 21, (Pl. lxvii, 6).

133 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 109.

Rev. Similar to no. 109. Below, \bowtie \bowtie | BEP.

Jameson Coll., no. 1704 (Pl. lxxxvi).

134 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Below, □ 吊 | BEP.

Paris, no. 769; Petrograd, no. 437.

Demetrius ruled his kingdom with a firm hand but, perhaps for that very reason, was never able to gain the affections of his subjects, and by his foreign policies he soon aroused the enmities of neighboring states. By 151 B C. a coalition of Ptolemy VII of Egypt, Ariarathes V of Cappadocia, and Attalus II of Pergamum was formed against Demetrius. For some years previous to this time the Pergamene king had been championing the claim to the Syrian throne of a certain Alexander, surnamed Balas, who gave himself ont as the second son of Antiochus IV. This person was now assisted with an army by the allied kings and effected a landing at Ptolemais in Palestine. Demetrius evidently felt that with sedition at home, and three powerful kingdoms against him his chances of successful resistance were small. He therefore sent his two little sons, Demetrius and Antiochus, away into safety and with that part of the army that could still be relied upon he advanced against Alexander. In the battle that followed his army was overwhelmed and Demetrius himself perished, fighting bravely to the end. This event took place in the spring of 150 B. C.²²

The third and last series of the Antiochene coinages of Demetrius is distinguished by bearing dates running from HNP to BEP (158-162) Aer. Sel.); by a uniform coinage of tetradrachms and corresponding drachms; and by the fact that the minting of these pieces was under the constant supervision of one magistrate signing himself \(\mathbb{A}\), and assisted by three or more subordinates per year. Thus between October 155 and October 154 B. C. four subordinates were functioning A. A. H. and MI. For the two years between October 154 and October 152 only the three magistrates A, A, H, were active. The first issues of year AEP (152-151 B. C.) were issued under \(\mathbb{B}\)'s supervision with the assistance of the same three A, A, H who were later replaced by MI, A, and A. The following and last year of the coinage A, A, and a new one M were active for the silver, A and ≥A for the special gold issues. This issue came to an end, naturally, with the death of Demetrius. The well known gold two-and-a-half stater piece (Paris, Pl. xvii, no. 1) was struck in Antioch along with the last issue of tetradrachms, as was also the corresponding gold double stater of the Berlin collection. These gold coins bear the monogram \, the letters \, A or \, A\ and the date B\, EP. The monograms show conclusively that these musual pieces were struck at the same mint as onr tetradrachms. Both Friedlaender (Zeit, für Num., vol. vi, 1872, pp. 2-7) and Babelon (Introd. exx) have shown that these coins could not possibly have been struck in Cyprus as suggested by Lenormant (Revue numismatique, 1855, p. 89). Friedlaender, indeed, goes further, and on account of the monogram A, attributes the Berlin specimen to Antioch. We now see that both coins

²² Bevan, loc. cit., p. 211.

should be assigned to that mint. The immediate occasion for the issue of these curious denominations was probably the danger which threatened the rule of Demetrius in 150 B. C. and the consequent necessity for raising and equipping his army to meet it. Friedlaender is no doubt correct in assigning the unusual appearance of marks of value (B and BL) found on these pieces to the confusion which might arise in distinguishing the two coins—both having similar types and the difference in weight being so slight as not to be easily discernible without recourse to the balance.

Both the British Museum and Paris catalogues have attributed the tetradrachms to various mints, e. g. those with the monogram A to Anamea, A to Antioch, H to Heraclea, etc. When all these pieces have been assembled, however, it is clearly seen that they must have emanated from one and the same mint. The use of common obverse dies between coins bearing different monograms; steady and uniform progression in style and fabric, year by year, throughout the entire series; the appearance on all the coins of the one monogram \square , and other minor points place the fact of a single mint for the coins of Series III beyond a doubt, and if of Series III, then too of Series I and II because they are definitely bound together by style and community of monograms. Of all the cities in the realms of Demetrius it was obviously only at Antioch, the metropolis and capital of the kingdom, that there could have been located the mint that issued such a large, yet compact and consecutive a series as the one here described. It is certainly the largest and most complete of all that monarch's coinages. and it is inconceivable that any other mint than Antioch could have issued it, and besides, by style and monograms it is closely bound to the preceding Antiochene issues of Antiochus IV and Antiochus V.

The bronze issues of Demetrius for Antioch are possibly to be found in the very common series of three types with serrated edges brought together by Dr. Macdonald in his catalogue of the Hunterian Collection, pp. 55 and 56, nos. 8 to 15. These coins, comprising three denominations (I, Head of Apollo, rev. Tripod; II, Head of Artemis, rev. Bow and quiver; III, Horse's head, rev. Elephant's head), are easily the commonest of all the bronze coins found to-day with the name of Demetrius. Hence it is most likely that they were struck at the principal mint, Antioch, and their style is certainly in favor of this attribution especially as we have noted that bronze coins with serrated edges were struck here under Seleucus IV and Antiochus IV. Dr.

Macdonald also calls our attention to a corresponding series of three denominated like with the same types but with plain edges and of half the weight. These should be assigned to the same mint.

In addition to Antioch, Seleucia on the Tigris and Tyre were two very active mints under Demetrius, supplemented by small and intermittent issues at one or two other cities of the kingdom.

ALEXANDER I BALAS, 150-145 B.C.

Alexander, when he had once become king, seems to have surrendered himself to a life of ease and license. He spent most of his time in the southern portion of his dominions, particularly at Ake-Ptolemais or in Phoenicia. It was perhaps due to this predilection that the mints of the Phoenician cities of Tyre, Sidon, and Berytos became so active under his rule. Never-the-less, the mint at Antioch lost little of its importance and continued to coin, if not quite so profusely as under Demetrius, still in sufficient quantities to make its coins fairly common to this day. As yet no coins of Alexander Balas have been published, of the series attributable to Antioch, bearing the date BEP, the last year of Demetrius' reign and the one in which Antioch fell to the new ruler.

SERIES I (Dated issues).

a. Dated FEP Oct. 150 to Oct. 149.

135 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Alexander I to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ | AΛΕΞΑΝΔΡΟΥ (to r.) ΘΕΟΠΑΤΟΡΟΣ | EYEPΓΕΤΟΥ (to l.). Zeus, with chlamis draped over l. shoulder and legs, seated to I. on throne (which sometimes has back), holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre. On I., outside inscription, CORNUCOPIAE. In exergue, ΓΞΡ ff.

London, no. 8; Newell, Plate VII; Sotheby, Cumberland-Clark Sale, 1914, no. 273 (Pl. vii).

²⁸ Bevan, *loc. cit.*. p. 213, and note 3.

Obv. Similar.www.libtool.com.cn

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, CORNUCOPIAE. In exergue, $\Gamma \equiv P \bowtie R$.

Paris, no. 871.

137 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, CORNUCOPIAE, Θ . In exergue, $\Gamma \Xi P$.

Newell (same obverse die as no. 135 Newell).

138 DRACHM.

Obv. Head of Alexander I diademed to r. in dotted circle.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ | ΑΛΕΞΑΝΔΡΟΥ (to r.) ΘΕΟΠΑΤΟΡΟΣ EYEPΓΕΤΟΥ (to l.). Apollo seated to l. on omphalos, holds arrow in outstretched r., rests l. on bow. On l., outside inscription, CORNUCOPIAE. In exergue, ΓΞΡ.

London, no. 17 (Pl. xvi, 2); Paris, no. 874; Petrograd, no. 483.

139 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, CORNUCOPIAE. In exergue, $\Gamma \exists P \bowtie R$.

Jameson Coll., no. 1713 (Pl. lxxxvi).

140 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, CORNUCOPIAE and \triangle . In exergue, $\Gamma \exists P$.

Paris, no. 873; Petrograd, no. 485.

141 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, CORNUCOPIAE and E. In exergue, $\Gamma \Xi P.$

Paris, no. 872.

b. Dated $\triangle \Xi P$ Oct. 149 to Oct. 148.

142 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 135.

Rev. Similar to no. 135. On l., between figure and inscription, \mathcal{L} . On l., outside inscription, ΠP . In exergue, $\Delta \Xi P$.

Newell, Plate VII; London, no. 11; Paris, no. 876; Hirsch, Rhousopoulos Sale, no. 4455 (Pl. Ivi).

Obv. Symulibtool.com.cn

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, \mathcal{L} . On l., outside inscription, \mathcal{L} . In exergue, $\Delta \Xi P$.

London, no. 10.

144 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev Similar.. On l., between figure and inscription, \mathcal{L} . On l., outside inscription, \mathbb{L} . In exergue, $\Delta \Xi P$.

Roll. & Feuard. Sale, June 9th, 1913, no. 331 (Pl. xi).

145 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, A. In exergue, $\Delta \exists P$, \triangle .

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 2.

146 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, \mathcal{L} . In exergue, $\Delta \Xi P$, $\bowtie \mathcal{L}$.

London, no. 9.

147 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, &. In exergue, $\triangle \exists P$, $\bowtie P$.

Paris, no. 875 (Pl. xviii, 4).

148 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, &. In exergue, $\Delta \exists P$, H.

Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 685 (Pl. xix).

149 DRACHM.

Obr. Similar to no. 138.

Rev. Similar to no. 138. In exergue, $\Delta \Xi P$.

London, no. 18.

Special Issue for this Year.

150 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Head of Alexander I diademed to r. in fillet border.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ | ΑΛΕΞΑΝΔΡΟΥ (to r.) ΘΕΟΠΑΤΟΡΟΣ EYEPΓΕΤΟΥ (to l.). Athene, helmeted and draped, standing to l., holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on spear and shield. On l., between figure and inscription, \$ ► In exergue, ΔΞΡ.

London, no. 15, Plate VII.

c. Dated EEP Oct. 148-Oct. 147.

151 TETRADEAC HMool.com.cn

Obv. Similar to no. 135.

Rev. Similar to no. 135. On l., between figure and inscription, \mathcal{L} . In exergue, EEP, Π P.

Newell, Plate VII.

152 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, β . In exergue, EEP, \overline{P} .

Petrograd, no. 487.

153 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, \mathcal{L} . In exergue, EEP, \triangle .

Paris, no. 877.

154 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, CORNUCOPIAE. In exergue, EEP, HT.

Paris, no. 879.

155 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, \triangle . In exergue, EEP, \bowtie ?.

Paris, no. 878.

156 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, κ . In exergue, EEP, \geq .

Petrograd, no. 488.

d. Dated SEP Oct. 147-Oct. 146.

157 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, k. In exergue, SEP, Z.

Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 697, Plate VII, perhaps also Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 603 (Pl. xviii), monogram in exergue incomplete.

158 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. (Throne has eagle decoration on leg.) On l., between figure and inscription, κ . In exergue, SEP, Θ .

Paris, no. 881, Plate VII.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. (Throne has plain leg.) On l., between figure and inscription, \triangle . In exergue, SEP, Θ .

Newell, Plate VII.

160 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rer. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, ▲. In exergue, SEP, \(\mathbb{Z}. \)

Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, 1895, no. 2966 (Pl. xxvi).

161 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, \triangle . In exergue, SEP and \triangle .

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 3.

e. Dated IEP after Oct. 146.

162 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, &. In exergue, IEP and uncertain monogram.

London, no. 12.

The coin catalogued under no. 882 in the Paris catalogue has not been incorporated here as it has not been seen and seems to vary from any of the preceding coins in having a monogram under the throne. It may or it may not have been struck at Antioch.

SERIES II (Undated Issues).

163 GOLD STATER.

Obv. Diademed head of Alexander I to r. in eircle of dots.

Rev. BAΣΙΛΕΩΣ | ΑΛΕΞΑΝΔΡΟΥ (to r.) ΘΕΟΠΑΤΟΡΟΣ | EYEPΓΕΤΟΥ (to l.). Zeus seated as on tetradrachni no. 135.

Paris, no. 793 (Pl. xvii, 9).

164 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 135.

Rev. Similar to no 135. In exergne,

Egger, Prowe Sale, 1904, no. 1546 (Pl. x).

165 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. (Throne leg sometimes decorated with eagle.) In exergne, f.

Newell, Plate VIII; Paris, no. 797; Petrograd, nos. 448 and 449.

Obv. Similar. Www.libtool.com.cn

Rev. Similar. (Throne leg sometimes decorated with eagle.) In exergue, ⋈€.

Sotheby, Bunbury Sale, no. 505 (Pl. v); Paris, no. 795 (Pl. xvii, 10); Yale Univ. Coll.

167 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. In exergue, ⊢P.
J. Ward Coll., no. 789 (Pl. xix); Sotheby, O'Hagan Sale, 1908, no. 669 (Pl. xi).

168 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. In exergue, T. Jameson Coll., no. 1707 (Pl. lxxxvi).

169 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. In exergue, ♣. Petrograd, no. 445 (has not been seen, but possibly belongs to our series).

170 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. In exergue, Δ . Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 602, Plate VIII.

171 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. In exergue, M. Warren Coll., no. 1302 (Pl. xxx).

171a TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar. Rev. Similar. In exergue, ≥. Yale Univ. Coll.

1716 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. In exergue, ≤. H. R. Drowne Coll.

Undated Drachms contemporary with tetradrachms of years $\Delta \Xi P = Z\Xi P$. Series A (with \mathcal{R}).

172 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 138.Rev. Similar to no. 138.On l., outside inscription, ≰. In exergue, ☎.Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 10; London, no. 25; Petrograd, no. 453.

173 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, ≰. In exergue, ⋈.

London, no. 26; H. R. Drowne Coll.

174 DRACHMibtool.com.cn

Obv. Similar.

Rev Similar. On l., outside inscription, \mathcal{A} . In exergue, $\boldsymbol{\triangle}$.

London, no. 24.

175 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, \mathcal{A} . In exergue, Θ .

Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 758, Plate VII; Petrograd, no. 462.

Series B (with 肉).

176 DRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. In exergue, 🙈.

Newell, Plate VII; Paris, no. 802; Petrograd, no. 458; Yale Univ. Coll.; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 6.

177 DRACHM.

Ohr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. In exergue, ⋈ ⊣.

Paris, no. 806.

Series C (with 났).

178 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. In exergue,

Newell; Paris, no. 796.

179 DRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. In exergue, ₩ \.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 12.

180 DRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rer. Similar. In exergue, 🔀 O.

Amer. Num. Soc.

181 DRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. In exergue, \ ≥.

Petrograd, no. 447.

Series D (with \triangle).²⁴

182 DRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. In exergue, ≜ ⊙.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 8.

 $^{^{24}}$ Because of minuteness this monogram on the drachms often has the form Δ_{\odot}

DRACHM. www.libtool.com.cn

Rev. Similar. In exergue, ▲ A.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 5.

184 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Newell.

Rev. Similar. In exergue, $\triangle + \blacksquare$.

Series E (Miscellaneous monograms).

185 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. In exergue, K A.

Newell.

186 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. In exergue, Θ .

London, nos. 21 and 22; Paris, no. 805.

187 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. In exergue, IP.

Paris, no. 803; Petrograd, no. 459.

188 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. In exergue, Δ .

London, nos. 19 and 20. (Here too Paris, no. 804?)

189 HEMIDRACHM.

Obv. Diademed and radiate head of

Alexander I to r. in circle of pearls.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (to r.) AΛΕΞΑΝΔΡΟΥ (to l.). Apollo standing to l. holding arrow in outstretched r., rests l. on bow.

On 1., outside inscription, Cornucopiae.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 18 (Pl. lxvii, 11).

190 HEMIDRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., between figure

and inscription, A.

London, no. 29 (inscription reads AAEEATÕPO≥).

191 HEMIDRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure

and inscription, A.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 17.

192 HEMIDRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure

and inscription, Φ .

London, no. 30, Plate VII; Paris, no. 808 (Pl. xvii, 12); Petrograd, no. 464.

193 HEMARIA Edb Mcom.cn

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. No symbol or monograms. Paris, no. 807; London, no. 28; Petrograd, no. 463.

194 DIOBOL.

Ohv. Diademed head of Alexander to r. Rev. $BASIAE\Omega S$ (to r.) $AAESAN\Delta POY$ in circle of dots. (to l.). Tripod.

Paris, no. 809 (Pl. xvii, 13).

The two magistrates A and A were carried over from Demetrius' issues of the year BEP, to Alexander's of the year FEP. Although Alexander substituted the figure of Zeus Olympios (the old type of his alleged father Antiochus IV, and his brother Antiochus V) for the seated Tyche of Demetrius, and the fillet border for the laurel wreath border, the style and general appearance of the two coinages at Antioch remain the same. For the year FEP the superintendent of the mint signs himself cornucopiae. In the following year this superintendent drops out (or perhaps he simply changes his signature), and the monogram & takes the place of the CORNUCOPIAE symbol, while the subordinates remain the same, with one or two added. There is also a special issue of tetradrachms for this year with the reverse type of a standing Athene. The reason for this sudden innovation is obscure; though, as we know from his other coin types (e. g. Brit. Mus. Cat., Pl. xvi, nos. 10 and 11) Alexander Balas was very partial to his namesake the great Alexander, and so perhaps desired to honor upon his coins the third of the divinities so particularly favored by the Macedonian. As on the coins of Alexander the Great, Athene, Zeus, and Herakles form the choice of types, so this Alexander placed these same three divinities upon his issues. The coinage of tetradrachms continues plentifully for the years EEP and SEP, while that of the dated drachms is superceded by an undated series. Many of the subordinate officials remain the same for these issues, but the two new superintendents A and K replace the previous ones. In the year ZEP the coinage of Alexander Balas is interrupted by his flight from the capital, and the accession of Demetrius II. Only one or two specimens are in existence of his Antiochene issues for this year, which leads one to infer that Alexander fled not many months after October 146 B. C.

Alongside of the dated series of tetradrachms there occurs also an undated series, our nos. 164 to 171. That they belong to our mint is made certain by similarity of style and especially by the fact that sev-

eral magistrates of the dated series also signed many of these pieces. It would seem that the entire coinage of these undated pieces occurred at one time, as the style of all is identical and several specimens of nos. 164, 165, 166, and 170 have their obverses from a single die.

As noted above, the coinage of *dated* drachms ceases in the year $\Delta \Xi P$, but their place is taken for this and the succeeding years by the undated drachms collected under nos. 172 to 188. On these the same letters and monograms are displayed as on the accompanying tetradrachms, while their style agrees with the issues actually dated $\Delta \Xi P$ to $Z\Xi P$.

Aside from the purely municipal bronze issues of Antioch (London, no. 63, Glasgow, nos. 65, 66, Paris, nos. 909-911) there were royal issues as well at this mint. Among these are some²⁵ of those with type Alexander (or Herakles) in lion's skin, reverse standing Apollo and symbols: Cornucopiae, Trident, star and with monograms met with on the silver coins. There certainly is a large series of serrated bronze coins, consisting of two denominations, which belong to Antioch. These are to be found brought together by Dr. Macdonald in his catalogue of the Hunterian Collection, pp. 64, 65, nos. 48-59. These pieces have monograms met with on the silver, and are a direct continuation of the serrated bronzes already noticed as having been struck at Antioch under Demetrius I.

DEMETRIUS II NICATOR.

First reign in Antioch²⁶ 146-144 B. C.

As early as the year 147 B. C., Ptolemy VII Philometor of Egypt, who had materially assisted in placing Alexander Balas upon the Syrian throne, became dissatisfied with the course of events then taking place in the neighboring kingdom. Rebellions and local disturbances at home due to the negligence and incapacity of Alexander, threatened invasions from abroad by rival claimants to the throne, all made Ptolemy's influence in Syria uncertain. With a strong army he advanced to Ptolemais whence Alexander, but a short time previously, had departed for Antioch to quell an incipient revolt and to meet an invasion from the North of the young Demetrius, the eldest of Demetrius Soter's

²⁵ Those with symbol PALM BRANCH would seem to belong to another mint, probably Apamea.

²⁶ Demetrius ruled till 138 B. C. in Phoenicia.

sons. Ptolemy evidently felt that Alexander had now reigned long enough for Egyptian interests and, having advanced as far as Seleucia on the Orontes, found occasion to break openly with him. He proclaimed the little Demetrius (a child of some fourteen years of age) king in the place of Alexander. Antioch revolted and Alexander fled to the hills (shortly after Oct. 146 B. C. as shown by his Antiochene coins). Ptolemy thereupon entered Antioch and placed his young protégé upon the Seleucid throne as Demetrius II Theus Philadelphus Nicator. The following year (B. C. 145) Alexander made one more bid for his kingdom and with a hastily assembled army crossed the Amanus mountains into the plain of Antioch. Here a pitched battle was fought, just outside the walls of the city, Alexander was overwhelmed, managed to escape to the hills, but was assassinated by an Arab chieftain and his head sent to Ptolemy. Ptolemy, however, had also fallen in battle with a mortal wound, of which he died shortly after, leaving the little Demetrius Seleucid king without either friend or rival. But not for long. The Cretan condottieri and soldiers of fortune who formed Demetrius' principal stand-by and the most dependable part of his army now took things into their own hands and commenced to plunder the country mercilessly. An attempt was made to disband the national army in order that the strangers might be the more secure. led to a terrible revolt in Antioch which was only suppressed after thousands had been slaughtered, a large portion of the city burned, and the remainder given up to plunder and rapine. At this time a more successful revolt also broke out at Apamea, the military arsenal of the kingdom, under a certain Diodotus who later became known as Tryphon. He secured the allegiance of the native soldiery in the name of the little Antiochns whom his father Alexander had placed in safety with an Arabian chief before his defeat by Ptolemy Philometor. In the 168th year of the Seleucid Era (144 B. C.) Antioch opened its gates joyfully to Antiochus VI Thens Epiphanes Dionysus, while Demetrius retreated to the cities of the Phoenician coast where he continued to rule for another six years.

The mint of Antioch, taken over bodily by the young Demetrius from his predecessor, commenced operations at once—if we may judge by the style of the first issues and the reappearance on them of nearly all the monograms belonging to those subordinate officials who were active nuder Alexander.

The following, then, are the coins struck by Demetrius during the two years of his disastrous reign in Antioch.

Dated ZIP, end of 146 or early in 145-Oct. 145. www.libtool.com.cn a. With monogram M.

195 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Demetrius II to r. in fillet border.

Rev. BASINEQS | Δ HMHTPIOY (to r.) Θ EOY | Φ INA Δ EN Φ OY | NIKATOPOS (to l.). Apollo naked seated to l. on omphalos, holding arrow in outstretched r., rests l. on bow. On l., between figure and inscription, \mathbf{M} . In exergue, ZIP \mathbf{M} ?.

Paris, no. 950.

196 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, M. In exergue, ZIP H.

Paris, no. 951.

197 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription \mathbb{M} . In exergue, $Z \not\equiv P \Theta$.

London, no. 10.

198 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, \bowtie . In exergue, $Z \exists P \bowtie$.

London, no. 9, Plate VIII; another, no. 8.

199 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, M. In exergue, Z∃P

✓.

Jameson Coll., no. 1716 (Pl. lxxxvi).

200 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, \bowtie . In exergue, $Z \exists P \succeq X$.

Cast in author's possession, present whereabouts of original unknown.

b. With A and PALM BRANCH.

201 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, PALM BRANCH. On l., between figure and inscription, \square . In exergue, ZEP \triangle .

Sotheby, Bunbury Coll., no. 524, Plate VIII.

202 TETRAIDRACHM.cn

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, PALM BRANCH. On l., between figure and inscription, \square . In exergue, ZIP \square .

Newell, Plate VIII.

203 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, PALM BRANCH. On 1., between figure and inscription, \square . In exergue, $Z\Xi P \square P$.

Newell.

204 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On I., outside inseription, PALM BRANCH. On I., between figure and inseription, \square . In exergue, $Z \equiv P A$.

Paris, no. 952.

205 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inseription, PALM BRANCH. On 1., between figure and inseription, \square . In exergue, $Z \subseteq P \ \ ^2$.

Petrograd, no. 500.

206 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar, but with laurel wreath border.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inseription, PALM BRANCH. On l., between figure and inscription, \square . Between feet of Apollo, \bigcirc . In exergue, ZEP.

Paris, no. 954, Plate VIII.

Dated HEP, Oct. 145-early in 144.

207 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar, with head in laurel wreath border.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inseription, PALM BRANCH. On l., between figure and inseription, A. Between feet of Apollo, K. In exergue, HEP.

Petrograd, no. 499.

²⁷ Not having seen this piece or Petrograd, no. 501, 1 would be inclined to question the exact form of the monograms there recorded.

208 TETRADRACHM.
Obv. Similar. www.libtool.com.cn

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, PALM BRANCH. On l., between figure and inscription, . Between feet of Apollo, ♣. In exergue, HEP.

Newell,²⁸ Plate VIII; London, no. 11 (which, however, is said to possess a fillet border); Hirsch, Rhousopoulos Sale, no. 4458 (Pl. lvi); Sotheby, O'Hagan Sale, 1908, no. 672 (Pl. xi).

209 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On I., outside inscription, PALM BRANCH.²⁹ On l., between figure and inscription, A. Between feet of Apollo, H. In exergue, HEP.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 3 (Pl. lxvii, 18).

209a TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, PALM BRANCH. On l., between figure and inscription, A. Between feet of Apollo, A. In exergue, HEP.

Imhoof-Blumer, Monnaies Greeques, 1883, p. 434, no. 103.

210 DRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Demetrins II to r. in dotted circle.

Rev. BASIMEQS | Δ HMHTPIOY (to r.) ΘΕΟΥ | ΦΙΛΑΔΕΛΦΟΥ | ΝΙΚΑΤΟΡΟΣ (to 1.). Apollo as above. On l., between figure and inscription, A. Between feet of Apollo, $\vdash k$. In exergue, $H\Xi P(?)$.³⁰

Paris, no. 953.

211 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, \(\mathbb{\text{\sigma}} \). Between feet of Apollo, ♠. In exergue, HEP.

London, no. 12; Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 708, Plate VIII.

212 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, A. Between feet of Apollo, K. In exergue, HEP.

Jameson Coll., no. 1723 (Pl. lxxxvii), Plate VIII.

- ²⁸ The reverse design having been struck a little to one side it is impossible to determine if the PALM BRANCH was originally on this die or not. Its presence, though, is probable.
 - ²⁹ The stem of the PALM BRANCH is just visible.
- ³⁰ The date is obscure. Babelon suggests ZEP, but as all the other known drachms are HEP it has been placed here until another specimen turns up.

213 DRACHM tool.com.cn

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, STAR. On l., between figure and inscription, \(\mathbb{\text{\sigma}} \). Between feet, \(\mathbb{\text{\sigma}} \).

Newell; London, no. 13; perhaps also Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 5.

214 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar, but with fillet border.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, $\angle B$. Between feet of Apollo, $\triangle A$. In exergue, $H\Xi P$.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 4.

215 HEMIDRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Demetrius II to r. in dotted circle.

Rev. BASINEQS (to r.) \triangle HMHTPIOY (to l.). Apollo, naked, standing to l., holding arrow in outstretched r., rests l. on bow. In front of figure, \square | X.

Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 709, Plate VIII.

The first issue of Demetrius upon his arrival in Antioch consists of the tetradrachms nos. 195 to 200. The supreme magistrate is now M, but the subordinate ones we have all met with on the previous issues of Alexander Balas, showing conclusively that we here have to do with the issues of one and the same mint. The last date borne by the coins of Alexander was ZEP which began in October 146 B. C. As we possess only one certain specimen of this coinage it must have been very small. Demetrins' issues for Antioch begin with the same date, that is ZEP, but are very numerous. It is probable, therefore, that Alexander's flight before the threatening advance of Ptoleniy Philometor and the insubordination of his own subjects in Antioch occurred towards the end of 146 B. C. It was not long, however, before Alexander was able to raise an army, whereupon, probably during the summer campaigning months, he approached Antioch only to meet defeat in pitched battle before the walls of the city. I would therefore assign the "a" series with the monogram M to the interval between the flight of Alexander and his return in the early summer of 145 B. C. Series "b" was issued after the great victory of Ptolemy and Demetrius his protégé and bears in the field of the reverse a large palm branch (significant of this success) in addition to the monograms of the officiating magistrates. The chief official is now A, his subordinates consisting of some of our old friends together with a few new ones. To emphasize the victory, Demetrius, just before the close of the year ZEP, substitutes a laurel wreath for the more usual fillet border which he had placed on the obverse of his tetradrachms at the beginning of his reign (see Plate VIII, no. 206 and following).

The issues of year HEP continue as in the previous year with \square as chief magistrate. This year is signalized by a plenteous issue of drachms and also a hemidrachm (no. 215). The majority of the subordinate officials have been met with before.



The bronze issues of Demetrius for Antioch are somewhat obscure, among them however is certainly a series of three denominations as illustrated in the accompanying cuts. All the varieties of this issue that I have been able to study show in the exergue the monogram which is likewise found on series "a" of the tetradrachms. The bronze coins are therefore contemporary with these tetradrachms.

ANTIOCHUS VI DIONYSUS, In Antioch, 144-142 B. C.

It has already been related above how the native soldiery, at the instigation of a certain Diodotus whom we know better under the name Tryphon, revolted against the little Demetrius and declared for Antiochus, the infant child of Alexander Balas. The revolt originated at Apamea³¹ but soon spread to Antioch, Demetrius fled to Seleucia, and in the course of the 168th year of the Seleucid Era, Antiochus with his protector Tryphon entered the capital in triumph. He, however, was unable to secure the cities of the Phoenician coast which remained loyal to Demetrius throughout the remainder of that monarch's first reign.

These events must have occurred early in the summer of 144 B. C. for the issues of Antiochus VI, following closely upon those of Demetrius for the same year, are far from rare.

³¹ It was here that were struck the rare tetradrachms, Paris, pl. xx, 6, and Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 712.

Dated HEP, Summer of 144-October 144.

216 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Diademed and radiate head of Antiochus VI to r. in fillet border.

Rev. BAΣΙΛΕΩΣ | ANTIOXOY (above) EΠΙΦΑΝΟΥΣ | ΔΙΟΝΥΣΟΥ (below). The Dioscuri, with couched spears, flying mantles, and star-adorned caps, galloping to l., the whole in wreath composed of lotus, ivy, and wheat ears. Behind riders, TPY. Beneath horses, HEP.

London, no. 1, Plate VIII.

217 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar, but ends of diadem are flying.

Egger Sale xlv, no. 762, Plate VIII.

218 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Behind riders, TPY | 高. Beneath horses, HEP.

Beneath horses, HEP.

Rev. Similar. Behind riders, TPY | P.

London (see Num. Chron., Ser. III, Vol. xx, 1900, Pl. xiv, 11).

219 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Behind riders, TPY | ♠. Beneath horses, HEP.

Sotheby, Carfrae Sale, 1894, no. 314 (Pl. x, 9).

220 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar, but with thyrsos in front of Dioscuri. Behind riders, TPY | K. Beneath horses, HEP.

Paris, no. 988 (Pl. xx, 7); Hirsch xxx, Barron Sale, 1911, no. 596 (Pl. xviii).

221 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar, star behind head.

Rev. Similar, with thyrsos. Behind riders, TPY | X. Beneath horses, HEP.

Hirsch, Hoskier Sale, 1907, no. 441 (Pl. xv); Sotheby, Guzman Sale, 1914, no. 114 (Pl. vi).

222 DRACHM.

Ohr. Diademed and radiate head of Antiochus VI to r. in circle of dots.

Rev. BAΣΙΛΕΩΣ | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ΕΠΙΦΑΝΟΥΣ | ΔΙΟΝΥΣΟΥ (to l.). Apollo, naked but for chlamis draped over r. thigh, seated to l. on omphalos holds arrow in outstretched r., l. rests on bow. On l., outside inscription, BUNCH OF GRAPES. Between feet of Apollo, K. In exergue, HEP.

J. Ward Coll., no. 793, Plate IX; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 3; E. Rogers, Num. Chron., Ser. IV, vol. xii, p. 253, no 21 (Pl. x, 9).

223 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. www.libtool.com.cn

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, BUNCH OF GRAPES. Between feet of Apollo, ♠. In exergue, H≡P.

Newell.

224 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, BUNCH OF GRAPES. Between feet of Apollo, X. In exergue, HEP.

Newell.

225 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, BUNCH OF GRAPES. Between feet of Apollo, fb. In exergue, HEP.

Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 695 (Pl. xix).

226 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, BUNCH OF GRAPES. Between feet of Apollo, A. In exergue, HEP.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 4.

227 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, BUNCH OF GRAPES. Between feet of Apollo, R. In exergue, HEP.

London, no. 7; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 5.

Dated 0EP, Oct. 144-Oct. 143.

228 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 221, with star behind head.

Rev. Similar to no. 220, with thyrsos. Behind riders, TPY | Π | Π Beneath horses, $\Theta \Xi P$.

Newell, Plate VIII (same obverse die as no. 221).

229 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar, but no star.

Rev. Similar, but no thyrsos. Behind riders, TPY | ₩ | ΣTA. Beneath horses, ΘΞP.

London, no. 4; Paris, nos. 989, 990, and 991.

Obv. Similar to preceding.

Rev. Similar to preceding. Behind riders, TPY | χ or \varkappa | Σ TA. Beneath horses, Θ Ξ P.

Hirseh, Rhousopoulos Sale, no. 4459 (Pl. lv); Sotheby, Bunbury Sale (II), no. 531 (Pl. v); Ratto Sale, 1909, no. 4878 (Pl. xix); Hirseh xvi, 1906, no. 678 (Pl. xix).

231 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Behind riders, TPY | ↑ | ≥TA. Beneath horses, ΘΞP.

Egger Sale xlv, no. 694 (Pl. xix); Hoffmann Sale, 1898, no. 717 (Pl. iv).

232 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Behind riders, TPY | Φ | ΣTA. Beneath horses, ΘΞP.

London, nos. 2 and 3, Plate VIII; Hirseh Sale xxxii, 1912, no. 582 (Pl. xxii) from same obverse die as no. 231.

233 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Behind riders, $TPY \mid \bowtie \mid$ $\boxtimes TA$. Beneath horses, $\Theta \ni P$.

Egger Sale xlvi, 1914, no. 2458 (Pl. xxxix) formerly Ratto Sale, 1912, no. 1087; Hirsch Sale xxxi, 1912, no. 486 (Pl. xiii); Sotheby, Butler Sale, no. 262 (Pl. vi).

234 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Behind riders, TPY | $\triangle P \mid \Sigma TA$. Beneath horses, $\Theta \Xi P$.

Petrograd, no. 509.

235 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 222.

Rev. Similar to no. 222. On l., outside inscription, BUNCH OF GRAPES. In exergue, $\Theta \equiv P \equiv TA$.

London, no. 8.

236 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Between feet of Apollo, MP. In exergue, ΘΞΡ ΣΤΑ.

Newell; Paris, nos. 992 and 993; Hirsch, Hoskier Sale, no. 442 (Pl. xv).

237 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Between feet of Apollo, %. In exergue, ΘΞΡ ΣΤΑ.

London, no. 9; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 6.

238 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. www.libtool.com.cnRev. Similar. Between feet of Apollo,♠. In exergue, ΘΞΡ ΣΤΑ.

Petrograd, no. 512; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 7.

239 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Between feet of Apollo, ♣. In exergue, ΘΞΡ ΣΤΑ.

London, no. 10.

Dated OP, Oct. 143-Oct. 142.

240 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 229.

Rev. Similar to no. 229. Behind riders, TPY | ff | ≥TA. Beneath horses, OP.

London, no. 5; J. Ward Coll., no. 749 (Pl. xx); Paris, no. 996: Sotheby, Cumberland-Clark Sale, 1914, no. 276 (Pl. viii).

241 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Behind riders, TPY | \bowtie P | \succeq TA. Beneath horses, OP.

Paris, no. 995; Hirsch Sale xxix, no. 824 (Pl. xii); Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 1 (Pl. lxviii, 2).

242 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Behind riders, TPY | X | ≥TA. Beneath horses, OP.

Paris, no. 994; Petrograd, no. 513; Egger Sale, Nov., 1909, no. 423 (Pl. xv).

243 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Behind riders, TPY | X (\(\Sigma TA\) omitted). Beneath horses, OP.

Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 763, Plate IX; Hirsch xi, 1904, no. 447 (Pl. ix).

244 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Behind riders, TPY | Φ |

∑TA. Beneath horses, OP.

London, no. 6, Plate IX; Newell (formerly Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 611, Pl. xix); Schulman, White-King Sale, no. 587 (Pl. vi); Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 610 (Pl. xix).

245 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Behind riders, TPY | ♠ | ≥TA. Beneath horses, OP.

Jameson Coll., no. 1725 (Pl. lxxxvii); Sotheby, Whitehead Coll., 1898, no. 14 (Pl. i); Egger Sale, 1914, no. 2459 (Pl. xxxix); Berlin Duplicates, Hess, 1907, no. 1187 (Pl. iv).

246 DRACHM. www.libtool.com.cn

Obv. Similar to no. 222.

Rev. Similar to no. 222. Between feet of Apollo, ff. In exergue, OP STA.

London, no. 13; Petrograd, no. 516.

247 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Between feet of Apollo, or ⋈. In exergue, OP ≥TA.

Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, no. 3003 (Pl. xxvii); Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), nos. 9, 10, and 11; Santa Maria, Hartwig Sale, 1910, no. 820 (Pl. xv).

248 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Between feet of Apollo, X or X. In exergue, OP ≥ TA.

London, no. 11, Plate IX; Newell; Paris, no. 997 (Pl. xx, 8); Petrograd, no. 514; Hirsch Sale xiv, 1905, no. 571 (Pl. xiv); Sotheby, Headlam Sale, May, 1916, no. 447 (Pl. x).

249 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Between feet of Apollo, Φ. In exergue, OP ΣTA.

London, no. 12; Hirsch, Rhousopoulos Sale, no. 4460 (Pl. lv).

250 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. Between feet of Apollo, ↑. In exergue, OP ≥TA.

Newell; Paris, nos. 998, 999, and 1000; Jameson Coll., no. 1726 (Pl. lxxxvii).

Undated Issues.

251 HEMIDRACHM. (Type A, during HEP).

Obv. Diademed and radiate head of Antiochus VI to r. in circle of dots.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (to r.) ANTIOXOY (to l.). Apollo, naked standing to l., holds arrow in outstretched r., rests l. on bow.

London, no. 15, Plate IX; Paris, no. 1042 (Pl. xx, 19): Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), nos. 16 and 17.

252 HEMIDRACHM. (Type B, during HΞP to ΘΞΡ).

Obv. Head as above.

Rev. BASINEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ETTIPANOYS | DIONYSOY (to l.). Panther to l., r. forepaw raised. In exergue, K.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), nos. 18 and 19 (Pl. lxviii, 5): Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, no. 3005 (Pl. xxvii).

253 HEMIDRACHM.

Obv. Similar. WWW.libtool.com.cn Rev. Similar. In exergue, ff. Newell, Plate IX (formerly, Schulman, Kreling Sale, Dec., 1913, no. 541).

254 HEMIDRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Newell.

Rev. Similar. In exergue, \triangle .

255 HEMIDRACHM. (Type C, during OEP to OP.)

Obv. Similar to no. 244.

Rev. Inscription as above. Panther to l. holding palm in mouth and r. forepaw. Above ≥TA.

London, nos. 16, Plate IX, 17, and 18; Jameson Coll., no. 1728 (Pl. lxxxvii).

256 DIOBOL.

Obv. Similar head to r.

Rev. BASINEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ETHANOYS | $\triangle IONYSOY$ (to l.). Thyrsos bound with fillet. $\ge TA$.

London, no. 19 (Pl. xix, 6); Petrograd, no. 520; Imhoof-Blumer, Monnaies Greeques, p. 435, no. 111.

Undated drachms struck 142 B. C.

257 DRACHM.

Obv. Diademed and radiate head of Antiochus VI to r. in circle of dots.

Rev. BASINEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ETIPANOYS | Δ IONYSOY (to l.). Macedonian helmet to r. adorned with spike, Ibex-horn and cheek pieces. On r., between horn and spike, TPY. On r., beneath helmet, \triangle P.

Paris, nos. 1002 and 1003.

258 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On r., between horn and and spike, TPY. On r. beneath helmet, X or X.

London, no. 22, Plate IX; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 14 (Pl. lxviii, 4); Petrograd, no. 517; Paris, no. 1004.

259 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On r., between horn and spike, TPY. On r., beneath helmet, r.

London, nos. 20 and 21; Petrograd, no. 518; Paris, no. 1005; Rome, Strozzi Sale, 1907, no. 1678 (Pl. xi).

260 DRACHM. www.libtool.com.cn Obr. Similar.

Rer. Similar. On r., between horn and spike, TPY. On r., beneath helmet, R.

Newell.

We have already noticed that during the first portion of the year HEP, issues in the name of Demetrius II appeared at Antioch; the remainder of the same year was given up to the striking of coins for Antiochus VI. The little boy's head is engraved on the obverses of the new coins surrounded with the fillet border and adorued with the divine rays which had been introduced on the Seleucid coinage by his supposed grandfather, Antiochus IV Theus Epiphanes. doubt to emphasize this alleged descent, that the new king took upon himself (or rather the regent Tryphon probably instigated the move as his protégé was only a child of some two or three summers) the surname of Epiphanes and the divine rays. The reverses of the new tetradrachms give us a spirited representation of the Twin Gods charging to left on horseback. The reason for this particular choice of type is obscure. M. Babelon, following Ott. Müller and Wieseler, suggests some incident at the battle which saw the defeat of Demetrius II and the triumph of Antiochus. It must be remembered, however, that the Dioscuri seem to have been particularly favored in Syria under their other name of Κάβειροι Συρίων. They had a temple near Selencia on the Orontes, and they frequently appear on the coins of Seleucus I; their type is therefore uot a sudden innovation in the Seleucid series. It is certain, however, that in this case the choice had nothing to do with the worship of these gods at Tripolis in Phoenicia as has been suggested — our coins being all struck at Antioch and it being very doubtful if Antiochus VI ever held Tripolis.³²

If the reason for the choice of the surname Dionysus is also uncertain, the epithet certainly influenced the adoption of the wreath on the reverse of the tetradrachms and the types of the hemidrachms and diobols.

All of the tetradrachms and drachms issued for the year HEP at Autioch show the three letters TPY of Tryphon's name and so bear witness to the preponderant position this man held in the government. The monograms and letters of subordinate mint officials are, as usual, placed in the field of the coin, and it is furthermore to be noted, that one and all of these were officiating under Demetrius II, many of them

³² For a discussion of the significance of this type see Babelon, loc, cit., cxxxv, ff.

under Alexander Balas and even Demetrius I showing, as we have already had ample opportunity to observe, how long a period of activity these lesser mint officials at Antioch enjoyed.

Under Demetrius II, during the last year of his rule in Antioch, we noticed a large issue of drachms. This issue continued under Antiochus VI with exactly similar types except for the change in portrait and name. Note that the symbol of the chief magistrate on these pieces is a bunch of grapes. Before the close of the year another symbol, the Thyrsos, appears on the tetradrachms.

For the year OEP the coinage of tetradrachms and drachms continues actively. At first the Thyrsos symbol again occurs on the tetradrachms but is almost immediately replaced by the letters ≥TA which have caused considerable discussion among numismatists. The majority concur in seeing in them the initials of the name ΣΤΑφυλος and have explained this as either some honorary name of Tryphon, or as the personal name of some official holding an office only secondary to that of Tryphon. The Rev. E. Rogers (Num. Chron. Ser. IV, vol. xii, p. 254) thinks that it is but another name or title of Antiochus VI Dionysus because of the close mythological connection between the two names. From ancient authors we gather that the God Dionysus either had a son by this name, or that Staphylus was a son of Oenomaus, king of Assyria who protected the young Dionysus. It must be noted, however, that on all the Antiochene coin issues, from the first introduction of dating the coins in the year HNP of Demetrius I, there appears, in addition to the many subordinate officials, a superior one who places his signature on all their issues so long as he remains in office. Under Demetrius I this was \(\mathbb{R} \); under Alexander I it was \(\mathbb{R} \), \(\mathbb{K} \), and \(\text{\alpha} \); under Demetrius II it was A; while under Antiochus VI all the drachms of the first issue, in addition to the customary subordinates, are signed Bunch of Grapes, the tetradrachms either the Thyrsos or nothing. The coins for the next year commence with the Thyrsos and the Bunch of Grapes³³ but these are soon dropped in favor of ≥TA. The suggestion is obvious that ≥TA is nothing more than the chief official at the Antiochene mint under Antiochus VI Dionysus, as
had been under Demetrius I; ♣, ₭, and ♠ under Alexander; and ☒ under Demetrius II. If ≥TA is correctly rendered Στάφυλος what more appropriate personal symbols could be choose than Thyrsos and Bunch of Grapes? It is of course, an unusual coincidence that Antiochus VI should take (or

³³ The drachm no. 235 has **≥TA** as well as the symbol.

be given) the name Dionysus and that at the same time a man of, one might say, Dionysiac name should be his mint master. There was, however, thus a double reason for the markedly Dionysiac character of the types chosen for the new coinage.

Year OP continues with a very heavy coinage of tetradrachms and drachms. There seems also to have been continued the striking of the more unusual denominations of the hemidrachm and diobol. The former had first appeared, probably in year HEP, with the types used by Antiochus' father Alexander (see nos. 189-193) but these change almost immediately to ones of a definitely Dionysiac character.



Among the bronze issues of Antioch for this reign are to be placed two denominations of serrated coins, both with obverse type of the little king's head radiate, and with reverse type (for the larger) elephant holding torch; (for the smaller) panther holding palm branch with mouth and right paw. Both these types bear the letters ≥TA and, in addition the magistrate symbols Cornucopiae, Star, Palm Branch.

It was also in the year OP, the last of the reign, and perhaps at the very time the regent Tryphon was meditating his treacherous seiznre of the throne, or even immediately after he had taken the final step, that there appeared a new issue of drachms with an innovation in the reverse type. The radiate head of the young Antiochus still holds the obverse, but on the reverse we see a Macedonian helmet of peculiar form, adorned in front with a large and conspicuous Ibex horn, henceforth to be the constant symbol of Tryphon. The letters TPY (for the first time on the drachm) are conspicuously placed, but we no longer find a date. It is certain that Tryphon, so soon as he had seized the supreme power, discarded the use of the Seleucid Era for dating, and substituted one of his own. His Phoenician issues bear the dates A to \triangle , — in other words, his own regnal years. On the Antiochene issues, commencing with the above drachms, the very useful and, to us, most desirable custom of dating is now finally dropped from the silver coinage. The monograms of subordinate mint officials are, however, still continued from the preceding coinages.

TRYPHON, 142-138 B. C.

In and around the end of the year OP Tryphon felt his position in the kingdom strong enough to bring about the sudden death of the boy Antiochus, whose regent and guardian he had till then been, and to assume in name as well as in actuality the supreme power. He caused the troops under his command to declare him Βασιλεύς, he himself assumed the title Αὐτοκράτωρ "ruler by his own power"; in other words, the Seleucid line is supposed to have come to an end and a new one to have risen in its place. It must be remembered, however, that Demetrius II was still maintaining himself in the cities of the coast, from Selucia to near the Egyptian border, though Tryphon soon after his usurpation, came into possession of Ascalon and Ptolemais. For four years Tryphon ruled and was then suddenly overthrown by another scion of the House of Seleucus. Tryphon's Antiochene issues are the following:

261 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Tryphon to r. in fillet border.

Rev. BASINEQS | TPY $\Phi\Omega$ NOS (to r.) AYTOKPATOPOS (to l.). Chased Macedonian helmet to l. with spike, large Ibex horn in front, cheek guards and taenia. Beneath helmet, X.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 1, Plate IX.

262 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar, but the entire design surrounded by an oak wreath. Beneath helmet. X.

Paris, no. 1043 (Pl. xxi, 1); Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 716, Plate IX.

262a TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. Beneath helmet, Q. Electrotype in author's collection, present whereabouts of original unknown.

263 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar. London, no. 2 (Pl. xx, 1). Rev. Similar. No monogram.

264 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.
Paris, no. 1044.

Rev. Similar. Beneath helmet, A.

265 DRACHM.

Www.libtool.com.cn

Ohv. Similar to tetradrachm except that Rev. Similar to tetradrachm no. 261. in place of fillet border there is dotted On l., beneath helmet, X. circle.

London, no. 4 (Pl. xx, 2); Hirsch, Rhousopoulos Sale, 1905, no. 4461 (Pl. lv).

265a DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. On l., beneath helmet, 2. Newell.

266 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. On I., beneath helmet, XP. Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 3.

267 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. On l., beneath helmet, f. Newell (formerly Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 699), Plate IX; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 2; Roll. & Feuard., Duruflé Sale, 1910, no. 626 (Pl. xiv).

268 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. On l., beneath helmet, ΠP . Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 717 (Pl. xix).

269 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. On l., beneath helmet, A. London, no. 3; Jameson Coll., no. 1729 (Pl. lxxxvii) formerly Sotheby, Benson Sale, 1909, no. 764 (Pl. xxv); Paris, no. 1045 (Pl. xxi, 2); Petrograd, no. 540.

270 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. On l., beneath helmet, \mathcal{A} . Paris, no. 1046; Petrograd, no. 541.

271 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. On l., beneath helmet, \(\subseteq \). Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 615 (Pl. xvii).

272 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. On 1, beneath helmet, Π . Hirsch (xxx), Percy Barron Coll., no. 597 (Pl. xviii).

We have seen that the useful system of dating the silver coins was discarded in the last issue of drachms bearing the portrait and names of Autiochus VI Dionysus. The coins, both silver and bronze, now struck with the portrait and titles of Tryphon the usurper, also bear no dates; but the silver are still marked with the monograms of the same magistrates who had been office both in the preceding and in earlier reigns. Thus we meet once more and for the last time our old friends X, and A. The Antiochene bronzes, as under Antiochus VI, bear symbols (Star, Akrostolion, Ear of Wheat, Caps of the Dioscuri) but are no longer serrated.

The Macedonian helmet chosen for the reverse type of all these issues has direct reference to the claim of Tryphon that,—according to the time honored Macedonian custom,—he was supposed to have been unanimously elected by free Macedonian soldiers in open assembly. There may also be intended a punning reference to his name, for in both Homer and Hesiod a helmet is sometimes called τρυφάλεια.

ANTIOCHUS VII EUERGETES, 138-129 B. C.

During the period covered by the reigns of Alexander Balas, Antiochus VI, and Tryphon, and the contemporaneous rule in Phoenicia of Demetrius II, the brother of the latter, Antiochus by name, was growing into manhood at the busy seaport and powerful city of Side in Pamphylia. When this young man, who had inherited much of his father's ability and strength of character, was somewhere between the ages of twenty and twenty-three, news suddenly reached him that his elder brother, Demetrius II, had been taken prisoner in distant Media whither he had gone to deliver the eastern provinces from Parthian domination and add them once more to the Seleucid dominions. There was now no legitimate Seleucid king in power, for Tryphon was everywhere considered a mere usurper.

Antiochus immediately left Side and landing in Syria, was received with acclaim by all, delighted once more to own allegiance to a rightful and legitimate sovereign of the House of Seleucus. Tryphon was deserted by both people and army. He sought safety in flight but was pursued from place to place until finally, cornered and in despair, he perished by his own hand. Antiochus VII Euergetes, popularly known as Sidetes on account of the place of his bringing up, now ruled alone in the lands of his ancestors.

⁸⁴ A occurs once more here, as well as under the following reign.

a. With \triangle and one letter or monogram.

273 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus VII to r. in fillet border.

Rev. BASIMERS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) EYEPFETOY (to l.). Athene standing to l., helmeted, holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on shield adorned with Medusa head, and holds spear. The whole surrounded by laurel wreath. On l., beneath Nike, \triangle | \triangle or \triangle .

J. Ward Coll., no. 795, Plate IX; London, no. 30; Amer. Num. Soc.

274 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, 4

H.

Newell.

275 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, 4

AI.

Newell; Paris, no. 1151; London, no. 29.

276 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, 4

X

Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 764, Plate IX.

277 TETRADRACHM.

Obc. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, 4

R.

Electrotype in author's collection. London, no. 28, and Petrograd, no. 575, may be this variety.

278 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, 4

⊘.

Newell.

279 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, 4

Н.

Paris, no. 1152; London, no. 31.

280 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, 4

٨.

London, no. 32; Petrograd, no. 574.

Obv. Similar. www.libtool.com.cn Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, ф | П.

London, nos. 33, 34, and 35; Paris, nos. 1149 and 1150.

282 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, 4

Paris, nos. 1147 and 1148; Petrograd, no. 572; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 10; Newell (several specimens); Schulman, White-King Sale, 1904, no. 595 (Pl. vi); Sotheby, Bunbury Sale, no. 535 (Pl. v); Sotheby, Cumberland-Clark Sale, 1914, no. 278 (Pl. viii)

b. $\phi \mid A$ and one monogram or letter.

283 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar, but at times Nike faces to r. On l., beneath Nike, $\triangle \mid A$. On r., behind Athene, \triangle .

Paris, nos. 1142 and 1143; London, no. 22; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 9; Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 620. Plate IX; Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 708 (Pl. xix).

284 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar, with Nike at times facing to r. On l., beneath Nike, $\phi \mid A$. On r., behind Athene, \mathcal{A} .

Paris, nos. 1145 and 1158; London, no. 23a; Jameson Coll., no. 1730, Pl. lxxxvii; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 7.

285 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, \triangle | A. On r., behind Athene, \triangle .

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 5, (Pl. lxviii, 14).

286 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, 4 | A. On r., behind Athene, ϕ !.

London, no. 27.

287 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, 4 | A. On r., behind Athene, A.

Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 623, Plate IX.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar, sometimes Nike facing to r. On l., beneath Nike, $\phi \mid A$. On r., behind Athene, ϕ .

Paris, no. 1144; London, no. 21; Yale Univ. Coll.; Newell.

289 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, 4 | A. On r., behind Athene, H.

London, no. 20; Newell.

290 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On I., beneath Nike, 4 | A. On r., behind Athene, O.

Paris, no. 1146; London, no. 23; Petrograd, no. 571; Amer. Numis. Soc.

291 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar, Nike facing to r. On l., beneath Nike, $\phi \mid A$. On r., behind Athene, Σ .

Paris, no. 1157 (Pl. xxi, 14).

292 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar, Nike sometimes facing to r. On l., beneath Nike, $\Phi \mid A$. On r., behind Athene, Φ .

London, no. 26; Petrograd, no. 582; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 8; Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 621 (Pl. xix); Newell; Santa Maria, Hartwig Sale, 1910, no. 821 (Pl. xv).

c. ϕ | A and two letters.

293 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar, Nike facing to r. On l., beneath Nike, $\Phi \mid A$. On I., between Athene and inscription, A. On r., behind Athene, B.

Paris, no. 1155.

294 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar, Nike facing to r. On l., beneath Nike, $\phi \mid A$. On l., between Athene and inscription, A. On r., behind Athene, E.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 11.

Obv. Similar. www.libtool.com.cn

Rev. Similar, Nike facing to r. On l., beneath Nike, $4 \mid A$. On l., between Athene and inscription, A. On r., behind Athene, K.

Schulman Sale, Oct., 1912, no. 83 (Pl. ii).

296 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar, Nike sometimes facing to r. On l., beneath Nike, $\Delta \mid A$. On l., between Athene and inscription, T. On r., behind Athene, A.

London, no. 25; Amer. Numis. Soc.

297 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar, Nike facing to r. On l., beneath Nike, $\phi \mid A$. On l., between Athene and inscription, T. On r., behind Athene, Λ .

Paris, nos. 1153 and 1154 ($\phi \mid A = \Delta \mid A$); Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), nos. 13 and 14; Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, no. 3020 (Pl. xxvii); Petrograd, nos. 577 and 580 ($T = \Gamma$?); Newell; H. R. Drowne Coll.

298 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, \triangle | A. On l., between Athene and inscription, O. On r., behind Athene, \wedge .

Newell; London, no. 24; Paris, no. 1156...

299 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike, $4 \mid$ A. On l., between Athene and inscription, M. On r., behind Athene, K.

Petrograd, no. 576.

d. Drachms with \(\Drachmatha \) and one letter, contemporaneous with preceding three classes.

300 DRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus VII to r. in fillet border.

Rev. BASIAEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) EYEPFETOY (to l.). Nike advancing to l. holds wreath in outstretched r., and gathered drapery in l. On l., outside inscription, Φ | A.

Paris, no. 1162 (Pl. xxi, 15); London, no. 40; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 15; Newell.

DRACHM, libtool.com.cn

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, 4. On r., beneath wing, A.

London, no. 39.

302 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, 4 | A.

Petrograd, no. 589.

303 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, 4. On r., beneath wing, A.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 16.

304 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\triangle \mid 0$.

Newell, Plate X.

305 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, 4. On r., beneath wing, O.

London, no. 41; Paris, no. 1160; Petrograd, no. 586; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 18 (Pl. lxviii, 15).

306 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, 4 | II.

.Paris, no. 1161; Petrograd, no. 587.

307 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, Δ . On r., beneath wing, Π .

Jameson Coll., no. 1734 (Pl. lxxxvii); London, no. 42; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 17; Paris, no. 1159; Petrograd, no. 583.

308 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\Phi \mid \Phi$.

Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, no. 3032 (Pl. xxviii).

309 DRACHM.

Ohv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, Δ . On r., beneath wing, Φ .

Paris, no. 1163.

310 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. www.libtool.com.cn

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, 🖈 | 4.

Newell.

e. Issues without 4.

311 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 273.

Rev. Similar to no. 273. On l., beneath

Nike, K

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 3; Newell (monogram 😝), Plate X.

312 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscrip-

tion, $P \mid \Delta$.

Paris, no. 1164; Petrograd, nos. 591 and 592; Yale Univ. Coll.

312a DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscrip-

tion, 📈 | R.

Yale Univ. Coll.

313 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., beneath Nike,

B | A.

London, no. 36; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 4.

314 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 300.

Rev. Similar to no. 300. On l., outside

inscription, A | B.

315 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 273.

Rev. Similar to no. 273. On l., beneath

Nike, $\Xi \mid A$. In exergue, \square .

Petrograd, no. 573; Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 728, Plate X.

316 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 300.

Rev. Similar to no. 300. On l., outside

inscription, Σ .

Paris, no. 1165.

317 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscrip-

tion, ≥. On r., beneath wing, 0.

London, no. 38.

Antiochus VII seems to have struck silver coins in great quantity at his mint in Antioch. The person who, as in the preceding reigns, was placed in charge of this coinage signs himself 4. This soon becomes 4 | A; but the letter A does not seem to belong to 4 as we find it in conjunction with other letters on the coins of class "e." Perhaps it is the initial of another official, or might even be taken as the initial letter of Antioch: in other words a real mintmark in the full sense of that term. On the death of Antiochus VII it disappears until the second issue (series "b") of the reign of Antiochus VIII. The monogram 4 we have already met with on the coinages of Seleucus IV, Antiochus IV, Antiochus V and Demetrius I, but it can hardly be that of the same person. As mint officials at Antioch seem to have enjoyed such long tenure of office, it would not do violence to our credulity to suppose that members of the same family and with similar names held the office at various times. Perhaps, therefore, this 4 is a son or even grandson of the first 4. The very fact that persons were employed in the mint for such a long time presupposes that they reached a grade of sufficient authority to sign the coins with their monogram or initial at a comparatively early age. It must be remembered that at Rome, at this time, one of the first steps for a young man desirous of entering public life was to be made a triumvir of the mint.

Among the subordinates of \diamondsuit we find \triangle , \triangle , and \triangle all of whom were active under Antiochus VI and Tryphon, and so form the connecting link between the coinages of these rulers and those of Antiochus VII. At one period of Antiochus VII's reign the coinage of tetradrachms was so large that a more complicated system of supervision of the issues was temporarily introduced. In class "c" we have coins which are all supervised by both & and A (if this letter denotes a separate official) and, in addition, we have the sub-supervisors A, T (perhaps also F), O, and M with their subordinates A, B, E, K, A, and O. The style, too, of the majority of these particular coins shows hasty work, as if the mint were operating under unusual stress, and so, for this reason, new and poorer die-cutters had to be called in to assist in supplying the demand. It may even be possible to assign this group to the period (131-130 B. C.) when Antiochus was bending every energy to assemble an immense army for the approaching campaign against the Parthians.

There is little doubt but that the silver coin issues of Antiochus VII for Antioch can in time be worked out more surely and more

minutely than it has here been possible to do. The tetradrachms are so common that they are therefore not generally illustrated in the catalogues at my disposal. This means that only a comparatively small number could be studied from the standpoint of sequence of style and dies and so only a general outline of the issues has been given, which a study based on a larger number of actual examples or illustrations may later modify.

Although the Antiochene silver issues of this reign do not bear dates³⁵ we are most fortunately assisted by the contemporaneous bronze issues which do. These consist of four denominations as follows: I,



obv. Ship's prow, rev. Trident (fig. 10); II, obv. Winged bust of Eros, rev. Head-dress of Isis (fig. 11); III, obv. Lion's head, rev. Club (fig. 12): IV, obv. Prow of ship, rev. Caps of the Dioseuri (fig. 13). Taking together the facts that these are easily the commonest of all the bronze coins of Antiochus VII, that in style and fabric they are the immediate successors of the Antiochene bronze coins of Tryphon and bear many of the same symbols, and finally that the monogram 4 is found on practically every specimen, we see clearly proved that their mint must have been Antioch. They all bear dates from ΔΟΡ (Oct. 139-Oct. 138) to ΓΠΡ (Oct. 130-Oct. 129) inclusive, the former being the year in which Antiochus invaded Syria, the latter in which he met his death in the campaign against the Parthians. To be exact, during the summer and autumn of 130 B. C. occurred the successful battles in which Antiochus drove the Parthian out of Babylonia and Media, the winter of 130-129 was passed in Media, the spring of 129 saw the total defeat and death

⁸⁵ The series of tetradrachms (see Brit. Mus. Cat., pl. xx, 6) bearing dates from SOP to B∏P and of Attic weight and royal type — in contradistinction to the dated issues of this king of Phoenician type and weight — did not emanate from our mint.

of the Seleucid king. The bronze issues bearing his name and the date TWP must have come to an end with the receipt in Antioch of the news of the great disaster in the late spring of 129 B. C.

DEMETRIUS II, Second Reign in Antioch 129-128 B. C.

The last we heard of Demetrius II was as captive of the Parthian king. Phraates, early in 129 B. C., to ward off the threatening blow of Antiochus VII and not counting on his own sudden victory, sent Demetrius back to Syria with a small force to cause a diversion in Antiochus' absence. With the death of Antiochus everything was changed, and Phraates made a vain attempt to recapture Demetrius. But the latter succeeded in making good his escape and arrived in Antioch about the same time as the news of Antiochus' end. Once more Demetrius was king in Syria.

318 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Diademed and bearded head of Demetrius II to r. in fillet border.

Rev. BASIAEQS | Δ HMHTPIOY (to r.) Θ EOY | NIKATOPOS (to l.). Zens enthroned to l., holds wreath-bearing Nike in r., rests l. on sceptre. On l., outside inscription, \bowtie .

Paris, no. 1218.

319 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\bowtie \mid \mathsf{E}(?)$.

Jameson Coll., no. 1735 (Pl. lxxxviii).

320 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, Ξ . Beneath throne, O.

Newell, Plate X: Egger Sale, xli, 1912, no. 733 (Pl. xx); Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 715 (Pl. xx); Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 628 (Pl. xix); London, no. 17; Paris, no. 1212; Petrograd, no. 607; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 2.

321 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On I., outside inscription, Ξ . Beneath throne, Δ .

London, no. 18, Plate X; Paris, nos. 1215 and 1216.

322 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Www.libtool.com.cn Rev. Similar. In exergue, EO. Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 734 (Pl. xx).

323 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, Ξ . Beneath throne, Δ .

Newell, Plate X; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 4; Paris, no. 1217.

324 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. Rev. Similar. No letters. London, nos. 19 (Pl. xxi, 4) and 20; Petrograd, no. 610.

325 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar. • Rev. Similar. Between feet, Δ . Beneath throne, Ω .

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 5 (Pl. lxviii, 24).

In conjunction with the above may have been struck the bronze coins with reverse type Nike to left (Brit. Mus. Cat., Plate xxi, 12, and Hunterian Coll., Plate lxix, 3) and the letter Ξ in field and symbol Cornucopiae outside the inscription (fig. 14). The Ξ is found on the





fig. 14

silver issues of this mint, and the symbol is a common one on the bronzes of Antiochus VII also struck here.

Demetrius did not long enjoy his newly regained kingdom in its entirety. He had hardly returned to Antioch when his mother-in-law, ³⁶ Queen Cleopatra of Egypt, begged his support to restore her to her kingdom from which she had just been driven by her brother, Ptolemy Euergetes. Demetrius spent the winter of 129-128 collecting an army for the invasion of Egypt. In the spring he set out but was checked at Pelusium, for Antioch had suddenly risen in revolt behind him. Ptolemy seized this opportunity to send an Egyptian army to that city, and, with the inhabitants acclaim, to set upon the throne a creature of his own, said to be of Seleucid descent and an adopted son of Antiochus VII. The name of this new usurper was Alexander, pop-

³⁶ Bevan, loc. cit., page 248.

ularly called Zabinas or the "Bought-one." Demetrius was thus relegated, once more, to Seleucia and the Phoenician cities. His coinage in Antioch was therefore of but short duration, — from the late spring of 129 to the spring of 128. His issues here are the continuation of the last ones under Antiochus VII on which the monogram \bowtie and the letters \equiv and \bigcirc are found. It is probably only due to the preparations against Egypt that his Antiochene issues are fairly prolific, when we consider the short period of their striking.

ALEXANDER II, 128-123 B. C.

We have just seen the circumstances surrounding the accession to the Seleucid throne of Alexander II. His dominions at first did not extend much further than over Antioch and the valley of the middle Orontes.

a. I∑l in field.

326 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Diademed head of Alexander II to r. in fillet border.

Rev. BASIMERS (to r.) AMESANAPOY (to l.). Zeus enthroned to I. holds wreathbearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre. On l., outside inscription, |S|. Beneath throne, Δ .

Paris, nos. 1278 (Pl. xxiii, 4) and 1279.

327 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $|\Sigma|$. Beneath throne STAR over Δ .

Num. Chron., 1883, Pl. vi, 5; Paris, no. 1280; Petrograd, nos. 632, 633, and 634.

328 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., ontside inscription, $|\Sigma|$. Beneath throne, Σ .

Hirsch, Weber Sale, 1908, no. 4112 (Pl. liii).

329 TETRADRACHM.

Ohv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On L, ontside inscription, $|\Sigma|$. Beneath throne, STAR over Σ .

J. Ward Coll., no. 797, Plate X: London, no. 2: Paris, no. 1281.

⁸⁷ Bevan, *loc. cit.*, page 249.

⁸⁸ See nos. 311, 315, 317.

Obv. Similar. Www.libtool.com.cn

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, I∑I. Beneath throne, STAR over Al.

Petrograd, no. 638.

331 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $|\Sigma|$. Beneath throne, STAR over \mathfrak{S} .

Schulman, White-King Sale, 1904, no. 610 (Pl. vi).

b. AP in field.

332 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, \triangle . Beneath throne, \triangle .

Egger Sale xlv, no. 720 (Pl. xx).

333 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, \square P. Beneath throne, STAR over \triangle .

Petrograd, no. 635; C. S. Bement Coll.

334 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, \(\text{\sigma} \). Beneath throne, STAR over ≥.

Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 735, Plate X.

335 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, A. Beneath throne, A.

Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 632 (Pl. xx); Sotheby, Cumberland-Clark Sale, 1914, no. 283 (Pl. viii).

336 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. BASINEQS (to r.) ANEEANAPOY (to l.). Helmeted Athene standing to l. holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on shield and spear. On l., outside inscription, $\triangle P \mid A$.

London, no. 5, Plate X.

337 HEMIDRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (to r.) ΑΛΕΞΑΝΔΡΟΥ (to l.). Single cornucopiae. On l., ont-side inscription, \square (\square ?) | Σ .

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 12 (Pl. lxix, 9).

338 DIOBOI www.libtool.com.cn

Obr. Similar.

Rer. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (to r.) AΛΕΞΑΝΔΡΟΥ (to l.). Inverted anchor.

Paris, no. 1297 (Pl. xxiii, 8).

c. Pr in field.

339 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 326.

Rev. Similar to no. 326. On l., outside inscription, \triangle . Beneath throne, \triangle .

Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 631, Plate X; London, no. 4 (Pl. xxii, 2): Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 4; Paris, no. 1283.

340 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, \top. Beneath throne, \(\Sigma\).

Paris, no. 1282; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 5; Sotheby, Headlam Sale, 1916, no. 449 (Pl. x).

341 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On I., outside inscrip-has form AI).

Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 768 (Pl. xxi); Newell; Amer. Num. Soc.

342 DRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Alexander II to r. in dotted circle.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ (to r.) AΛΕΞΑΝΔΡΟΥ (to l.). Double cornucopiae bound with taenia. On l., between design and inscription, \bowtie $| \Delta$.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 8; Jameson Coll., no. 1741, Plate X.

343 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between design and inscription, \bowtie | \geq .

Paris, no. 1291 (Pl. xxiii, 5): Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 9: London, no. 6.

344 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., between design and inscription, $P \mid A\Phi$.

Paris, no. 1292; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 7.

345 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between design and inscription, $\triangle P \mid \Delta 1$ (or is this also AΦ?).

Paris, no. 1293.

346 HEMIDRACHM.

Obv. SimilaWww.libtool.com.cn

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 11.

d. Ξ in field.

347 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 326.

Rev. Similar to no. 326. On l., outside inscription, Ξ . Beneath throne, Δ .

Paris, no. 1289; Sotheby, Delbeke Coll., 1907, no. 222, Plate X.

348 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, Ξ . Beneath throne, Σ .

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 6 (Pl. lxix, 7).

349 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, Ξ . Beneath throne, A.

Paris, no. 1288.

350 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 342.

Rev. Similar to no. 342. On l., between design and inscription, $\Xi \mid \Sigma$.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 10 (Pl. lxix, 8); Petrograd, no. 639.

351 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., between design and inscription, $\Xi \mid A\Phi$.

Newell; London, no. 7, Plate X.

352 HEMIDRACHM.

Obv. Similar to preceding.

Rev. BASINEQS (to r.) AMESANAPOY (to l.). Winged Nike advancing to l., holds wreath in outstretched r., palm branch in l. On l., between figure and inscription, $\Xi \mid A\Phi$.

London, nos. 10 (Pl. xxii, 5) and 11.

e. & in field.

353 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar to no. 326.

Rev. Similar to no. 326. On l., outside inscription, \(\mathbb{L} \). Beneath throne, \(\mathbb{L} \).

Jameson Coll., no. 1739, Plate X.

354 TETRADRACHM. www.libtool.com.cn

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inseription, &. Bencath throne, A.

Paris, no. 1286.

355 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., ontside inscription, &. Beneath throne, Al.

Petrograd, no. 637.

f. **☆** in field.

356 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar to no. 342.

Rev. Similar to no. 342. On l., between design and inscription, $\bigotimes \mid A\Phi$.

Paris, no. 1294.

357 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., between design and inscription, $\diamondsuit \mid A$.

London, no. 8.

g. No monograms or letters.

358 GOLD STATER.

Obv. Diademed head of Alexander II to r. in fillet border.

Rev. BASINEQS | ANEEANAPOY (to r.) Θ EOY | EMIPANOYS(to l.)NIKH Φ OPOY (in exergue). Zeus enthroned to l., holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., and rests l. on sceptre.

London (formerly Montagu Coll., Sotheby, March, 1896, no. 716) Plate X.

The Antiochene tetradrachms of Alexander follow those of Demetrius II in style and type: obverse, the king's head, reverse, Olympian Zeus enthroned. Five supervising magistrates [S], [M], [M], [E], &, corresponding perhaps to the five years of Alexander's reign, place their signatures in the field of the tetradrachms, while their subordinates, four to each superior, place their signatures beneath the Zeus throne. [S] we know to have been in office shortly after the revolt which overthrew Demetrius' rule in Antioch (spring of 129 B. C.) and continued therein until the end of that year or the commencement of the next. This fact is determined by a series of bronze coins, consisting of two denominations as follows: (I) Diademed head of Alexander Zebinas, rev., standing Dionysus; (II) Bust of winged Eros, rev., Anchor, all



bearing the signature |≤| and the dates △∏P (year ending October 128 B. C.) and E∏P (after October 128), of the latter only one or two specimens being known. The next series of bronze that we can with certainty attribute to Antioch, also consists of two denominations: (1)



fig. 17

Head of Alexander in lion's skin, rev., Nike to left; (II) Helmeted head of Alexander, rev., Tyche with rudder (Hunterian Coll., no. 40, Pl. lxix, 12). These pieces bear no date, but have the signature $\ \ \ \ \ \$ of the third magistrate found on the tetradrachms. The dating of the bronze issues seems, therefore, to have been discontinued shortly after the commencement of the year ETP.

The first issue of drachms has a standing Athene for their reverse type, which in the next issue is changed to a double cornucopiae; the half drachm has the single cornucopiae. The letters and monograms of the fractional pieces are the same as on the larger denomination with the exception of the last issue of the drachm which has \mathbf{x} , a monogram which does not occur on the tetradrachm. But, in revenge, the latter have the monogram \mathbf{x} not found on the drachms.

In no. 358 we have a special coinage of gold staters which in style seem to parallel the later issues of the silver coins. This would corroborate the suggestion already made by M. Babelon (loc. cit., p. cl.) that their appearance was closely connected with the famous seizure of the golden Nike from the statue of Olympian Zeus set up by Antiochus IV in the Apollo temple at Daphne. To such straits had the royal treasury been reduced under Alexander II! When the people murmured at this sacrilege, Alexander is said to have replied that he was only 'accepting the victory that Zeus offered him'—this after he had but a short time previously been routed by the army of Antiochus VIII!

Quitewing keeping count but the spirit of this reply would be the title Nikephoros and the Zens type of the coins struck from the metal of the stolen statue.

REGENCY OF CLEOPATRA FOR ANTIOCHUS VIII.

Rule in Antioch 122-120 B. C.

This strong-willed queen, first the wife of Alexander Balas, then of Demetrius II, and during the latter's eaptivity in Parthia, of Antiochus VII, received with ill grace the return of Demetrius now imbued with Parthian manners. When in 126 B. C. he was disastrously defeated by Alexander II she repudiated him and seized the reins of government in her own name and that of their joint son Antiochus nicknamed Grypus because of his hooked nose. Demetrius himself perished a short time after and Cleopatra divided the Seleucid lands with the usurper Alexander. Cleopatra soon secured the assistance of Ptolemy against the man he himself had once helped to place on the throne of Syria. The forces of Alexander were completely routed in 123 B. C., and in the following year Cleopatra and her son, now Antiochus VIII, secured the possession of the capital Antioch. It was in this year then (Aer. Sel. 9P or 190) that coins were first struck at the Antiochene mint in the joint names and bearing the portraits of Cleopatra and Antiochus, although their coins had been appearing at Ake-Ptolemais since ZTTP.

359 TETRADRACHM.

Ohr. Draped bust of Cleopatra with stephanos to r., in front of diademed head of Antiochus VIII. Border of dots.

Rer. BAΣIΛΙΣΣΗΣ | ΚΛΕΟΠΑΤΡΑΣ (to r.) KAI | BAΣΙΛΕΩΣ | ANTIOXOY (to l.). Zeus, legs draped, end of chlamis hanging over l. arm, seated to l., holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre. On l., outside inscription, IE. Beneath throne, A.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 3, Plate XI.

360 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., ontside inscription, |E|. Beneath throne, $|\Delta|$.

Newell, Plate XI: London, no. 5: Petrograd, no. 661.

361 TETRADRACHM. www.libtool.com.cn

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, IE. Beneath throne, Al.

Paris, no. 1361; L. & L. Hamburger, Sale of Prince Alexander of Hesse, Oct., 1889, no. 288 (Pl. ii).

The style and details of the reverses of these tetradrachms is the same as those of Alexander II for Antioch, as are also the subordinate magistrates A, Δ , and A all of whom are found active under the two reigns. The silver issue is small while that of the bronze is much larger. In the latter we have two denominations, fortunately all dated.









fig. 18

fig. 19

The largest has obverse, Diademed and radiated head of Antiochus VIII, reverse, Owl on amphora and inscription identical to that on the tetradrachms. The small denomination has obverse, Bust of Tyche, reverse, Rudder handle and a similar inscription. It is noticeable that the inscription on both the bronze and the silver issues of Antioch omits the title Oéa after Cleopatra's name, a peculiarity not found on the issues of the other large mint at Ake-Ptolemais where coins of regal types and Attic weight were being struck at this time. Another and absolute proof that our tetradrachms and the bronzes just described belong together lies in the fact that the same supervising magistrate signs his initials (IE) on both. This magistrate (IE) is perhaps the same individual who in STP is found signing the issue of Phoenician tetradrachms struck by Demetrius II at Ascalon (Jameson Coll., no. 1736, Pl. lxxxviii). He seems to have later become a supporter of Cleopatra and as a reward was placed in charge of the central mint at Antioch when that city came under her dominion on the fall of Alexander. His assistants, however, belong to Antioch, and their signatures, together with the style and technique of the new coins issued, prove the Syrian capital to have been the mint. The subordinates on the silver sign with letters, on the bronze with symbols, a peculiarity already long in vogue on the coinages of our mint. These bronzes, in addition to the letters IE and the symbols, all bear the dates 9P and APP, showing that the issues in the two metals appeared early in 122 B. C. and listed tilhperhaps the end of 121 B. C. We have coins dated as late as BPP with the portraits and names of Cleopatra and Antiochus VIII for Sidon and Ake-Ptolemais, but their Antiochene issues seem to have ceased in APP (Oct. 122-Oct. 121).

ANTIOCHUS VIII.

First rule in Antioch, 121-113 B. C.

Sometime in the course of the year 121-120 B. C. Antiochus VIII found cause to suspect the designs of his mother Cleopatra and forced her to drink a poison cup which she had actually intended for him. After this event Antiochus VIII ruled alone in what remained of the Seleucid dominions.

a. Athene type.

362 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus VIII to r., in fillet border.

Rev. BASINEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ETIIPANOYS(tol.). Athene, helmeted and adorned with Aegis, standing to l., holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., spear in l. which she rests on shield. The whole in laurel wreath. On l., outside inscription, $|E| \Theta$.

London, no. 17 Plate XI; Newell; Petrograd, no. 687.38

363 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\exists E \mid \mathbf{\xi}$.

Paris, no. 1428.

364 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $|E| \bowtie 1$.

Paris, no. 1429 (Pl. xxv, 7).

In the Brit. Mus. Cat., Syria, nos. 18, 19, and 20 of Antiochus VIII are three coins which may belong to this series, but as none of them have the characteristic letters |E| and as I have not been able to study them in the original or in casts, they have not been included.

³⁸ Jameson Coll., no. 1746 (Pl. lxxxviii) has not been included as it appears to be a barbaric imitation.

b. Zeus Uranius type.

365 TETRADINANONI National Com.cn

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar inscription. Zeus Uranius, naked to waist, end of chlamis over l. shoulder, standing to l., head adorned with crescent, holds star in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre. The whole enclosed in laurel wreath. On l., outside inscription, IE | A. On r., between figure and inscription, A.

Paris, no. 1416; Jameson Coll., no. 1745, Plate XI; Petrograd, no. 677.

366 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, |E| A. On r., between figure and inscription, Al.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 7.

367 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $IE \mid A$. On r., between figure and inscription, N.

London, no. 10; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 8; Newell.

368 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, IE | A. On r., between figure and inscription, O.

Paris, no. 1413 (Pl. xxv, 3); Schulman Sale, 1904, White-King Coll., no. 617 (Pl. vi).

369 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, IE | A. On r., between figure and inscription, P.

Paris, no. 1419.

370 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, IE | A. On r., between figure and inscription, A. In exergue, K.

Paris, no. 1418.

371 TETRADRACHM.

Ohv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., ontside inscription, |E| A. On r., between figure and inscription, Al. In exergue, K.

London, no. 9, Plate XI.

372 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, |E| A. On r., between figure and inscription, P. In exergue, K.

Newell.

373 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, |E| A. On r., between figure and inscription, Φ . In exergue, K.

J. Ward Coll., no. 799 (Pl. xx); Paris, no. 1415.

374 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On I., ontside inscription, IE | A. On r., between figure and inscription, N. In exergue, N.

London, no. 11: Newell.

375 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, |E| A. On r., between figure and inscription, P. In exergue, P.

Paris, no. 1414.

376 TETRADRACHM.

Ohv. Similar.

Newell, Plate XI.

376a TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

London, no. 12.

377 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Paris, no. 1417.

Rev. Similar. On 1., ontside inscription, |E| = A. In exergue, Δ .

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, |E| A. In exergue, P.

Rev. Similar. On 1., ontside inscription, |E| A. In exergue, Φ .

Obv. Similar. www.libtool.com.cn

Rev. Similar, but Zeus entirely undraped. On l., ontside inscription, $\mathsf{IE} \mid \mathsf{A}$. In exergue, II .

London, no. 16.

c. Drachms with Tyche type.

379 DRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head as above, in fillet border.

Rev. BASINEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ETIPANOYS (to l.). Tyche standing to l. holds rudder in r., cornucopiae in l. On l., outside inscription, IE.

Petrowicz Coll. (published by Dr. Macdonald in the Zeitschrift für Numismatik, 1912, vol. 29, Pl. v, 13). Because of the very youthful head on this coin it probably is to be placed under the first issue of this reign.

380 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar, but an older portrait and Rev. Similar. On L, outside inscription, (1) E.

Petrowicz Coll. (published by Dr. Macdonald in the Zeitschrift für Numismatik, 1912, vol. 29, Pl. v, 14).

The tetradrachms just catalogued are accompanied throughout by a bronze coinage consisting of two denominations: (I) obverse, Radi-









fig. 20

fig. 21

ate and diademed head of Antiochus VIII to right, reverse, Eagle to left (fig. 20); (II) obverse, Bust of Artemis, reverse, standing Apollo (fig. 21). As the tetradrachms, so these bronze coins all bear the magistrate's initials IE. In addition the bronze coins bear dates from BPP to OPP inclusive, definitely placing the silver issues within a period commencing not earlier than October 121 or lasting not later than October 113 B. C. But we know from our historical sources that in the latter year the half brother of Antiochus Grypus, also named Antiochus with the nickname Cyzicenus, having received help from Egypt and having invaded Cilicia, at last obtained the decision over Antiochus VIII (Grypus) and drove him out of Antioch. This event probably took place in the early spring of 113 B. C. at the commencement of the campaigning season.

www.hotcodfpmsnIX. First rule in Antioch, 113-112 B. C.

Antiochus IX Philopator, nicknamed Cyzicenus, now ruled in Autioch and struck the following coins.

381 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus IX, with slight beard, to r. Fillet border.

Rer. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ΦΙΛΟΠΑΤΟΡΟΣ (to l.). Athene, helmeted, standing to l. holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on shield and holds spear. The whole surrounded by laurel wreath. On l., outside inscription, N | A.

Paris, no. 1474; Petrograd, no. 711.

382 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $\mathbb{N} \mid A$. On r., between figure and inscription, A.

Newell, Plate XI; Newell; Paris, nos. 1469 and 1470; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 5; Hirsch, Weber Sale, 1908, no. 4132 (Pl. liv); Sotheby, O'Hagan Sale, 1908, no. 694 (Pl. xi).

383 TETRADRACHM.

Ohr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\nabla \mid A$. On r., between figure and inscription, Δ .

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 6; Paris, no. 1473.

384 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On I., outside inscription, $\mathbb{N} \mid A$. On r., between figure and inscription, N.

Paris, nos. 1471 and 1472: Petrograd, nos. 708, 709, and 710: Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 7: London, no. 14: Amer. Num. Soc.: Egger Sale, Jan.. 1908, no. 652 (Pl. xx).

385 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On I., outside inscription, $\mathbb{N} \mid A$. On r., between figure and inscription, O.

Glasgow (Hunterian Cöll.), no. 8 (Pl. lxx, 3); Newell: London, no. 13.

The reverse type here chosen by Antiochus IX Cyzicenus for his tetradrachms, reproduces exactly that of his father Antiochus VII, a

gentle reminder to his subjects of his claim to the Syrian throne. \mathbb{N} is now supervising integrate in the place of Grypus' man $^{\dagger}\mathsf{E}$, but the accompanying A still remains. Although the superior has been changed, the subordinates have not, and so we find Δ , N, O in office during both coinages. As under Grypus, so now, we have a corresponding issue of bronze coins which, being dated, establishes the exact period at which the entire series appeared. As yet only one denomination has turned





fig. 22

up, obverse, Head of Antiochus IX of exactly similar style and appearance as on the tetradrachms; reverse, Thunderbolt. The monogram N which these bronze coins all bear associates them unmistakably with the silver, and the dates OPP and ≥ place them between the end of 114 and the beginning of 112 B. C. As Grypns' bronze coins end with a plentiful issue under the date OPP, the present pieces could not have appeared earlier than the spring of 113 B. C. and it is at just this date that our historical sources⁵⁰ place the first arrival of Cyzicenus in Antioch.

The new king, however, did not long hold the Syrian capital; for by the following year Grypus, having reorganized his forces, returned, defeated his half brother near Antioch, drove him into flight and laid siege to the city now defended by Cyzicenus' wife Cleopatra. In due course the city fell, and Cleopatra was murdered at the jealous and vindictive insistence of her own sister Tryphaena, the wife of Grypus. The latter was now once more master in Antioch and naturally put an end to the coinage bearing the name, portrait, and types of his brother. These events are proved by the coins to have taken place not later than the spring and summer of 112 B. C., for under the following section is described a bronze coin of Grypus of Antiochene mintage also with the date ≥ (year ending October 112 B. C.). The city must therefore have surrendered some little time before the beginning of October of that year.

³⁹ Euseb. I, 257, 38, where this event is placed in the fourth year of the 166th Olympiad, or exactly 113 B. C.; see also Justin XXXIX, 2, 9, "Octo annis" that is 121-113.

ANTIOCHUS VIII. Second reign in Antioch, 112 B. C. www.libtool.com.cn

Although, as we have seen, Cyzicenus had suffered a bad defeat outside Antioch and had been forced to leave his wife Cleopatra to defend that city, he must never-the-less have saved a goodly portion of his army and received some reinforcements in addition, for our historians relate that soon after the terrible death of Cleopatra at the hands of Tryphaena, he returned, defeated Grypus, and seized and executed Tryphaena. Grypus found himself so hard pressed that he had once more to evacuate Antioch and fled as far as Aspendus.

We have a bronze coin (Paris, no. 1401) of the same types as described under the first coinage of Grypus but dated ≥. This can only be assigned, on account of the date, to the short period of his second rule in Antioch. As only one specimen has come down to us, the coinage must have been small, which fact harmonizes well with the very short duration of time during which it could have been struck. No silver coins have as yet been published that could, with any probability, be assigned to this particular period.

ANTIOCHUS IX. Second rule in Antioch, early in 111 B. C.

After avenging himself on the person of Tryphaena for the murder of his wife, Cyzicenus did not long enjoy his regained kingdom. By the spring or summer of 111 B. C., Grypus had once more recuperated his forces, and returning from Aspendus drove his half brother out of the capital.⁴⁰ Even so, however, Cyzicenus found enough time to issue the following rare tetradrachm.

386 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus IX, with indication of beard, to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BASIAE Ω S | ANTIOXOY (to r.) Φ IAO Π ATOPOS (to l.). Athene, helmeted, standing, to l., holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., her l. holds spear and rests on shield. On l., outside inscription, TM | A. On r., between figure and inscription, Γ .

Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, no. 3058 and later in the S. Rosenberg (Frankfurt A/M) Sale, March, 1914, no. 143 (Pl. v), Plate XI: Paris, no. 1475.

⁴⁰ Ensebins (ed. Schoene) actually says: "Postquam Antiokhus in Aspentum se recepit, A. CLXVII Olompiadis anno primo (= 112-111 B. C.), Kizikenus Antiokhus est potitus. Verum secundo anno einsdem Olompiadis (= 111-110 B. C.), iterum reversus ab Aspento Antiokhus et ipse Syriam tenet, Kizikenus vero aliquam partem."

Cyzicenus' second reign in Antioch was of such short duration (late in the wear 112 to early in the year 111 B. C.) that there could not have been many coins struck. No bronze coins have been published that can with certainty be assigned to this time. The suggestion, however, is here made that the silver tetradrachm just described might have appeared during this short interval between Grypus' second and third reigns in Antioch. The superviser M is known only from the two specimens in existence described above and could not therefore have long been in office. The accompanying A associates this coin with all the Antiochene issues of both Grypus and Cyzicenus, the style is most like the issues of Grypus for his third reigns, the subordinate has not appeared before but is actually found on the immediately succeeding issue of Grypus. All these internal evidences seem to point to the attribution here suggested.

ANTIOCHUS VIII. Third reign in Antioch, 111-109 B. C.

As related above, Grypus by the early summer of 111 B. C. had once more appeared in Syria, driven Cyzicenus from Antioch, and commenced what was now his third reign in that city.

387 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus VIII to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BASINEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ETIPANOYS (to l.). Zeus Uranius, head adorned with crescent, lower part of body draped, end of chlamis over l. shoulder, standing to l., holds star in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre. On l., outside inscription, \P | A. On r., between figure and inscription, Γ .

Paris, no. 1421; Newell.

388 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\Phi \mid A$. On r., between figure and inscription, Δ .

Newell, Plate XI [formerly Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 771 (Pl. xxi)].

⁴⁰ See note on preceding page.

⁴¹ Euseb., 259, 2, : Olymp. 167, 2 = 111/10 B. C.

389 TETRADRACHM. www.libtool.com.cn

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\varphi \mid A$. On r., between figure and inscription, K.

Paris, no. 1422: Petrograd, no. 678: Newell.

390 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar,

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\Phi \mid A$. On r., between figure and inscription, Ξ .

Newell, Plate XI.

391 DRACHM.

Ohv. Diademed head of Antiochus VIII to r. in dotted eirele.

Rev. BASINEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ETIPANOY (to l.). Tripod. On l., outside inscription, \mathfrak{P} | Γ .

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 15.

392 DRACHM.

Ohr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\mathfrak{R} \mid \mathbb{N}$.

London, nos. 7 and 8; Jameson Coll., 1749, Plate XI; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 16; Paris, no. 1423 (Pl. xxv, 4).

393 HEMIDRACHM.

Ohr. Similar.

Rev. Similar inscription. Winged Nike to I., holds wreath in outstretched r., palm branch in I. On I., outside inscription, $\Phi + \Gamma$.

London, nos. 9, Plate XI, and 10: Petrograd, no. 689; Newell.

394 HEMIDRACHM.

Ohr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\mathfrak{P} \mid \mathbb{N}$.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 18.

395 DIOBOL.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar inscription. Ear of wheat, on stalk with two leaves, to r. On l., in field, $\Phi + A$.

Imhoof-Blumer, Monnaies Grecques, p. 436, no. 116.

For this series of tetradrachms * is mint supervisor. The letterA, as heretofore, accompanies the monogram, together with the subordinates Γ , Δ , K, and Ξ , all of whom we have met with before.

In addition to the drachm we find the hemidrachm and diobol, both of which demonstrations of made not been struck for some time.

Accompanying the silver coins are three varieties of bronzes, all evidently of the same denomination, and two of them fortunately dated. In the Hunterian Collection at Glasgow are bronze coins nos. 39-41 (Plate lxx, 2) with the same types as are found in the first and second reigns of Grypus at Antioch, namely: obverse, Head of Antiochus VIII, reverse, Eagle to left, the inscription giving Grypus the (for his coins) unusual title Philometor, and in the exergue the date BE (between October 111 and October 110). In Paris (no. 1404, Plate xxv, 1) is a similar coin but with the more usual title Epiphanes. The date on this piece is also BZ. These particular coins seem to be scarce; the more usual bronzes struck for the remainder of this period of Grypus' rule in Antioch have for their obverse type, Head of Antiochus VIII. for the reverse, Tripod surmounted by a thunderbolt (see Brit. Mus. Cat., Plate xxvi, 7). These pieces bear no date, but are furnished with the same monogram as the silver, namely, \(\phi\) or \(\pi\). The dated bronzes just described also have the two forms of monogram * and *.

ANTIOCHUS IX. Third reign in Antioch, 109-108 B. C.

396 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus IX, with slight beard, to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BAΣIΛΕΩΣ | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ΦΙΛΟΠΑΤΟΡΟΣ (to l.). Athene, helmeted, standing to l., holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., holds spear in l., which she rests on shield; the whole surrounded by lanrel wreath. On l., ontside inscription, & | A. On r., between figure and inscription, O.

London, no. 11, Plate XII; Petrograd, no. 714.

397 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, ♣ | A. On r., between figure and inscription, P.

Schulman, Dos Santos Coll., Sept., 1906, no. 4846 (Pl. ii).

398 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar. libtool.com.cn

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $A \mid A$. On r., between figure and inscription, Z.

Paris, no. 1476.

399 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $A \mid A$. On r., between figure and inscription, KY.

Newell.

400 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $A \mid A$. On r., between figure and inscription, A.

Paris, no. 1477 (Pl. xxvi, 9).

401 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $A \mid A$. On 1., between figure and inscription, A.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 10 (Pl. lxx, 4).

402 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $A \mid A$. On r., between figure and inscription, Δ .

Newell.

403 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., ontside inscription, $A \mid A$. On l., between figure and inscription, A.

London, no. 12; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 9; Newell.

404 DRACHM.

Ohr. Diademed head of Antiochus IX, as above, in dotted circle.

Rev. Inscription as on preceding coin. Winged Nike to l. holds wreath in outstretched r. On l., outside inscription, & | \mathbb{N} .

Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 752, Plate XII.

Modern historians⁴² have generally considered this a period of enforced peace between the two antagonists, Grypus now ruling in the

⁴² Among others, see Bevan, loc. cit., vol. 11, p. 255.

north, and Cyzicenus in Coele-Syria and Phoenicia. Our coins would seem to modify this supposition in so far as the cessation of hostilities will have to be placed as late as 108/107 B. C., at which time Grypus definitely secured Antioch and retained it until his death in 96 B. C. For we have the above series of tetradrachms which by style and monogram certainly belong to Antioch. The portrait, type, and inscription show the pieces to have been struck by Cyzicenus. In fabric and style they are the latest of his Antiochene issues with the Athene type; in style and fabric they are subsequent to the issues of Grypus' third reign (see Plate XI, nos. 388, 390), but certainly precede the fourth reign issues of the same ruler (Plate XII) as well as the last issues of Cyzicenus himself (Plate XII, nos. 414-5, 417-8). Sequence of style and fabric would therefore place them at just this juncture. In cor-





fig. 23

roboration of this dating we find a series of bronze coins of the fabric peculiar to Antioch (conformed to by all the bronze issues of this city since the first days of Antiochus VII Sidetes), with types, obverse, Bearded head of Herakles, reverse, Athene armed with helmet, spear and shield, standing to left. These coins all bear in the field the monogram ♣ of the silver, and in the exergue the date $\Gamma \ge$ (Glasgow, nos. 20 and 21). This entire coinage of silver and bronze coins falls, therefore, at some point between October 110 and October 109.⁴³

If our conclusions are accepted—and it seems gratuitons to discard what appears to be clear and definite evidence furnished by our coins—there is revealed to us a period of rule by Cyzicenus in Antioch unrecorded in the confused and meagre historical notices of this period,

⁴³ Brit. Mus. Catalogue "Kings of Syria," p. 93, nos. 24 and 25 give the date E∑. This date on no. 25 is very doubtful and is questioned by Gardner himself. Mr. G. F. Hill, in answer to my query and in spite of the difficulty due to war conditions, very kindly undertook to verify the dates on these two British Museum specimens. He reports that the date on no. 24 reads Γ∑, on no. 25 ೯∑. As the middle seriph is entirely lacking, and the lower seriph is very faulty we would seem to be justified in reading these dates Γ∑ as on the Glasgow specimens. It is to be remarked here that the series of bronze coins of Antiochus IX, obv. Bust of Eros, rev. Nike (type of B. M. Cat., nos. 27–30), bearing an almost unbroken series of dates running from ∑ to A|∑ could not possibly have been struck at Antioch, because Cyzicenus did not reign so many years in that city. They are rather to be attributed to Sidon.

that have survived to our day. On the other hand, this coinage and the dates of its issue very neatly explain an obscure point in a certain royal decree of this very period. I refer to the marble slab unearthed in the spring of 1887 in the ruins of the temple of Aphrodite at Paphos in Cyprus.⁴⁴ Upon the marble is engraved a transcription of a letter from Antiochus VIII to Ptolemy Alexander, and also another letter (very much mutilated) from the city of Seleucia in Pieria. The following is the royal letter as restored by U. Wilcken;⁴⁵ the second letter is not reproduced because of its poor state of preservation.

[Β]ασιλεύς 'Αντίοχος βασιλεί Πτολεμαίωι τῶι καὶ ['Αλ]εξάνδρωι τωι άδελφωι χαίρειν. Εἰ ἔρρωσαι, είη ἄν ώς βου-[λόμ]εθα, καὶ αὐτοὶ δὲ ὑγιαίνομεν καὶ σοῦ ἐμνημονεύομεν [φιλο] στόργως. Σελευκείς τους εν Πιερίαι της ίερας καὶ ἀσύλου [πάλαι] μὲν τῶι πατρὶ ἡμῶν προσκληρωθέντας καὶ τὴν [παρ' αὐτ]ῶν εὔνοιαν μέχρι τέλους βεβαίαν συντηρήσαντας, έμμείνα ντας δε καὶ τῆ πρὸς ήμᾶς φιλοστοργίαι καὶ ταῦ-[τα διὰ πολλῶ]ν καὶ καλῶν ἔργων καὶ μάλιστ' ἐν τοῖς ἔπει-[τα ἀναγκαι]οτάτοις καιροῖς ἀποδειξαμένους καὶ κα-[λως καὶ μεγαλ]οψύχως καὶ αύτων ἀξίως ἐπαυξήσαντες [είς μείζον πρ]οηγάγομεν άξίωμα, καὶ νυνὶ δὲ τῆς πρώ-[της εὐεργ]εσίας καταξιῶσαι σπουδάζοντες [εκρίναμεν εί]ς τον απαντα χρόνον ελευθέρους [είναι. 'Ακολούθω]ς αίς έποιησάμεθα πρὸς ἀλλή-[λους συνθήκαις, δ] πως καὶ πρὸς τὴν πατρίδα [φιλόστοργον μέλλη] εκφανέστερον έσεσθαι, ["["] $\sigma \theta \epsilon$. Ly $\Gamma \circ \rho \pi \iota a \iota \circ v \kappa [\beta]$.

We here see before us the copy of a letter from Antiochus VIII Grypus (as proved by Wilcken) to Ptolemy XI Alexander king of Cyprus informing the latter that autonomy had just been granted to the city of Seleucia in Pieria. The letter is dated in the month Gorpiacos (August to September) of year 3. As Wilcken shows, we know from coins and other sources that Seleucia was granted this autonomy in the autumn of 108 B. C. When Antiochus wrote the letter this honor had just (νυνὶ δὲ) been decreed. Therefore the year 3 by which the letter is dated must refer to an era commencing in 111 B. C. (108 + 3 - 111). It was in this year that Grypus returned from his temporary exile at Aspendus, drove his brother Cyzicenus out of Antioch, and

Journ, Hell, Stud., IX, 1888, page 229, no. 14.
 U. Wilcken in *Hermes*, XXIX (1894), page 436f.

once nore seized the reins of government in that city. But the letter itself speaks of the literar decreed to Seleucia as having only just (νυνὶ δὲ) been given — that is, in 108 B. C.— and by its wording suggests that the immediate reason for the granting of this honor was the loyalty and friendship shown to Antiochus by the city in very recent times of great danger or trouble (ἐν τοῖς ἔπειτα ἀναγκαιοτάτοις καιροῖς). Wilcken himself46 draws attention to the otherwise curious fact that three years had elapsed between the return of Grypus from Aspendus and the promulgation of the decree; and yet one would infer from the wording of the letter that the particular occasion which induced the granting of the honor had taken place quite recently. If now, as our coins would seem to show, Cyzicenus did succeed in once more driving Grypus out of Antioch (about 109 B. C.) and the latter in this strait was received by Seleucia, loyally supported by her inhabitants, and so enabled not long afterwards to regain Antioch, we would possess a full and very plausible explanation not only of the immediate reason for the granting of the honor of autonomy, but also for the date (108 B. C.) at which this was accomplished. Thus the decree and our coins, when taken together. reciprocally serve to explain and throw light upon each other.

ANTIOCHUS VIII. Fourth reign in Antioch, 108-96 B. C. TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus VIII to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BASINEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ETIPANOYS (to l.). Zeus, legs draped, seated to l., holds wreath-bearing Nike (always to r.) in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre; the whole surrounded by laurel wreath. On l., outside inscription, $\{ \} \mid A$. Beneath throne, Δ or $\{ \}$.

Newell, Plate XII; Newell (another); Petrograd, nos. 695, 696, and 697; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 12 (Pl. lxix, 20); Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, no. 3072 (Pl.xxix); London, no. 2 (Coins of the Ancients, Pl. 61); Paris, nos, 1433, 1434 and 1435; Jameson Coll., no. 1744 (Pl. lxxxviii); Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, nos. 648, 649, and 650 (Pl. xx); J. Ward Coll., no. 800 (Pl. xx); Hirsch, Weber Sale, no. 4127 (Pl.

⁴⁶ His actual words (loc. cit., p. 444-5) are: "Jetzt aber, d. h. nach Obigem im Sommer des Jahres 108 v. Chr., hat er ihnen die vornehmste Wohlthat (πρώτη εὐεργεσία) erwiesen, indem er sie für ewige Zeit für 'frei' erklärt hat Weshalb er gerade im Jahre 108 sich dazu entschlossen hat, wissen wir nicht." (The italics are mine.)

liv); Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 772 (Pl. xxi); Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 746 (Pl. xx); Sotheby, Burbling Safe, 1896, no. 589 (Pl. vi); Cumberland-Clark Sale, 1914, no. 285 (Pl. viii); Schulman, Dos Santos Coll., Sept., 1906, no. 4845 (Pl. ii).

406 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $g \mid A$. Beneath throne, A.

London, no. 1; Petrograd, no. 693.

407 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\xi \mid A$. Beneath throne, Π .

London, nos. 3 and 4; Paris, no. 1431 (Pl. xxv, 9); Amer. Nnm. Soc.; Petrograd, nos. 690 and 691; Schulman, White-King Sale, 1904, no. 621 (Pl. vii); Egger Sale xlvi, 1914, no. 2469, Plate XII.

408 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, ξ | A. Beneath throne, π .

London, no. 5; Paris, no. 1432: Petrograd, no. 694.

409 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar head in circle of dots.

Rev. Similar inscription. Tripod. On l., outside inscription, $g \mid A$.

London, no. 6 (Pl. xxvi, 4); Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, no. 3054 (Pl. xxviii).

410 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On I., outside inscription, $\xi \mid \Gamma$.

Newell; Petrograd, no. 680; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 13, Plate XII.

411 DRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On I., outside inscription, $\xi \mid \Delta$.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 14.

412 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., ontside inscription, $\mathfrak{k} \mid \mathsf{P}$.

Petrograd, no 679.

413 HEMIDRACIIM.

Ohv. Head as on preceding.

Rev. Inscription as on the preceding. Winged Nike to I., holds wreath in outstretched r. On I., ontside inscription, $\mathbb{P} \mid \mathbb{A}$.

Glasgow (Hnnterian Coll.), no. 17.

Aside from the evidence furnished by a natural sequence of style, the date of the applearance of this issue of tetradrachms, drachms and hemidrachms is fixed by the fact that it evidently served as the prototype for the autonomous coin issues of Seleucia in Pieria, first struck in 104 B. C. This city, for its steadfast loyalty to Grypus, was granted autonomy by him in 108 B. C. Comparing this date with the last year of Antiochus IX Cyzicenus' third reign in Antioch (coins dated \subseteq and therefore struck before October of 109 B. C.), the inference becomes obvious that Seleucia stood loyally by Grypus in this his last period of exile from his capital and probably aided him to a great extent in recovering it. This therefore furnished him the real reason for granting the city its autonomy at this particular time.

Dr. Macdonald has already suggested the attribution to the Antiochene mint of the coins described above (nos. 405-413) because of their great similarity in every respect to certain bronze coins of autonomous type struck a little later than this time in that city (see Catalogue of the Hunterian Coll., vol. iii, p. 100).



Accompanying the series of three denominations in silver as described above, there was a further issue of three denominations in bronze (I) obverse, Diademed head of Grypus, reverse, Double cornucopiae; (II) Similar head, reverse, Tyche standing with cornucopiae and rudder; (III) Similar head, reverse, Rose. Unfortunately none of these are dated but all bear the monogram & exactly as found on the silver.

The sequence of types and style, as seen on our Plates XI and XII, is sufficient to prove correct M. Babelon's assignment of these coins to Antiochus VIII Grypus rather than to Antiochus XI as suggested by Gardner in the British Museum Catalogue. The fallacy of the latter's attribution was also seen and definitely refuted by J. Friedländer in the Zeitschrift für Numismatik, vol. VII, 1880, pp. 225-227.

ANTIOCHUS IX. Fourth reign in Antioch, 96-95 B. C. www.libtool.com.cn

Upon the murder of Grypus by his minister of war Herachion, Antiochus IX Cyzicenus apparently was able to seize Antioch once more. His success was immediately challenged by Grypus' eldest son Seleucus who proclaimed himself king and in the following year was able to overthrow Cyzicenus. The latter either perished by his own hand in the final battle (according to Eusebius) or was captured and put to death by Seleucus (according to Josephus).

414 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed and beardless head of Antiochus IX to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BASINEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) Φ INOTIATOPOS (to l.). Zeus, naked to waist, enthroned to l., holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre. The whole surrounded by laurel wreath. On l., outside inscription, $\xi \mid A$ Beneath throne, Δ or Δ .

Sotheby, Bunbury Sale, no. 577, Plate XII; Paris, no. 1480 (Pl. xxvi, 10); Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, no. 3057 (Pl. xxviii); Hirsch, Weber Sale, 1908, no. 4131 (Pl. liv); Newell.

415 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $\mathcal{F} \mid A$. On l., between figure and inscription, H. Beneath throne, Δ .

Newell, Plate XII (formerly Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 774): London, no. 5 (Pl. xxv, 1); Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 654 (Pl. xx).

416 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\mathcal{F} \mid A$. On 1., between figure and inscription, Π . Beneath throne, Δ .

Schulman, White-King Sale, 1904, no. 627 (Pl. vii).

417 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., ontside inscription, $\xi \mid A$. Beneath throne, Π .

Jameson Coll., no. 1752, Plate XII.

418 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\mathcal{F} \mid A$. Beneath throne, N.

Newell, Plate XII.

419 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar head as liabove. Similar head as liabove. Similar head as liabove. Similar head as liabove.

Rev. Similar inscription as on preceding. Tyche, with calathos, standing to l. holds rudder in r., cornucopiae in l. On l., outside inscription, $\not\in \mid \Pi$.

London, no. 15, Plate XII; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), nos. 11 and 12.

420 HEMIDRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar inscription. Winged Nike to l. holds wreath in outstretched r. On l., outside inscription, £.

Paris, no. 1481 (Pl. xxvi, 11); Newell, Plate XII.

Not only has there been some discussion as to when and where the above series was struck, but it has even been doubted⁴⁷ that it was issued by Cyzicenus. The portrait is unlike any other known one of Antiochus IX in that it is clean shaven.

Comparing the tetradrachms with those of the fourth reign of Grypus in Antioch and those of Seleucus VI (Plate XII) we see that because of style, fabric, and monograms the present series can only fall between the coins of those two sovereigns. The monogram Δ and the letter Π occur on the last coins of Grypus (nos. 405 and 407), while on the coins of Seleucus we find not only Δ , but, in addition, the letter Π which is to be seen beneath the throne on no. 418 (Cyzicenus) but not on any of Grypus' latest issues. These coins of Cyzicenus must belong to Antioch, as they are of identical fabric with this city's coinage under the preceding king as well as under the later kings. We have already found the monogram Δ used on the Antiochene issues in and from the time of Antiochus VII, while the letter Π (which may denote the Antiochene mint) has accompanied the monogram of the supervising magistrate for Antiochus VII, VIII and IX. All these evidences combined place the coins in question at Antioch between the death of Antiochus VIII Grypus and the arrival in that city of Seleucus VI.

In looking closely at the portrait of Cyzicenus on these coins we recognize that although it is clean shaven, still it has a decidedly older look than the portrait on the issues of this monarch which we have studied under nos. 381-385, 386, 396-404. On these the features are not so heavy, the cheeks are thinner, and, in spite of the slight beard running around the curve of the jaw, there is an unmistakable air of youthfulness about it that is entirely lacking on the clean-shaven heads.

⁴⁷ See Bevan, loc. cit., vol. II, p. 304, appendix V.

Cyzicerus whenche first secured possession of Antioch in the year 113 B. C., was a young man between twenty and twenty-five years of age, for we know that in 129 B. C. his mother Cleopatra had sent him to Cyzicus for his education. His features, with mascent beard, as they appear on his first coin issues at Antioch, resemble those of many a young Syrian of to-day. By 96 B. C. Cyzicenus was in his late thirties or early forties and, like the majority of modern Levantines, may well have grown gross and fleshy. In fact we know from our historians that he was a very heavy drinker, which would certainly, to a great extent, account for the changed features found on his last issues of the years 96 to 95 B. C. There is no reason to suppose that these coins, bearing as they do his characteristic inscription BASIAEΩΣ ANTIOXOY ΦΙΛΟΠΑΤΟΡΟΣ are the issues of any other of the Seleucid kings than Antiochus IX Cyzicenus.

In speaking of the war which raged between Cyzicenus and Seleucus after the death of Grypus, Eusebius distinctly mentions the fact that Cyzicenus held Antioch. Our coins undoubtedly show that Cyzicenus held Antioch almost immediately after the death of Grypus, and that some months must have elapsed before Seleueus was able to dispossess him. It may be to this period, when Cyzicenus found himself hard pressed by Seleucus VI and in great need of funds, that the story related by Clement of Alexandria (Protrept. 4, 52) is to be assigned, in which Cyzicenus caused a golden statue of Zeus in Antioch to be melted and replaced by one of gilt.



fig. 27

As usual there was also a coinage of bronze in addition to the silver. The types are, obverse, Beardless head of Cyzicenus to right, as on the silver, reverse, Zeus Nikephoros enthroned to left, in field the monogram $^{\epsilon}_{A}$, and various symbols. For representations see Brit. Mus. Cat., Syria, Plate xxv, 4 (fig. 27), and Paris, Plate, xxvi, 15.

⁴⁸ Eusebius (Ed- Schoene) ¹¹ Antiokhus vero Kizikenus exercitu collecto ex Antiokhia urbe, et praelio commisso devictus est. Universum itaque regnum ad Selenkum veniebat, et Antiokhiam obtinuit.

SELEUCUS VI. Ruled in Antioch, 95-94 B. C. www.libtool.com.cn

After a considerable struggle ending in a pitched battle Seleucus VI was enabled to vanquish his uncle Cyzicenus, and make good his own claim to his father's dominions in Syria.

a. With monogram N.

421 TETRADRACHM.

Ohr. Diademed head of Selcucus VI to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BASIMERS | SEMEYKOY (to r.) ETTIPANOYS | NIKATOPOS (to l.). Zeus, naked to waist, enthroned to l., holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre. The whole surrounded by laurel wreath. On l., outside inscription, A | \mathbb{N} | A. Beneath throne, Δ .

Newell, Plate XII (formerly Hirsch, Philipsen Sale, no. 2937); Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 7; Paris, no. 1513; Petrograd, no. 730; Sotheby, O'Hagan Sale, 1908, no. 700 (Pl. xi).

422 TETRADRACHM.

Obr. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $A \mid \nabla \mid A$. Beneath throne, A.

Newell, Plate XII.

423 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $A \mid N \mid A$. Beneath throne, N.

Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 757 (Pl. xxi), same obverse die as Newell specimen of 421 (see Plate XII); Paris, no. 1512; Jameson Coll., no. 1756 (Pl. lxxxix); Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 656 (Pl. xx), same obverse die as following no. 424, Newell specimen.

b. With monogram F.

424 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $R \mid A$. Beneath throne, C.

Newell, Plate XII (formerly Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 755), same obverse die as Egger specimen catalogued under no. 423; Paris, no. 1511 (Pl. xxvii, 1); Newell.

425 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Portrait of Selencus VI slightly Rev. Similar to preceding. On l., outbearded. side inscription, $R \mid A$. Beneath throne, C.

Jameson Coll., no. 1757 (Pl. lxxxix).

426 DRACHM.

www.libtool.com.cn
Obr. Similar head with slight beard, to r.

Rev. BASINEQS | SENEYKOY (to r.) ETHANOYS | NIKATOPOS(to l.). Winged Nike to l. holds wreath in outstretched r. On l., outside inscription, $||\mathbf{r}||$ A. To r. of Nike, C.

Jameson Coll., no. 1758, Plate XII; Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 737 (Pl. xx).

427 DRACHM.

Obr. Bearded portrait to r.

Rev. Similar to preceding but inscription omits title ETTIPANOYS. On l., outside inscription, $R \mid A$. On l., between figure and inscription, C.

Paris, nos. 1518 (Pl. xxvii, 3) and 1519.

428 HEMIDRACHM.

Obv. Similar to preceding.

Rev. $BASIAE\OmegaS \mid SEAEYKOY$ (to r.) $E\PiI\PhiANOYS \mid NIKATOPOS$ (to l.). Double cornucopiae bound with taenia. On l., outside inscription, P (perhaps $P \mid A$?). To l. of cornucopiae, C.

London, nos. 5, Plate XII, and 6; Paris, no. 1520 (Pl. xxv, 4); Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), nos. 8 (Pl. lxx, 9) and 9.

The Antiochene issues of Selencus VI follow his predecessors' closely in both style and types. The coinage is divided into two series, each characterized by a special mint supervisor. No of nos. 421 to 423 we have already met with in the first coinage at Antioch of Cyzicenus; It is new to our studies. The A which accompanies the chief magistrate's monogram on all the coins of the previous few reigns is again found. Of the subordinates only C is new. That the No and the It series really emanated from one and the same mint is definitely proved by the fact that certain obverse dies are common to both. The latest









fig. 28

fig. 29

issues of the proup comprise an issue of drachms and hemidrachms and are all characterized by the portrait of Seleucus showing a slight beard.

In addition to the silver coins there was also struck a bronze coinage of two denominations as follows: (I) Head of Seleucus, reverse, Apollo standing leaning against column (fig. 28); (II) Similar head, reverse, Tripod (fig. 29). The monogram & is found on all these pieces.

ANTIOCHUS X. Reign in Antioch, 94-92 B. C.

On the death of Cyzicenus, his grown up son, also named Antiochus, asserted his claim to the throne and declared himself king as Antiochus (X) Eusebes Philopator. Having gathered an army he attacked Seleucus in Antioch, was successful and drove him into flight. Antiochus X was now ruler in Antioch and here the following coins were struck in his name.

a. With monogram 🖂.

429 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus X to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BASIAEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) EYSEBOYS | Φ IAOTATOPOS (to l.). Zeus, naked to waist, enthroned to l. holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre. The whole surrounded by laurel wreath. On l., outside inscription, \bowtie | A. Beneath throne, \triangle .

Newell, Plate XIII; London, no. 1; Egger Sale xlvi, 1914, no. 2474 (Pl. xl).

b. With monogram 5.

430 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, Σ | A. Beneath throne, Δ .

Egger Sale xli, no 759, Plate XIII; Newell; London, no. 2 (Pl. xxvi, 1); Jameson Coll., no. 1759 (Pl. lxxxix); Paris, nos. 1526, 1527 (Pl. xxvii, 7), 1528, 1529, and 1530; Petrograd, nos. 737, 738 (?), and 739 (?); Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, no. 3070 (Pl. xxix); J. Ward Coll., no. 802 (Pl. xx) formerly Bunbury Sale (II), 1896, no. 588; E. Bourgey, Dr. Rousset Coll., April, 1908, no. 202 (Pl. vii).

431 DRACHM.

Obv. Similar head in dotted circle.

Rev. Inscription as above. Tyche standing to l. holds rudder in r., and cornucopiae in l. On l., outside inscription, \mathfrak{S} | A.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 1, Plate XIII; Paris, no. 1531 (Pl. xxvii, 8).

432 Heady Hotolles m.cn

Ohr. Similar head in dotted circle.

Rev. Inscription as above. Winged Nike to I. holding wreath in outstretched r., palm branch in I. On I., outside inscription, $\mathfrak{T} \mid A$.

Berlin (published by Dr. Macdonald in the Zeitschrift für Numismatik, 1912, vol. 29, Pl. v, 17).

The short rule of Antiochus X in Antioch was filled with constant warlike expeditions against the several surviving sons of Grypus in order to defend his own claim to the Syrian throne. Seleucus VI had, indeed, perished in a popular uprising at Mopsuestia in Cilicia whither he had fled after his disastrous battle with Antiochus X, but his two younger brothers, Antiochus and Philip, continned the struggle and were, with difficulty, defeated in 93 B. C. Eusebes, by his acts had aroused the enmity of Ptolemy Lathyrus of Cyprus, who now brought forward a fourth son of Grypus, Demetrius by name, and caused him to be crowned king in Damascus. In 92 B. C. with Demetrius advancing from the south, and Philip attacking from Cilicia in the north, the two brothers were together able to overthrow Antiochus X Eusebes who sought safety in flight to the Parthians.

The coinage of Eusebes in Antioch follows the model established by his immediate predecessors. We have two mint supervisors \boxtimes and \mathfrak{T} , both with the usual accompanying A which letter, as remarked before, may either denote another magistrate or, perhaps with more likelihood, the Antioch mint. The subordinate \triangle is still active.

There is also a series of bronze coins in two denominations: (I) Head of Eusebes as on the silver, reverse, Bonnets of the Dioscuri; (II) Head as before, reverse, Winged Nike. On these bronzes we find the monogram & C (Brit. Mus. Cat., 6; Paris, no. 1532) already met with on









fig. 30

fig. 31

the coins of Seleucus VI, as also the monogram $\hat{\Sigma}$ of Eusebes' own silver issues.

ANTIOCHUS XI EPIPHANES PHILADELPHUS.

Ruled in Antioch for a few weeks in 93 B. C.

The tetradrachm described below proves that greater success attended the efforts of Grypus' two sons, Antiochus and Philip, to avenge the defeat of their oldest brother Seleucus VI at the hands of Antiochus X Eusebes than our classical sources would seem to suggest. The writings of our Greek and Roman historians, incomplete and contradictory as they are, speak only of a great battle fought outside of Antioch, in which the brothers were totally defeated by Antiochus X. The elder of the two. Antiochus XI, is said to have lost his life in attempting to escape after the battle by swimming across the Orontes. None of the writers definitely state that the brothers ever succeeded in actually entering Antioch and holding it, even for a short time. But the following coin now proves that not only did Antiochus Philadelphus capture Antioch in the first flush of his success, but that he was able to hold it long enough for its mint to strike at least a few coins in his name. The number certainly could not have been large as only one specimen is so far known to have come down to us, but it is sufficient to prove that the city did fall into the hands of Philadelphus, and that this must have taken place previous to the great battle in which he was defeated and eventually lost his life. His brother Philip made good his escape into Cilicia, from which he emerged not long afterwards to try conclusions once more with Eusebes.

433 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus XI to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BASINEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) ETIIPANOYS | PINADENPOY (to l.). Zeus enthroned to l., holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre. The whole surrounded by laurel wreath. On l., outside inscription, Δ | A. Beneath throne, Δ .

Berlin, Plate XIII (published by Dr. Macdonald in the "Zeitschrift für Numismatik," 1912, vol. 29, Pl. v, 19).

This attribution, which would amplify if not correct certain statements of our classical sources, is not based on the mere interpretation of some complicated monogram. This latter form of historical "reconstruction" has most unfortunately been far too often attempted by

numismatististophoticularly with Greek regal coinages, to the great satisfaction of the discoverer, but to the consequent detriment of the trustworthiness of the Science of Numismatics. If correctly and scientifically handled, there is no surer or more trustworthy aid to the history of these times than their coinages, but every sane historian rightly looks with suspicion on the "discoveries" made in the past by numismatists trusting in their ingenuity to convert monograms into mint names, and thereupon rewriting history. Lenormant was the arch type of this kind of archaeological numismatist, and his followers have been many. By this the implication is not intended to be conveyed that no monogram on a Greek regal coin ever denotes a mint, but that all monograms, apparently easy of solution into the name of some city, should be looked on with the greatest suspicion until by means of style, fabric, dies, types, and every device known to numismatists, their solution has been definitely proved. There are few such monograms in the Seleucid series.

In the present case the attribution of no. 433 to the mint at Antioch rests primarily on consideration of style and fabric. Comparing the coin with the Antiochene issues of Seleucus VI, Antiochus X, and Demetrius III, as shown on our plates, the striking resemblance between them all is unmistakable. In fact the obverses of nos. 433 and 422 are so similar that a second look must be taken to make sure that the dies are not identical. It is most probable that the same die cutter was used for both. Closely following the system of control at Antioch, our coin displays a supervisor's monogram in the field on the left between the inscription and the laurel wreath. Accompanying this monogram is the usual A of the coinages of Antiochus VII and all the later rulers from Grypus on. Under the throne is Δ the monogram of an official who for years has been the most active subordinate in our mint. Finally, as in the preceding issues of Antiochus X and the succeeding issues of Demetrius III and Philip, Antiochus XI is here given two



fig. 3:

titles. Taken together, these evidences of the community of origin of the coinages of the above kings would seem to be clearly substantiated, and Antioch will have henceforth to be considered as one of the mints of the short wight of Antiochus XI Philadelphus. Very probably the bronze coin published by Babelon in the Paris catalogue, Plate xxvii, 12, was also struck at Antioch. Its style and fabric resembles those of other bronze pieces issued here about this time, its reverse type—that of Athene with helmet, spear and shield—has often been employed at this mint, its issuing magistrate \$\Phi\$ is the same as on the silver tetradrachm.

Before leaving the rare coinage of Antiochus XI it must be emphasized that only the silver tetradrachm and the bronze piece here described can be attributed to Antioch. The comparatively common tetradrachms (a specimen of which is to be found on Pl. xxvii, no. 11, of the Paris catalogue) which have often been assigned to this Antiochus belong, as we shall soon see, to a later ruler. The rare but well known tetradrachm with the accolated busts of Antiochus XI and his brother Philip (Paris, Pl. xxvii, 13) is of sufficiently different style to make it absolutely impossible to assign it to our mint.

DEMETRIUS III. Reigned in Antioch circa 92-89 B. C.

The exact space of time that Demetrius reigned in Antioch is somewhat doubtful. From the confused and incomplete chronicles of these times we gather that in and around 92 B. C. the allied forces of the two brothers, Demetrius and Philip, temporarily drove Antiochus X out of all Syria. In 89 B. C. we find Philip and Demetrius themselves at war, with Demetrius besieging his brother in Beroea. The latter now called in to his assistance the Arab chieftain Azizos as well as Mithridates a Parthian governor. Demetrius in turn was surrounded by these superior forces, his water supply cut off, and eventually forced to surrender. Appian definitely states that the Antiochenes in his army were allowed to return home unmolested and that Philip, shortly afterwards, entered Antioch and was received with joy by the populace. It is evident, therefore, that Demetrius ruled in Antioch until the disaster that overtook him before Beroea sometime before 88 B. C.

In the year from October 92 to October 91 B. C. the municipality of Antioch commenced striking a series of bronze coins of two denominations inscribed ANTIOXEΩN THE MHTPOΠOΛΕΩΣ and dated according to the Seleucid Era.⁴⁹ Evidently Demetrius had been forced to grant

⁴⁰ G. Macdonald, Greek Coins in the Hunterian Collection, Vol. III, pp. 143-144.

the cityww.libtohroancount of local autonomy in order to attach her the more to his side in case of a possible struggle with his brother. Whereas Seleucia had always been noted for her steadfast loyalty to the interests of the legitimate Seleucid ruler, Antioch seems ever to have favored the one not actually ruling within her walls. Again this was probably the case, for when Philip did eventually triumph, our historian takes the pains to state that he was joyfully received by the people of Antioch. The series of autonomous bronze coins just mentioned continues to appear alongside of the royal issues until towards the close of the reign in Antioch of Tigranes. The last date we possess is MS or 73/72 B. C.

The royal issues of Demetrius for his Syrian capital are the following.

434 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Demetrius III to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BASIAEQS | \triangle HMHTPIOY (to r.) \triangle HAOMHTOPOS | EYEPFETOY (to l.). Zeus, naked to waist, enthroned to l., holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre. The whole surrounded by laurel wreath. On l., outside inscription, \triangle | Π | A. Beneath throne, \triangle .

Petrograd, no. 761.

435 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar, but portrait is bearded.

Rev. BASIAEQS | Δ HMHTPIOY | Θ EOY (to r.) Φ IAOMATOPOS | Ξ QTHPOS (to l.). Zeus seated as on previous coin. On l., outside inscription, N | A. Beneath throne, Δ .

Paris, no. 1570, Plate XIII; Coll. L. Walcher de Molthein, no. 3078, Plate XIII.

Here the supervising magistrates are $\omega \mid \pi$ and N, with, in each case, the usual A in accompaniment, as well as the Δ of previous reigns. For the bronze issues we have two pieces described in the Paris collection (nos. 1574 and 1575) which seem to belong here. They have for their obverse type the head of Demetrius as on no. 435, for reverse a standing Hermes; while one of the monograms found on them is the Δ of the tetradrachms. The titles given to Demetrius on these particular bronzes are identical with those found on the tetradrachm no. 435.

www.libtool.com.cn

PHILIP PHILADELPHUS.

Reigned in Antioch, 89-83 B. C.

a. With N.

436 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Philip to r. Fillet border.

Rev. BASINEQS | Φ INITTOY (to r.) ETIPANOYS | Φ INADEN Φ OY (to l.). Zeus, naked to waist, seated to I. on throne, holds wreath-bearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on sceptre. The whole in laurel wreath. On l., outside inscription, N | A. Beneath throne, Δ .

J. Ward Coll., no. 803, Plate XIII (formerly Bunbury Sale (II), no. 591).

b. With ϕ and letter in field.

437 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $\phi \mid A$. Beneath throne, \triangle .

London, nos. 1, 2, and 3; Sotheby, O'Hagan Coll., 1908, no. 700, Plate XIII; Newell (two specimens); Paris, nos. 1546 (Pl. xxvii, 14) and 1547; Petrograd, no. 746; Roll. & Feuard. Sale, June, 1913, no. 338 (Pl. xi).

438 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On I., outside inscription, $\phi \mid A$. On I., between figure and inscription, Δ . Beneath throne, Δ .

London, no. 8.

439 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $\Phi \mid A$. On I., between figure and inscription, H. Beneath throne, Δ .

London, no. 9; Jameson Coll., no. 1762 (Pl. xe); Newell.

440 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\Phi \mid A$. On 1., between figure and inscription Θ . Beneath throne, \triangle .

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 2; Petrograd, no. 757.

441 Thirtha Dittol Uddin.cn

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On I., ontside inscription, $\phi \mid A$. On I., between figure and inscription, N. Beneath throne, \triangle .

London, no. 10, Plate XIII; Paris, nos. 1554 (Pl. xxvii, 16) and 1557; Petrograd, nos. 753 and 754; Newell; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 3.

442 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., ontside inscription, $\phi \mid A$. On l., between figure and inscription, O. Beneath throne, \triangle .

Paris, no. 1558.

443 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\phi \mid A$. On 1., between figure and inscription, ϕ . Beneath throne, \triangle .

London, no. 11; Paris, no. 1559.

c. Similar, but with letter in exergue.

444 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., ontside inscription, $\phi \mid A$. Beneath throne, Δ . In exergne, Δ .

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 8.

445 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., ontside inscription, $\Phi \mid A$. Beneath throne, Δ . In exergue, Λ .

Petrograd, no. 748.

446 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\phi \mid A$. Beneath throne, Δ . In exergue, M.

Newell.

447 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., ontside inscription, $\phi \mid A$. Beneath throne, $\underline{\Lambda}$. In exergue, N.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 9; London, no. 15; Paris, no. 1555.

448 TETRADRACHM. www.libtool.com.cn

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $\phi \mid A$. Beneath throne, \triangle . In exergue, Π .

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 10; Egger Sale, Jan., 1908, no. 663, Plate XIII; Petrograd, no. 755; London, no. 16; Newell; Sotheby, Guzman Coll., 1914, no. 120 (Pl. vii).

449 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $\phi \mid A$. Beneath throne, \triangle . In exergue, Σ .

Paris, no. 1548; London, no. 4; Newell.

450 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\phi \mid A$. Beneath throne, \triangle . In exergue, \top .

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 11: Petrograd, no. 747; Newell.

d. With letters in field and exergue.

451 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\Phi \mid A$. On 1., between figure and inscription, A. Beneath throne, \triangle . In exergue, H.

London, no. 12; Paris, no. 1560; Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 12.

452 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., outside inscription, $\Phi \mid A$. On 1., between figure and inscription, \bowtie . Beneath throne, \triangle . In exergue, H.

London, no. 13; Paris, no. 1561 (Pl. xxvii, 17); Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 6.

453 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., outside inscription, $\phi \mid A$. On l., between figure and inscription, l. Beneath throne, \triangle . In exergue, Θ .

London, no. 14.

www.litesoiccon. en A doubtful, monograms in field and exergue.

454 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, φ. Beneath throne, Δ. In exergue, Δ.

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 15.

455 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, \boxtimes . Beneath throne, \triangle . In exergue, \nearrow

Glasgow (Hunterian Coll.), no. 14.

456 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, A. Beneath throne, Δ .

Paris, no. 1552.

457 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On 1., between figure and inscription, ω or $\omega \gg | \gg$. Beneath throne, Δ . In exergue, AN.

Paris, no. 1553; Coll. E. Rogers (Num. Chron., 4th Ser., 1912, Pl. xi, 9).

458 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

J. Ward Coll., no. 804, Plate XIII.

459 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., between figure and inscription, $\Delta H \mid O \mid M \mid \in P$. Beneath throne, Δ . In exergue, ?.

Paris, no. 1562.

Owing to the lack of a sufficient number of coins, casts, or reproductions, the issues of Philip have not been worked out as thoroughly as the writer would have desired. Therefore only groups a, b, c, and d can with confidence be assigned to Antioch, because they seem to embody most of the characteristics peculiar to the Seleucid coinage struck in this city during the last few decades of that dynasty's rule. The coins of group 'e' are much more doubtfully assigned to our mint.

To take up these groups in detail, it can readily be appreciated that group 'a' must have followed closely upon the rare coins of Demetrius

III struck at Antiochtool The mint supervisor N is continued from these to the coin struck in Philip's name, as is also his assistant Δ , while the letter A is likewise to be seen in its accustomed position. Above all, style and fabric remain the same. N did not long retain his office but was shortly replaced by ϕ who seems to have remained supervisor so long as this office continued to be designated on the coins,—in other words, throughout groups 'b,' 'c,' and 'd.' The style and execution, as well as the weight, rapidly deteriorates in the course of these issues. The flans on which the coins are struck now grow so small in diameter that the letters $\phi \mid A$ are, more often than not, entirely off the coin, or, when they can be distinguished on the very edge of the piece, they appear so inextricably tangled up with the leaves of the surrounding laurel wreath that it is difficult to distinguish them. Particularly is this now the case, because through crude workmanship and the mechanical deterioration of the design, these letters have degenerated into something like + | A or + | ||. By the time group 'e' is reached it seems probable that $\phi \mid A$ has disappeared altogether. At least, the few specimens that the writer has been able to inspect, either have this part of the design entirely off the flan or show only a few meaningless lines which may have been intended for leaves. This, however, is a surmise only and we may really have to do with the letters $\phi \mid A$ in their crudest and most degenerate form. Even though group 'e' does not show $\Phi \mid A$ it still remains probable that it was struck at Antioch as its style, though poorer and with a more stereotyped reverse, has still much in common with that of groups 'a'—'d.' Above all, the monogram \triangle continues to occupy its old position beneath the throne of Zeus and would therefore seem to indicate that we have to do with an Antiochene issue.

Mention, at least, should here be made of another large and important series of tetradrachms bearing the name of Philip. This series is characterized by the monogram κ or κ to be seen in the field, and the numerical letters Γ, Δ, H, BI, ΘΙ, Κ, ΚΑ, ΒΚ, ΚΔ, SΚ, IΚ, HΚ, ΘΚ in the exergue. These coins are all of a peculiar style which differs widely from that found on the remainder of Philip's issues. The portrait, too, is unlike that exhibited by the coins we have just been studying. The alphabetical numbers probably represent dates, for if they had been used as serial numbers to designate successive issues of coin, every one from A to ΘΚ would have been employed. This would not necessarily have been the case if the numbers denote years, regnal or otherwise.

Thereforewillbrackcomby certain numbers are represented in the series in question,—although the actual coins of this series that have come down to us are comparatively common,—these numbers must be considered as representing dates. Now, if these are therefore accepted as being dates, either of regnal years or of some era yet to be determined, the coins which bear them cannot possibly have been struck at Antioch at this time, for Philip is known to have reigned here not longer than from circa 89 to 83 B. C.

The question of the true mint and date of this peculiar series is most interesting—but the solution is both complicated and lengthy and for that reason has here been deferred until a more propitious occasion. Besides, the present article is intended to deal only with Seleucid coins attributable to the Antiochene mint from the last years of Seleucus II to the transformation of Syria into a Roman province by Pompey in 64 B. C.

To return to the issues which we can feel reasonably sure were struck by Philip in Antioch, it is most noticeable that unlike the issues of all previous kings in this city there are absolutely no bronze coins of regal types to accompany the silver. This is, however, abundantly accounted for by the fact that, commencing with the two hundred and twenty-first year of the Seleucid Era (92/91 B. C.), a large series of autonomous bronze coins, composed of two denominations, appears at Antioch and continues until the two hundred and fortieth year (73/72 B. C.). The style and fabric exhibited by these latter pieces which, as the inscriptions they bear explicitly state, were struck by the Municipality of Antioch, resemble in the closest manner those of our tetradrachms bearing the name of King Philip. Thus they furnish an additional proof that Antioch must also have been the mint of the contemporaneous royal silver issues we have just been studying. civic issues being plentiful, there was now evidently little need of striking bronze coins with royal types.⁵⁰

To maintain his position at Antioch, Philip was forced to wage constant wars, first against his own brother, Demetrius III, then against Antiochus X who had escaped from or been sent back by the Parthians, later against Antiochus XII the son of Demetrius, and lastly against Tigranes the powerful king of Armenia whom the people of Syria, wearied at last with the endless fratricidal wars, called in to free them. Philip was driven from Syria and is supposed to have perished; Tigranes henceforth ruled at Antioch in his stead.

⁵⁰ See Macdonald, Catalogue of the Greek Coins in the Hunterian Collection, Glasgow, vol. iii, pages 143, 144.

www.freenes I OF ARMENIA,

Ruled in Antioch 83-69 B. C.

Although, logically, the Antiochene issues of Tigranes fall into place between those of Philip and of Antiochus XIII they have nevertheless been omitted here because they have already been so carefully and satisfactorily handled by Dr. Macdonald⁵¹ that nothing new could be added to his researches, and also because, while struck at Antioch and therefore partaking generally of the style and fabric of the Seleucid issues, they are yet the coinages of an alien king and our interests here are primarily centred only on those of the Seleucid princes.

A typical example of the series of tetradrachms struck by Tigranes during his rule in Antioch is given on Plate XIII (A).

ANTIOCHUS XIII, Ruled in Antioch circa 69-65 B. C.

We have still to consider the probable coinages at Antioch of the last of the Seleucid kings actually ruling in that city—Antiochus XIII, nicknamed Asiaticus. That he did strike coins seems to the writer probable almost beyond discussion. Antioch, the metropolis of Syria and one of the largest and most important cities of the East, had possessed an active mint for about two centuries. Her coinages had been both continuous and unusually prolific. Antiochus XIII was king by right of descent, by acknowledgement of the Syrians themselves (Appian, Syr. 49), and finally by solemn consent of Lucullus (Just. xl, 2, 3) who had recently forced Tigranes out of Syria. Syria was not definitely made a Roman province until the coming of Pompey and was therefore still an independent state and in a position to issue its own money. Why then have numismatists found it so difficult to satisfactorily assign any coins to the thirteenth Antiochus when everything would point to the fact that he must have issued such? The answer evidently is that numismatists have not made themselves sufficiently acquainted with the true sequence and style of preceding Antiochene issues and have therefore committed the unfortunate error of assigning to Antiochus XI the following coins which by style, fabric, and inscription can only belong to the time of Antiochus XIII.

⁵¹ Num. Chron., Ser. IV, vol. ii, 1902.

www.libtool.com.cn





fig. 33

460 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Diademed head of Antiochus XIII to r. in fillet border.

Rev. BASIAEQS | ANTIOXOY (to r.) Φ IAA \triangle EA Φ OY (to l.). Zeus, naked to waist, seated to l. on throne, holds wreathbearing Nike in outstretched r., rests l. on seeptre. The whole in laurel wreath. On l., in front of Zeus, \bowtie .

Newell (fig. 33); Paris, no. 1538 (Pl. xxvii, 11); Rev. Edgar Rogers, Num. Chron., Ser. IV, vol. xii, 1912, p. 363, no. 32 (Pl. xi, 10); Egger Sale xli, 1912, no. 761 (Pl. xxi); Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 741 (Pl. xx).

461 TETRADRACHM.

Obv. Similar.

Rev. Similar. On l., in front of Zeus, M. Beneath throne, P.

Newell, Plate XIII; Egger Sale xlv, 1913, no. 777 (Pl. xxi); Jameson Coll., no. 1760 (Pl. lxxxix).

We have already had occasion (page 117) to note that these coins cannot possibly, as some authorities have suggested, be assigned to Antiochus XI. The true Antiochene issue of that king is found in no. 433, Plate XIII. Our two coins (nos. 460 and 461) are of a much later style and fabric as shown most clearly by the low relief, poor drawing, closely packed letters, and the absence of the usual monogram and letter A outside the inscription. In these respects our two coins are midway between the later issues of Philip Philadelphus (nos. 454-459) and the posthumous issues of that king characterized by the monograms & and &. The true issues of Philip came to an end with his death in 83 B.C. The issues of Tigranes evidently commenced in and around that same year when the Armenian king had finally secured Antioch, and continued until his evacuation of Syria before the threatening advance of Lucullus. In a forthcoming number of the Numismatic Chronicle the writer will show that the above mentioned posthimons issues of Philip took place under Roman dominion or, in other words, after the constitution of Syria as a Roman province. The only possible occasion therefore, between these two groups of Philip

coins, to which our pieces with their Antiochus inscription could possibly be assigned is the reign of Antiochus XIII. This assignment is thoroughly corroborated by the style and fabric of our two tetradrachms. We should notice the same small flans, the rather poor character of the silver used, the very low relief, the flat, thin style of the portraiture, the clumsily made letters so characteristic of the later issues both contemporary and posthumous, which bear the name of Philip as well as those which bear the names and types of Tigranes. Like nos. 454-459 a monogram appears in the field immediately in front of Zeus, while the monogram and accompanying mintmark A, always placed on the left outside the inscription on the Antiochene issues of the Seleucid rulers from Grypus to the first years of Philip, are absent. Stylistically therefore our pieces come after rather than before the reign of Philip. Every detail of their technique is purely and only characteristic of the Antiochene coinages of this period. As our coins bear the name of Antiochus it is obvious that they can only be attributed to a king of that name. Their late style precludes their being assigned to Antiochus XI. Furthermore, to judge from the number of specimens that have come down to us, the original size of their issue must have been quite large, which fact would not at all harmonize with the very short period of time during which the eleventh Antiochus could possibly have held Autioch. Neither can they be given to Autiochus XII, first because his official titles were Dionysus Epiphanes Philopator Callinicus while the title appearing on our coin is Philadelphus; secondly because he only ruled in and around Damascus while the style and fabric of nos. 460 and 461 clearly show that these coins must have been struck in the mint of Antioch.

Antiochus XIII, according to Pauly-Wissova (the best available historical sketch of this prince based on a careful and critical analysis of the obscure and often erroneous statements of ancient historians) was the son of Antiochus X Eusebes and the Egyptian princess Cleopatra Selene. He spent his youth in Asia Minor (whence his nickname Asiaticus) until about 75 B. C. when he went to Rome accompanied by his younger brother. Cicero (Verr. IV, 27, 28, 30) mentions their sojourn in Rome and their experiences at the hands of the unscrupulous Verres when the brothers stopped over in Sicily on their way back to the East. After Tigranes had been forced to evacuate Antioch (69 B.C.) Antiochus, who was now a young man, put himself forward and was gladly received as ruler by the Syrians (Appian, Syr. 49). After Lu-

cullus wadyon bleedecisive battle uear Tigranocerta (Oct. 6th, 69 B.C.) he confirmed Antiochus as king of Syria. His reign however was very troubled. While eugaged in an unsuccessful campaign against the Arabs (Dio Cassius states, XXXVI, 19, 3, that in 67 B. C. the Antiochenes were fighting Arabs) the people of Antioch revolted. uprising was suppressed but the ringleaders managed to escape to Cilicia where they put forward as rival king the son of Philip Philadelphus also called Philip. Azizos, the Arab chieftain, immediately declared for the latter but Antiochus secured the assistance of Sampsigeramus the rival chieftain of Emesa. In a very short time the Arab leaders had come to an understanding between themselves and together plotted to do away with both the Seleucid princes and divide Syria amongst themselves. In pursuance of this Antiochus was actually seized by Sampsigeramus. At this juncture Pompey, now commander-in-chief of the Roman armies in Asia, arrived (64 B. C.). To him Antiochus appealed for assistance and begged him to reinstate him in his kingdom. Pompey had other ideas and as the people themselves appeared very loath to have Antiochus back again (Just. XL, 2, 3) he decided to form Syria into a Roman province. Not long afterwards Antiochus XIII was murdered by the Arab chieftain Sampsigeramus.

The two tetradrachms, which we have seen can only be assigned to Antiochus XIII, are valuable historically as they afford us a definite record of that ruler's official title. Hitherto modern writers have concluded that Antiochus' title was probably Eusebes from the fact that Justin, Porphyry, and Appian have all confused him with his father Antiochus X surnamed Eusebes. This conclusion is perhaps well founded, but our coins show further that his principal⁵² title was undoubtedly Philadelphus—assumed probably in honor of the brother with whom he undertook the eventful but, in the end, unsuccessful mission to Rome.

Antiochus XIII was the last of the Seleucid princes ruling in Syria—as Appian expressly states (b. c. V. 10, Syr. 70). With his coins we have also reached the end of the long, varied, and therefore musually interesting series which bears the names, portraits, and types of such scions of the House of Seleucus, or base pretenders to that proud name, as held sway in their great capital at Antioch.

⁵² Seleucid princes, ever since the reign of Antiochus IV, were given to the habit of assuming several titles. Antiochus XII boasted of no less than four.

www.libtool.com.cn RÉSUMÉ

Coinage and Mint.

In the preceding pages we have studied the coinage of our mint, ruler by ruler. A more general treatment of the coinage as a whole may not be out of place in order that we may secure a general perspective of the issues, that we may see how they connect the one with the other, and why they should be assigned to Antioch.

Under Antiochus III we have found a coinage of gold oktadrachms and staters, silver tetradrachms and drachms, which fall naturally into three series corresponding more or less closely to the three main phases of that ruler's life: (I) the early years of his reign, (II) the eastern expedition, (III) his Phoenician conquests and the war with Rome. Series I we found growing immediately out of the issues of Seleucus II and III and bound with them by the common monograms ¥ and ¥. The portrait of Antiochus is that of a young man, the time limit easily contained in the space of some seventeen years during which he grew from a youth of eighteen to a man of about thirty-five. The latter part of this series is made conspicuous by the sudden introduction of a fillet border decoration in the place of the older beaded border. Series II continues this same fillet decoration, the magistrate \(\mathbf{t}\) is no longer active, but Y is there to prove that Series II is but the continuation of Series I and therefore from the same mint, as Dr. Imhoof-Blumer has already pointed out. Attention should be called to the peculiar custom of placing the fillet border only on the tetradrachms, coins of smaller module, such as gold stater and silver drachm, still bearing the beaded border. The portrait of Antiochus on this series is that of a somewhat older man. There now follows Series III bearing the head of Antiochus III but with features showing advancing age. In style, however, these are but the continuance of the previous issues.

Under the son and successor of Antiochus III the coinage follows closely the style and fabric of the latter's third series and therefore undoubtedly was struck in one and the same mint.⁵³ We have already had occasion to observe that of all Antiochus III's many coinages the one here brought together is the largest, also the most consecutive in types

⁵³ The writer has only now become aware that Dr. Macdonald in his catalogue of the Hunterian Collection in Glasgow, vol. iii, p. 31, has already made this observation, and furthermore suggests that we should look for this common mint at Antioch. It certainly gives me great pleasure to make the discovery that our observations, arrived at separately, tally so exactly.

of portwaitune too from the smooth-faced youth of the earliest coins of Series I, through the early manhood and middle age of Series I and II, to the features sharpened and seamed by arduous campaigns and the troubles of a particularly active and vicissitudinous life, as portrayed on his last issues. In a similar, though smaller, way the issues here studied of Seleucus IV are the largest and at the same time most homogeneous of his none too numerous coinages. Considering these points together, only Antioch, the capital and the commercial as well as political centre of the empire, can possibly be thought of as a location for the issuing mint.

This coinage of Seleucus IV merges easily into that of his successor Antiochus IV. On this we see not only the head of Antiochus himself but also that of his little son of like name, a mere child of not more than two years of age. The magistrate 4 serving under Seleucus IV as well as under Antiochus IV, is definite proof of the connection between the two issues. Towards the middle of Antiochus IV's reign the flans upon which his coins were struck show a tendency to grow broader and thinner, while various titles are added to his name. Introducing his last issue and closely conforming to it in style, fabric, and inscriptions, comes the interesting and special series with the Zeus Olympios and Apollo Kitharoedos types. The close connection between these coins and the famous celebrations held at Daphne is too obvious to be further insisted upon here. Because of this it will hardly be denied that the coins themselves could only have been struck at Antioch.

The ordinary coinage of Antiochus IV was followed by an exactly similar one issued in his son's name, many of the same magistrates officiating. Closely linked by the same monograms comes the coinage of Demetrius I who succeeded Antiochus V. In the seventh year of Demetrius' reign, or the one hundred and fifty-eighth of the Seleucid Era, a reform was made in the visible control of the coinage. Heretofore, as a rule, only one magistrate signed each reverse die, no matter how many such officials chanced to be in office at the same time. This is very neatly illustrated by our nos. 99, 100, and 101. The magistrates who signed these three coins were in office together, as can be judged by the scarcity of these varieties, the appearance on all three of the title $\Sigma\omega\tau\eta\rho\sigma$ which, together with the three monograms, is carried on to the immediately succeeding issues. At this point comes the reorganization of the control, and, henceforth, we find on all the silver

coins of Demetrius and date reckoned according to the Seleucid Era, a controller \bowtie who signs every coin, and numerous subordinates, one of whom places his monogram on the reverse below that of the controller's.

Alexander I, on his issues, retains this system of dates as well as the supervising and subordinate magistrates. While the former are frequently changed, the latter often continue from reign to reign. This fact, backed by considerations of style and fabric, is of the greatest assistance when it comes to finally determining what is or is not to be considered an issue of our mint.

Demetrius II strikes coins of similar style and signed by many of the same magistrates as Alexander's issues, but as he held Antioch for only two years his Antiochene coinage naturally bear only the two dates ZEP and HEP. Though driven out of the capital, Demetrius II still retained the major portion of his kingdom and continued to strike in his remaining mints. But here is to be noted the significant fact that his coins of the type, which for other reasons we would assign to Antioch, now cease. In other words, they are found only with the dates of the very two years during which our historical sources claim that he actually ruled in Antioch. This would seem to be proof positive that our deductions are soundly based and that Antioch really was the mint of our entire series. Furthermore, we gather from our historians that the king who followed Demetrius, namely Antiochus VI, held sway only in the Orontes valley, and so it is no surprise to find that his coinage consists only of pieces displaying the style and fabric which we have now come to associate with Antioch. The dates follow immediately upon those of his predecessor, while many of the magistratal monograms are common to both reigns.

Unfortunately the custom of dating the silver coinage at Antioch is finally dispensed with in the following reign,—that of Tryphon.

Antiochus VII, for his very large coinage, employs only one controller & until, in the last year of his reign, & retires and others take his place. During his term of office & was assisted by many subordinates, among whom were several who, in their turn, were accompanied by still lower officials. On one coin, therefore, we will find the controller's mark &, his subordinate A or T, and, in addition, a series of other letters or monograms which must denote the names of lesser magistrates. Furthermore, on all these coins the letter A is constantly present, is always to be found beneath the controller's monogram,

and maywilltingrobably, denote the mint Antioch. I would not insist on this except for the fact that after the two following reigns of Demetrius II (2nd term), and Alexander II the A makes its reappearance, and is henceforth found on all the tetradrachms struck at our mint until the fall of the Seleucid kings and the accession of the Armenian Tigranes. Besides, this A is always found in the same place, namely beneath the monogram of the supervising magistrate. As it occurs in the reigns covering the years 138 to 129 B. C. and 121 to 83 B. C. its interpretation as a magistrate's initial is hardly possible unless we are willing to suppose that more than one official whose name commenced with this letter occupied the constant post of chief assistant to the controller. For though the supervisor was continually being changed throughout this length of time, the letter A is always found occupying its accustomed position beneath the latter's monogram. It therefore occurs unfailingly on all the coins which by style, fabric, sequence of type or magistratal monogram we would, under any circumstances, have assigned to Antioch.

From the time of Antiochus VII to the end of the dynasty the Antiochene mint was kept busy striking new coin for the rapidly alternating or changing rulers. As outlying provinces fell away in the general break-up of the kingdom, or as autonomy was granted (usually perforce of circumstances) to the various large cities of the land, nearly all of whom had in by-gone days been greater or lesser royal mints, such as Seleucia on the Orontes, Tripolis, Sidon, Tyre, Ake-Ptolemais and others—Antioch became practically the sole remaining place in all the land where coins could still be struck in the name of that person who,—even for a short while,—could rightfully claim the once proud title of Seleucid king. When furthermore we find that the great majority of the coins bearing the portraits and names of Antiochus VIII, IX, Selencus VI, Antiochus X, XI, Demetrius III, and Philip Philadelphus are all of one style, one fabric, one type, and show one uniform system of mint control, then it is clearly only to Antioch that these coinages can possibly be assigned. For, as stated above, it is only Antioch that at this time possessed a sufficiently large royal mint, was the only important commercial city directly under Selencid authority, and, lastly, was the only city in which all these princes ruled at one time or another. Seeing then that this uniform coinage of the last seven kings could only have been struck at Antioch, and that the issues of the intervening rulers Demetrins II (2nd reign), Alexander II, Cleopatra and

the early series of Antiochus VIII form an unbroken bridge in style, fabric, and monograms between the latter and the issues of Antiochus VII back, we then become assured that this great and homogeneous coinage from the days of Seleucus II to the arrival of Tigranes must have been struck in the capital and metropolis of the Seleucid Empire,—Antioch on the Orontes.

Types. There is not room here to discuss in detail the numerous and important types which make the reverses of the Seleucid coinage of such greater value and interest than, for instance, the Ptolemaic with its everlasting eagles and cornucopiae. The types of gold, silver and bronze have been touched on in our text wherever they chanced to prove of particular interest and importance to our subject. For a more thorough and valuable treatment, the reader is referred to M. Babelon's introduction to the Paris catalogue 'Les rois de Syrie, d'Arménie et de Commagène.' A brief survey is all that is here necessary.

The very first type of all the Seleucid coinage was that of Zeus Olympios as borrowed from the coinage of Alexander the Great. Shortly afterwards, still in the reign of Seleucus I, the eagle which the god holds in his right hand was exchanged for a wreath-bearing Nike, thus making the type conform more closely to its prototype, the great chryselephantine statue of the god at Olympia. But during the long rule of the House of Seleucus many other types arose and enjoyed predominant popularity for a space of time. It was not until the fourth reign of Grypus in Antioch (circa 107-96 B. C.) that Zeus Olympios triumphs over his numerous rivals and remains the constant reverse type of the Antiochene tetradrachms until the coming of Tigranes. The struggle for popular favor had indeed been one of long duration and many vicissitudes. Displaced by Apollo, the patron god of the Seleucid family and the predominant type at the time our study commences, Zeus Olympios Nikephoros once more comes into favor in the reign of that brilliant but erratic man, Antiochus IV Epiphanes, and thenceforth vies with Tyche, Apollo, the Dioscuri, Athena, and Zeus Uranius for the honor of being chosen for the reverse type on the tetradrachms. But finally, as if in mockery, Zeus Olympios Nikephoros having graced the coinage of Seleucus I Nikator whose realm stretched from the Proportis across Asia Minor, Syria, Mesopotamia, Persia, to the distant plains of Central Asia and the broad rivers of Northern India, now for the last time lends dignity in its fallen state to a debased and ugly coinage struck by the degenerate and wrangling descendants of the first Seleucus that now ruled only in a small province embracing little more than the valley of the Orontes. Tigranes put a temporary end to this coinage and introduced in its stead a type of purely local significance, but more worthy of the proud city of Antioch, still destined to remain, under Roman rule, the great and puissant mistress of the East.

DIES AND MONOGRAMS. Working with such material as has been at the writer's disposal on this side of the Atlantic, the dies at Antioch seem all to have been either adjusted or actually fixed in the position †† before striking. At least, among the silver and bronze coins examined in the original no other position has been discovered.

When the full material of the Seleucid issues at Antioch can be studied in casts or in the original it will undoubtedly be found that obverse dies were often employed for a considerable length of time. Working only from a few coins in the original, and depending on photographs and plates for the remainder, it has not always been possible to establish beyond a doubt the seeming identity of dies used continuously for successive issues. In a few instances, however, the fact that a certain obverse die has been used by various magistrates has been noted. Such use, incidentally, proves the writer's thesis that all monograms found on the Antiochene issues are personal in character and cannot be translated into city names as has so often and so disastrously been attempted in the past. Only the letter A, holding a regular and particular position on the reverses of the tetradrachms of Antiochus VII and on those from Antiochus VIII to the end of the dynasty, has any claim to pose as an indication of the mint.

There are instances throughout the coinage where certain monograms seem to have become slightly changed in form through constant use in successive issues but, none the less, probably belong to one and the same magistrate. Thus, to mention but a few, we have £ under Demetrius II (1st reign, no. 199), %, %, and % under Demetrius II, Antiochus VI and Tryphon. & and \triangle seem also to be interchangeable. An intensive study of these monograms would be both instructive and interesting, but time as well as material are at present lacking. There are numerous gaps still to be filled as the writer's own experience would seem to show. In several instances where observation of a preceding and a following series would suggest the presence of a certain monogram, coins bearing these monograms have turned up before the completion of this paper to fill the gap and to prove that there

really was some systematic ordering in the officials signing the various issues. A combined study of both monograms and dies will eventually give us a more or less complete list of those yearly controllers and their assistants who seem to have varied in number under the different reigns. Under Demetrius there seem to have seldom been over three or four subordinates yearly, under Antiochus VI this number has risen to six and seven. Perhaps the number depended upon the exigencies of the times, the quantity of money to be issued, or possibly, under certain reigns, to the number of official positions that had to be dispensed as favors among persons who had helped a successful king to overthrow his predecessor.

Denominations. The denominations struck at Antioch are more numerous and appear under the different reigns in more complete series, than at any other mint of the empire. In gold we have the oktadrachms (or quadruple staters) and staters; in silver we have tetradrachms, drachms, hemidrachms (or triobols), diobols and obols; in bronze we have what appear to be two denominations which at times are increased to three and, under Antiochus IV, to five or more. The subject of the bronze issues, through lack of enough actual specimens, has had to be merely touched upon in this paper and it is to be hoped that some one with better opportunities will carry it further. For these bronze coins have more varied types than the silver, and many more magistrate's letters, monograms and symbols which will undoubtedly assist in filling lacunae left by the silver.

Under the Seleucid sovereigns at Antioch the various denominations in the precious metals were always struck on the Attic standard. On the whole, the Antiochene standard of fineness and fullness of weight compares very favorably with the issues of other Greek states at this time. But, like the history of so many other coinages in the past, the Seleucid, too, gradually became of poorer and more stereotyped style, of lighter weight and more debased metal as the puppet kings in whose name it was struck grew weaker in power, ability, resources, and morals.

www.libtoolcomperions and corrections

Seleucus II. The bronze coinage that was issued in conjunction with our tetradrachm no. 1 is to be found in the comparatively common variety with obverse: Head of Athene to right in crested Corinthian helmet; reverse: Nike standing to left placing wreath on king's name, before her inverted anchor, behind her, \mathbf{f} (Hunterian Coll., no. 24, there attributed to Seleucus I). This monogram is a characteristic one of the Antiochene mint at this time.

Seleucus III. Because of its strikingly close similarity in appearance and technique to our tetradrachm no. 3 (Plate I) we should undoubtedly also assign to the Antiochene mint for this reign the British Museum tetradrachm no. 5 illustrated as no. 7 on Plate vii of the London catalogne. At any rate a comparison of the obverse dies of these two coins would certainly lead one to suppose that the same artist had cut them, and if this is the case, then probably in the same mint.

A specimen of the contemporary bronze coinage of Seleucus III struck at Antioch will be found in nos. 6 and 7 (Plate vii, no. 8) of the British Museum Catalogue. These coins are identical in style with the immediately succeeding bronze issues of Antiochus III and, in addition, bear the characteristic monogram \(\mathbf{x}\).

Antiochus III. In studying closely the details of the portrait appearing on the gold stater no. 19 (Plate II) it becomes evident that this coin should be transferred from Series II to Series I. The features not only are those of a young man (at the time of Series II Antiochus was over thirty-five years of age) but the actual details and style of the portrait approximate very closely to the one found on the obverse die of our no. 12, Plate I.

In conjunction with the two denominations of bronze coins described on page 8, there is a third size midway between. The types are identical with those of the largest size, namely: obverse, Laureated head of Antiochus III; reverse, Apollo seated. A specimen is given as no. 51, page 28 of the British Museum Catalogue.

Demetrius II (First reign). The monogram in the exergne of no. 201 should be \(\text{\alpha} \).

Antiochus VI. The reference to Plate IX for no. 258, page 67, should be disregarded; while no. 259 (London, no. 20) is represented under no. 259 on Plate IX.

ANTIOCHUS VIII. A specimen of drachm no. 391 is reproduced under that number on Plate XI.

TABLE OF ISSUES

No.	Denomination	On left		In exergue	On right
			Seleucus II		
1	Tetradrachm	ž			¥
2	6.6	"			3X
			Seleucus III		
3	Tetradrachm	ž			¥
4	"	44			A
$\tilde{5}$	66	¥			
в	66	董			ΣΩ
			Antiochus III		
			Series I		
			Group a		
7	Tetradrachm	¥ ¥			
8	66	美 A			
9	44	ž			\$
			Group b		
10	Tetradrachm	ž ¥			
11	66	月 美			
12	44	至			
13	66	¥			
14	66	" (varie	ety)		
15	- "	k Φ			#
			Series II		
			Group a		
16	Stater			AT	k
17	Tetradrachm	Þ			Μ
18		66			Pl
			Group b		
19	Stater	ψ			M
20	Tetradrachm	¥			
21	Drachm	"			
22	Tetradrachm	4			
23	Drachm	66			
24	Tetradrachm	TRIPOD			

No.	Denomination WWW.libtoo	l.com.cn	Series III	In exergne	On right
25	Oktadraehm	ROSE	1 ((11()) 111		
26	Tetradrachm	BOW IN CASE			
27	46	66 66 66		AΣK	
28	66	66 66 66		ACK	
29		HORSE'S HEAL)	,,,,,,	
30	66	ANCHOR			
			Seleucus IV		
31	Tetradrachm	STAR			
32	66	FILLETED PAI	M BRANCH		
33	66	WREATH-BEAT			
34	66	FILLETED PAI			
35	66	" "	66	4	
36	Drachm	PALM BRANCI	1	2 ps	
37	Tetradrachm	FILLETED PAI		М	
38	66	" " "	46	Ф	
39	66		and WREATH	4	
40	66		M BRANCH and	45	
40		APLUSTRE	m brason and		
41	66	PALM BRANCI	I	H	
۰			Antiochus IV		
			Series I		
			Group à		
42	Tetradrachm	LYRE	croup a	4	
43	44	171 1013		"	LYRE
44	6.6	TRIPOD		66	66
		11111111	Group b		
45	Tetradrachm	TRIPOD	ceroup n	H	
46	66	66		4	
47	66	66		*	
48	66 .	66		₹.	
49	4.6	44		TP	
50	66	66		R	
51	66	"		В	
52	66	66		Δ	
53	66	66		<u>8</u> ⊀	
			Series II	T	
			Group a		
54	Tetradrachm		спопр а	4	
55	66			4 I∑	
56	6.0			-	
7717					

No.	Denomination	On left	Correct la	In exergue	On right
		.libtool.com.cn	Group b		
57	Drachm		monogram		
58	Bronze I	44	"		
59	" II	66			
60	" III	"	"		
61	" IV	"	"		
			Series III		
			Group a		
62	Stater	no	monogram		
63	Tetradrachm	(Zeus type) "	"		
64	66	(Apollo type) "	"		
65	Hemidrachm	44	44		
66	Diobol	"	"		
			Group b		
67	Tetradrachm	4	p is		
68	66	IΣ			
69	44	*			
70	66	[Y]			
71	66	্			
72	"	m			
73	66	M			
		A	NTIOCHUS V		
74	Tetradrachm	4	NIIOOHOB V		
75	66	m			
76	44	ΔΙ			
77	66	H			
77a	46			A	
78	Drachm	no monogram			
		D	T		
		D	EMETRIUS I		
			Series I		
79	Tetradrachm	1	Group a		
80	"	◆ "(variety)			
81	66				
O.L		m			
0.7			Group b		
82	Tetradrachm	A			
83	44	4			
84	66			, m	
85	66			- A	

No.	Denomination WWW.IIDTOO	l.com.cn	Group c	lu exergue	On right
0.0	Trade along land	,	снопр с		
86	Tetradrachm	4			
87	"	or M			
88		A			
89	66	no monogram			
90	66	A¥			
91	6.6	图			
92	66	A			
93	66	EY			
94	6.6			EI	
			Series II		
			Group a		
95	Tetradrachm	A			
96	"	A			
. 97	66	π ⊢β			
98	66	1-1		H	
70				П	
			Group b		
99	Tetradrachm	A			
100	6.6	A			
101	6.6			H	
			Series III		
			Group a		
102	Tetradrachm	P A		HNP	
103	6.6	" │ 私		4.6	
104	6 6	" │ 卍		. 6	
105	6.6	" MI		66	
		~	Group b		
106	Tetradrachm	四 合		ONP	
107	66	" AI		**	
108	6.6	" HP		44	
$1\overline{0}9$	Drachm			PA ONP	
110	66			" HP "	
110				,	
			Group c		
111	Tetradrachm	R A		ΞP	
112	6.6	Al		66	
113	66	" ⊢		6.6	
114	Drachm			MALEP	
115	6.6			" A "	
116	* 6			" H "	

No.	Denomination WWW	On left v.libtool.com.c	<mark>0</mark> roup d (1st issue)	In exergue	On right
117	Tetradrachin	四十百	()	ΑΞΡ	
118	46	" Al		44	
119	44	" H		46	
120	Drachm	,		₩ A AEP	
121	44			" A "	
122	"			"HP "	
			Group d (2nd issue)	'	
123	Tetradrachm	₽ MI		ΑΞΡ	
124	"	" 🙈		46	
125	44	" A		44	
126	Drachm	·		四 A A EP	
127	66			"Р "	
			Group e	,	
128	$2\frac{1}{2}$ Stater	R∣∑A			ΒΞΡ
129	2 "	" ₩			44
130	Tetradrachm	" 🛱		ΒΞΡ	
131	44	" 1971		44	
132	44	" ҧ		44	
133	Drachm			PA M BEP	
134	66	•		" ரி "	
			ALEXANDER I		
			Series I		
			Group a		
135	Tetradrachm	CORNUCOPIAE		ΓΞΡ nh	
136	"	44		" ㎞	
137	66	46	and ⊙	66	
138	Drachm	44		6.6	
139	44	44		"	
140	46	44	and 🛱	66	
141	46	44	and E	46	
			Group b		
142	Tetradrachm	ΠΡ, ∦		ΔΞΡ	P
143	"	肉 ,"		46	
144	"	₩, "		44	
145	44	"		" 🛱	
146	44	"		" 	
147	44	66		" P	
148	"	66		" H	
149	Drachm			66	
150	Tetradrachm	& P		66	

No.	Denomination (ol.coffr.left		In exergue	On right
			Group e	_	
151	Tetradrachm	Æ		EEP MP	
152	44	66		" F	
153	4.6	66		" ♠	
154	66	CORNUCOPIAE		" H_	
155	46	▲		" Þ	
156	66	K		., Σ	
			Group d		
157	Tetradrachm	K		SEP Z	
158	66	"		., Θ	
159	46	A			
160	66			" *	
161	66	66		" R	
101			Group e	, ,	
	m . 1 1	0	croup o	IEP ()	
162	Tetradrachm	R		1=r (-)	
			Series II		
163	Stater	no monogram			
164	Tetradrachm			ΠΡΟ	
165	66			щ	
166	66			Þ₽.R	
167	66			H	
168	46			Γľ	
169	44			\$	
170	66			Δ	
171	4.6			M	
171a	66			Σ	
171b	46			≤	
			Series A		
172	Drachm	Æ		戽	
173	1914CHIII	A.		tal.	
174	66	66		A	
175		66		Θ	
119	•		Series B		
			Series D	A	
176	Draehm			" H	
177	44				
			Series C		
178	Drachm			₩	
179	"			M H	
180	"			" Э	
181	6.6			" ≥	

No.	Denomination	On left		In exergue	On right
400	D 1 WWW.	libtool.com.cn	Series D		
182				<u> </u>	
183	44			" A	
184	••		g : T	" - 	
185	Drachm		Series E	14 100	
186	braenin "			K PP	
187				Θ ΠΒ	
188	44			ΠP	
189	Hemidrachm	COPYTICODIAN		Δ	
190	"	CORNUCOPIAE			
191	46	A A			
192	44	ф			
193	44	no monogram			
194	Diobol	" "			
XU L	1710301				
		Dемет	rrius II (1st	reign)	
105	7D / 1 1		Group a		
195	Tetradrachm	M "		ZEP 📈	
196				" H	
197	"	٠.		" Ө	
$\frac{198}{199}$		"		" Þ	
$\frac{199}{200}$	"	"		" <u>*</u> " >	
200	"	••	(1 1	" ※	
0.01	T- + 1 1 1		Group b		
$\begin{array}{c} 201 \\ 202 \end{array}$	Tetradrachm	PALM BRANCH,		ZEP 🕅	
$\frac{202}{203}$	"	66 66	44	*114	
$\frac{205}{204}$	44		"	" P	
$\frac{204}{205}$	44	44 44	44	" A " ₽	
206	66	66 66		" ₤	
200			, G	.,	
20=	7D . 1 . 1		Group c		
207		PALM BRANCH,	□, K	HEP	
208			" 🛱	44	
209	44	66 66	" Н	44	
209a		66	" A	44	
210	Drachm	四, 片		HEP	
$\begin{array}{c} 211 \\ 212 \end{array}$	46	" A		"	
	"	" K			
213 214	"	STAR " 🔼			
214	Hemidrachm	<u>A</u> , A		HEP	
210	Heimarachin	四 %			

No.	Denomination WWW.11bt001	.com.cn	Antiochus	In exergue	On right
			Group a		
216	Tetradrachm	HEP	·		TPY
217	66	44			TPY PP
218	44	"			" ரீ
219	66	"			" 🕅
220	46	THYRSOS, HE	P		" K
221	"				·· 🗶
222	Drachm	BUNCH OF GR		HEP	
223	66		" 🐧	44	
224	4.6	66 66	" ×	66	
225		66 66	" гћ	46	
226	66		" 🐧	66	
227	44	٠٠ ٠٠	" ₩	6.6	
			Group b		TDV ITT -8
228	Tetradraelim	THYRSOS ΘΞ	Р		TPY I∏ m [®] " I A P ⋝ T A
229	44	"			" ₩° ≥TA " % or % "
230		66			" A "
231	66				" ф "
282		46			" 图 "
233	66				" AP "
$\frac{234}{235}$	 Drachm	BUNCH OF GI	O A DESC	ΘΞΡ Σ ΤΑ	~
236 236	Drachiii 	BUNCH OF GI	VALES	0_1 Z 1 A	
$\frac{250}{237}$	66	%		66 66	
$\frac{231}{238}$	66	• 🖺		44 44	
$\frac{230}{239}$	6.6	Ø		66 66	
<u> </u>			Group c		
240	Tetradrachm	OP			TPY n STA
241		"			" W "
242	46	6.6			" 🗶 "
243	66	66			"
244	6.6	44			" \$ \$TA
245	66	44			" A "
246	Drachm	ıĥ		OP STA	
247	44	M or ₩	4		
248	66	x or x		66 66	
249	46	ф		"	
250	66	A		66 66	
			Group d		
251	Hemidrachm	no monogram	1		
252	**			K	

No.	Denomination	On left	ln exergue	On right
253		ibtool.com.cn	щ	
254	66		A	
255	46			∑TA
256	Diobol			66
		Group e		
257	Drachm			TPY AP
258	66			" 🗶 or 🔀
259	"			" ҧ
260	"			" ₹
		Tryphon		r
261	Tetradrachm	X		
$\frac{261}{262}$	1ettattaemin	X X		
262a	66	®		
263	66	no monogram		
$\frac{263}{264}$	66	A		
$\frac{264}{265}$	Drachm	X		
265a	Diaemii "	*		
266 266	66	XP		
$\frac{260}{267}$	66	ų, Vi		
268	66	πP		
$\frac{269}{269}$	66	A		
$\frac{200}{270}$	66	R		
271	66			
272	46	π		
212		Antiochus VI	П	
			11	
250	m + 1 -1	Group a		
273	Tetradrachm	ቀ ₳ or ♠ " ∟ድ		
274	"	[7]		
275	"	" Al " p 來		
276	"			
277	"			
278		10		
279	"	" H " A		
280	44	'		
281	66	1 1		
282		1		
283	Tetradrachm	Group b		A
$\frac{263}{284}$	remadraemm	Ф A " "		
$\frac{285}{285}$	44			<i>R</i> ∆
$\frac{286}{286}$	66	"		<u>Δ</u> Δ Φ1
200				Ψ1

No.	Denomination TWWW.liptool.con	On left	In exergue	On right
287 288	Tetradrachm	A		A
289	66	46 44		Н
$\frac{269}{290}$	6.			0
291	"			2
$\frac{291}{292}$	44			ф
		Group c		
-0A9	Tetradrachm			В
$\frac{293}{294}$	retradrachin	Д		E
$\frac{294}{295}$	66			K
$\frac{250}{296}$	66	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		A
297	66			٨
298	66	" · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		٨
299	44	, M		K
_ ,		Group d		
300	Drachm			
301	Draciiii	Ф A		A
302	66	" A		,,
303	66	"		R
304	6 4	" 0		, \
305	.6	.6		0
306	66	"∤π		
307	66	66		TT
308	6.6	ч ф		
309	4.6	66		ф
310	6.	₩ 4		
		Group e		
311	Tetradrachm	₽ A		
312	Drachm	뭐 △		
312a	, 44	M A		
313	Tetradrachm	B A		
314	Drachm	A B		
315	Tetradrachm	Ξ A	2	
316	Drachm	Σ		
317	4.	44		0
		Demetrius II (2d rei	gn)	
318	Tetradrachm	H		
319	1 etradiacinii	₩ E		
$\frac{319}{320}$	66	=	O(beneath throne))
321	66		Δ " "	


**.	Denomination	On left		Beneath throne	On right
No. 322	Drachmwww.lil			ΞΟ (in exergue)	_
323	,,	Ξ		Δ	
324	66	no letters			
325	66	Δ	•	Ω	
920			II anautum		
		A	LEXANDER II		
			Group a		
326	Tetradrachm	IΣI		Δ	
327	66	66		star over △	
328_{\odot}	66	46		Σ	
329	4.6	66		star over ≥	
330	4.6	46		" " A	
331	**	66		·· ·· 🕾	
			Group b		
332	Tetradrachm	AP		Δ	
333	66	66		star over Δ	
334	6.6	44		" " ∑	
335	66	"		₽ P	
336	Drachm	" A			
337	Hemidrachm	四(四?)	Σ		
338	Diobol	no monogram or	letter		
			Group c		
339	Tetradrachm	PP P		Δ	
340	66	46		Σ	
341	66	66		AΦ (AI)	
342	Drachm	" \ <u>\</u>			
343	66	" ≥			
344	66	" Аф			
345		" ΔI (o	r AФ?)		
346	Hemidrachm	" , ['] \			
010			Group d		
0.47	Tetradrachm	Ξ	·	Δ	
347	1 etradraenin	-		Σ	
348	66	44		A	
349	Drachm	" ∣ ∑		• •	
350	Draeniii	" Аф			
351 250	Hemidrachm	46 66			
352	пешпатаенш		Group e		
		0	стопр е	Σ	
353	Tetradrachm	<u>Æ</u>		2 A	
354	46	46		A	
355	44	66		A	

Solid	No.	Denomination WWW.libtoo	ol.com.cn	Group f	Beneath throne	On right
357	356	Draehm	☆ AΦ	p		
Stater No monogram or letters CLEOPATRA AND ANTIOCHUS VIII 359			1 1			
CLEOPATRA AND ANTIOCHUS VIII 359 Tetradrachm 1E			, , ,	Group g		
CLEOPATRA AND ANTIOCHUS VIII 359	358	Stater	no monogram or	letters		
359					ours VIII	
360 "	250	Total day of me		AND ANTIO		
Solution A A A A A A A A A						
No. Denomination On left In exergue On right						
No. Denomination On left Group a	901					
Second	3/0	Donomination		HUS VIII (IS	<u> </u>	(h) niceles
Section Sec	710.	Denomination	On left	Group a	in exergite	On right
364	362	Tetradrachm	IE Θ			
Section Se	363	"	" ₹			
365 Tetradrachm IE A	364	6.6	Þ4			
366 " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "				Group b		
367 " " " N 368 " " " O 369 " " " P 370 " " A A 371 " " A A 371 " " " P 373 " " " Φ 374 " " N N 375 " " " D P 376a " " " Φ D </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>IE A</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>А</td>			IE A			А
368		66				
369		4.6	66 66			N
370		6.6	66 66			
371 " " " All 372 " " " " P 373 " " " " Φ 374 " " N N 375 " P P 376 " " " Δ 376a " " " Φ 377 " " Φ 378 " " " " Φ 379 Drachm IE 380 " (1)E Antiochus IX (1st reign) 381 Tetradrachm 382 " " " All 383 " " " " All 384 " " " " All 385 " " " " O Antiochus IX (2d reign)		66				Р
372		4.6				А
373 " " " " Φ 374 " N N N 375 " P P 376 " A N A 376a " P 377 " Φ 378 " " " Φ 379 Draehm 380 " (I)E Antiochus IX (1st reign) 381 Tetradraehm 382 " A 383 " A 384 " N A 385 " N A 385 " N A 387 " N A 388 " N A 389 " N A 381 " N A 381 " N A 382 " A 383 " A 384 " N A 385 " N A 385 " N A 386 " N A 387 " N A 388					6 6	*
374		6.6	44 44			
375			66 66			
376						
376a " " " " Φ 377 " " " Φ 378 " " " " Π 379 Drachm 380 " (I)E ANTIOCHUS IX (1st reign) 381 Tetradrachm 382 " " " A 383 " " " " A 384 " " " " N 385 " " " " O ANTIOCHUS IX (2d reign)					Р	Р
377 φ 378						
378 " " " " Π 379 Drachm 380 " (I)E ANTIOCHUS IX (1st reign) 381 Tetradrachm 382 " " " A 383 " " " Δ 384 " " " N 385 " " " " O ANTIOCHUS IX (2d reign)						
379 Drachm 1E						
380 " (1)E ANTIOCHUS IX (1st reign) 381 Tetradrachm					П	
ANTIOCHUS IX (1st reign) 381 Tetradrachm						
381 Tetradraehm	389					
382 " " " Δ 383 " " " " Δ 384 " " " " N 385 " " " " O Antiochus IX (2d reign)			ANTIO	ния IX (1st	t reign)	
383 " " " Δ 384 " " " N 385 " " " " O Antiochus IX (2d reign)	381	Tetradrachm	TO A			
384 " " " N 385 " " " O Antiochus IX (2d reign)	382	**	**			А
Antiochus IX (2d reign)	383	65				Δ
Antiochus IX (2d reign)						N
	385		66 66			0
386 Tetradrachm M A			Antio	enus IX (2d	reign)	
	386	Tetradrachm	TM A			Γ


No.	Denomination	On left	Beneath throne	On right					
www.libtool.coAктросния VIII (3d reign)									
387	Tetradrachm	₽ A		Γ					
388	66	66 66		Δ					
389	**	66 66		K					
390		66 66		Ξ					
391	Drachm	" Г							
392	66	" N							
393	Hemidrachm	" F							
394	44	N							
395	Diobol	" A							
Antiochus IX (3d reign)									
396	Tetradrachm	名 A		0					
397	44	46 6.		• P					
398	"								
399		66 66		KY					
400		66 66		₽					
401		·· ·· , 4		·					
402	"	66 66		Δ					
403	44	"", ф							
404	Drachm	" N							
		Antiochus	VIII (4th reign)						
405	Tetradrachm	E A	A (&)						
406	66		— (—)						
407	6.	66 66	π						
408	66	66 66	 ரி						
409	Drachm	66 64	•••	•					
410	66	" F							
411	66	·· Δ							
412	66	" P							
413	Hemidrachm	" A							
Antiochus IX (4th reign)									
414	Tetradrachm	ξA	Δ (&)						
415	"	" ", H							
416	6.6	" ", П	66						
417	6	,, ,,	π						
418	66		N						
419	Drachm	£ π							
420	Hemidrachm	~ 111							

No.	Denomination WWW.libtool.co	on left m.cn Si	ELEUCUS VI	Beneath throne	On right
		~.	Group a		
421	Tetradrachin	AINIA	стоир а	Δ	
422				A	
423	44			N	
			Group b		
424	Tetradrachm	₽∣A	·	С	
425	"			66	
426	Drachm				С
427	44	" , C			
428	Hemidrachm	₹, C			
		A:	NTIOCHUS X		
			Group a		
429	Tetradrachm	⊠ A		\triangle	
			Group b		
430	Tetradrachm	Ŝ A		Δ	
431	Drachm				
432	Hemidrachın	66			
		Ax	TIOCHUS XI		
433	Tetradrachm	ID A		Δ	
		DE	METRIUS III	_	
434	Tetradrachm	ω π Α		^	
435	44	N A		<u>~</u>	
			Ď		
			Рипле		
190	Tetradrachm	NI L A	Group a	•	
4000	Tetradraenni	N A	Character 1.	\triangle	
437	Tetradrachm	φ Α	Group b	\triangle	
438	"	" ", Δ		,, <u>\(\)</u>	
439	44	",H		44	
440	44	·· ·· , Θ			
441	44	" ", N		6.6	
442		., ., 0		44	
443	4.6	" ", ф		"	
			Group e		
No.		On left	In exergue	Beneath throne	On right
444		Φ A	Δ .	Δ	
445			٨	4.	
446			M		
447	6.6	66 66	Ν	46	

No.	Denomination	On left	In exergue	Beneath throne	On right			
448	Tetradyachmlibtobl.com.cn		Π	Δ				
449	66		Σ	44				
450			Т	64				
			Group d					
451	Tetradrachm	Ф А, А	Н	Δ				
452°		" " , A	"	46				
453		٠، ،، ١	Θ	46				
Group e								
454	Tetradrachm	φ A (?), φ	A	\triangle				
455	"	""(?), 🛱	V	66				
456	6.6	" "(?), நீ		6.6				
457		" "(?), w	& AN	4.6				
458	6.6	" "(?), 🗖	,	66				
459		ΔΗ Ο Μ	€P (?)	4.6				
		An	TIOCHUS XIII					
460	Tetradrachm	\bowtie						
461	66	"		Р				




SELEUCUS II (1), SELEUCUS III (3-4), ANTIOCHUS III (7-18)



ANTIOCHUS III (19-30), SELEUCUS IV (31-35)




SELEUCUS IV (37-40), ANTIOCHUS IV (42-44, 54-55), ANTIOCHUS V (45-52)




ANTIOCHUS IV (56-70), ANTIOCHUS V (74 77)




DEMETRIUS I







DEMETRIUS I (109-130), ALEXANDER I (135-192).




ALEXANDER I (165-170), DEMETRIUS II (198-215), ANTIOCHUS VI (216-232).




ANTIOCHUS VI (222-259), TRYPHON (261-267), ANTIOCHUS VII (273-287)




ANTIOCHUS VII (304-315), DEMETRIUS II (320-323), ALEXANDER II (329-353).



CLEOPATRA AND ANTIOCHUS VIII (359-360), ANTIOCHUS VIII, first reign (362-376), ANTIOCHUS IX, first reign (382), ANTIOCHUS IX, second reign (386), ANTIOCHUS VIII, third reign (388-393)



ANTIOCHUS IX, Third reign (396-404), ANTIOCHUS VIII, Fourth reign (405-410), ANTIOCHUS IX, Fourth reign (414-420), SELEUCUS VI (421-428).



ANTIOCHUS X (429-431), ANTIOCHUS XI (433), DEMETRIUS III (435a-435b), PHILIP (436-458), TIGRANES (A), ANTIOCHUS XIII (461).



PRIVATE SILVER COINS ISSUED IN THE UNITED STATES

The Leshers or Referendum Pieces

By FARRAN ZERBE

Octagonal silver pieces dated 1900 and 1901 made by Joseph Lesher of Victor, Colorado, for commercial use, and classed as coins by the maker, have been given the name of Leshers. As a late private issue of silver for exchange purposes, not depending upon necessity or demand; the idea and product of an advocate of free coinage of silver; issued in defiance of government statute; and for a time performing in a limited way the service of money in central Colorado, these coins contribute an extraordinary and interesting addition to American numismatics.

The entire issue is believed not to have exceeded three thousand pieces. Different types, sizes and imprints compose twelve varieties. to which may be added minor varieties due to slight variations in detail. Although the issue is recent, all are now scarce and in demand. No modern series, of which I know, has developed more persistent inquiry for facts and specimens by the few collectors interested in securing information and acquiring new varieties. The neighborhood of their production and the localities where they were issued have been repeatedly canvassed in the past ten years, but comparatively few specimens in proportion to reasonable expectations have been obtained or located. The record of those in specialized collections and appearing in numismatic sale catalogues for the last decade, aggregate less than one hundred: and the only quantity known to exist is under two hundred pieces accumulated up to 1905 and (quietly) held since then. Thus we have evidence of the rapidity with which an issue, even when of good proportions, may disappear, and it is the more notable, because in this instance, loss results if the pieces are converted into bullion.

Effort to obtain information for a numismatic record of LESHERS has not been left to the present. It has been in process to a greater or less degree for some time, but it is only by bringing together bits of information acquired by several individual collectors that a connected story is provided. Letters of inquiry to Mr. Lesher received no answer. When he was interviewed personally he claimed to have preserved no

records, and in many instances his memory serves only for approximate answerswtowdibted constions. However there is little numismatically essential to be added to the facts which have been brought together and confirmed by the present writer.

The first published numismatic notice in regard to this series that has come to my attention was by Lyman H. Low in cataloguing two specimens for the H. G. Brown collection sale in 1904. Mr. Low referred to them thus: "Probably but little thought of to-day, but I judge a few years hence will be among the rarities sought for." It seems apropos to record that Mr. Low here scored as prophet, for while the series is still little known and yet to be edited, the very specimens Mr. Low mentioned would be worth to-day five or six times the price they brought in 1904.

A brief reference was made to "referendum sonvenir trading pieces" in my notes to The Numismatist, May, 1905. The only extended numismatic reference to the series is contained in the article by E. H. Adams published in The Numismatist, August, 1911. Mr. Adams, who bases his article on material supplied by Judson Brenner, writes in introduction: "It is curious that so little information can be obtained in regard to a coinage that took place in the United States not more than eleven years ago, yet nevertheless the operations of Joseph Lesher, who issued the odd octagonal silver pieces bearing his name at Victor, Colorado, in 1900, are shrouded in almost complete obscurity." He describes five varieties, all there were then known to him.

I and others who were interested in an effort to secure more detailed knowledge, addressed letters of inquiry to Mr. Lesher and some of those who were associated with him. No replies being received we concluded that all were either dead or untraceable. In 1914, however, I chanced to meet Charles E. Briggs, an active collector of Lisbon, Iowa, who was particularly interested in Leshers. He told me of a recent call on Mr. Lesher at his home in Victor and of the result of his search for specimens and information.

Victor, the home of the LESHERS, is in the heart of the Cripple Creek gold mining section of Colorado, a few honrs ride from Colorado Springs. On my next visit to that section in 1914, I spent a few pleasant hours with Joseph Lesher and his wife. I found him an affable, well-preserved old gentleman, who told me he was born in the vicinity of Toledo, Ohio, and was about eighty years old; that he came to Colorado in its pioneer days, and after a residence of some years he returned

to Ohio and engaged on the divery business in Toledo for over ten years; then after a few years of farming in the vicinity, he returned to Victor about twenty-eight years previous to my visit. He has since resided there and engaged during his active years in mining and real estate.

He referred with surprise and satisfaction to the visits he had had from collectors, and to the many mail inquiries regarding his "referendums." He had not expected this interest from numismatists and he showed delight when I told him I was seeking information from which to make an accurate and permanent record. He looks back upon the production of his "referendums" not as anything novel, but simply as one might consider any ordinary article developed by bringing into material form an idea for which there seemed a profitable market. He had no thought of any future particular interest or he would have kept records and been better prepared to give information.

All the pieces are stamped REFERENDUM, and Mr. Lesher speaks of them by that name, and calls them pieces of silver of convenient size and value, shaped to be distinguished from government coins, showing their quality and price, guaranteed to be redeemed by the issuer, and referred to the people for use or rejection as a medium of exchange. Colorado's pronounced endorsement of the free coinage of silver at the ratio of 16 to 1, which Mr. Lesher advocated in the national campaigns of 1896 and 1900, was the seed from which grew his idea for a silver coin issue as a private enterprise. He believed the people of Colorado and other parts of the country wanted and would use more silver dollars if they were supplied.

The first issues, dated 1900, contain one ounce of "coin silver" and are of the novel denomination of \$1.25. It is said the plan was to have merchants pay them out at \$1.00 and receive them back at \$1.25 in exchange for merchandise. However, I found no evidence or practice confirming this, and the plan appears on its face as impractical. While Mr. Lesher does not confirm it, it is reasonable to believe that there may have been some particular purpose for making the value \$1.25 other than to have them of one ounce composition and valued in proportion to relative cost and profit.

Dies for the first variety were made by Frank Hurd of Denver; all the other dies were made by Herman Otto. I had a pleasant call with Mr. Otto at his Denver studio. He is a native of Germany and an artist with brush and chisel as well as a designer and die cutter. During his residence in England years ago he worked on dies for the British government. He said the Lesher dies were made under instructions as to detail, and that he had little opportunity for using his judgment for best effects. He recalled some dies breaking and his making new ones to replace them. He had no record of the number of dies made but believed those I described included all the varieties.

The most interested collectors of LESHERS are E. B. Morgan, Esq., president of the Colorado State Historical Society; C. W. Cowell, and H. O. Mann, all of Denver; Judson Brenner, Youngstown, Ohio; C. E. Briggs, Lisbon, Iowa, and the writer. The quantity accumulator is Jean Maunovry, now of Rochester, New York, who was for some years a resident of Denver and interested in mining claims in the Cripple Creek district. Mr. Maunovry says he purchased from one person a lot of about one hundred in 1905, and several at different times from banks, curio shops and individuals. The series being of local interest, the Denver collectors have been active in their quest for varieties; Mr. Brenner took up the trail soon after the pieces were issued; and Mr. Briggs has been particularly active in seeking specimens. If they were to be had Mr. Briggs should now have a good supply, for in addition to several visits to the Cripple Creek section he has advertised for LESHERS in the newspapers of that vicinity, and has sent circulars offering to purchase to the addresses of mail buyers which he received from Mr. Lesher, but has secured only a few specimens.

To all of these gentlemen I wish to acknowledge indebtedness for information which they have given me, and for the use of their specimens for comparison and illustration. It is notable that a complete collection is yet to be brought together. The Brenner, Briggs and Zerbe collections, the most complete as yet, each has two varieties not possessed by either of the others, and four different collections would have to be drawn upon to bring together all known varieties. This emphasizes the rarity of some of the varieties, since for more than ten years completeness has been the aim of all the six collectors mentioned.

All the pieces have provision made for numbering them. They were numbered by hand, each character on a separate punch not always uniform, thus producing numbers irregular in alignment and depths. Some have a period after the number, but it is not on all. Mr. Lesher says a regular system of numbering was not maintained, and the numbers cannot be accepted as a guide to the relation of one series to another or as to the number produced either in respect to varieties or total issue.

All the piecesware contagonal and with plain edge. According to Mr. Lesher they are composed of silver .950 fine with copper alloy, this fineness more than making good the claim of quality "coin silver" stamped upon them. They were struck by various metal stampers in Denver.

In the following descriptions of all known varieties the absence or misuse of punctuation marks in the inscription will be noted, but in most instances no reference will be made except by the illustrations to various dots, lines and scrolls used as space ornaments or dividers.

1900 ISSUES

All are 35 millimeters across center, stamped 1 oz coin silver and with price \$1.25.





1. First type, 1900. Obverse: Jos Leshers referendum souvenir. in upper hemicirele; below, in six lines, One oz of Coin | >Silver | Price 1.25 | M.F.G.D VICTOR | >COLO. | 1900

Reverse: A COMMODITY | WILL GIVE | IN | EXCHANGE | CURENCY COIN | > OR < | MERCHANDISE | AT FACE VALUE | NO in nine lines.

One hundred struck and numbered consecutively from 1 to 100. These were widely distributed due to mail inquiries following newspaper notices, and from the number located these are about fourth in rarity. The dies were seized by the government and production stopped.

Considerable newspaper comment followed the initial production of the pieces. One item, dated Victor, November 13, 1900, reads: "Joseph Lesher, a Colorado pioneer and silver mine owner, has procured a die, laid in a supply of bullion, and manufactured several silver coins, each containing one ounce of pure silver. He calls his coins 'Referendum Dollars' because they are to be referred to the people for acceptance or rejection.

"Mr. Lesher proposes to demonstrate that the 'intrinsic value' theory is a delusion and a snare. His silver at the present quotation

costs sixty-five cents per ounce, the expense of coining is fifteen cents, eighty cents filter, but he values the dollars at \$1.25 and intends to keep them above par. Although he is confident that the silver alone is really worth \$1.29 an ounce, he admits that other people may be prejudiced. Therefore he promises to pay \$1.25 in United States money on demand for each 'referendum' dollar. In order to avoid any entanglements with the Government, Mr. Lesher makes his dollars eight-sided."

While this does not exactly agree with statements made in later years by Mr. Lesher, it is perhaps as nearly a correct report as could be made of an experimental plan based on visionary theory.

Not many days elapsed after the production of the first Leshers before their producer had a call from Government officers. He now tells amusedly that on his compliance with their request to see the dies "they pulled out a little sack into which they put the dies and walked away, and I never saw the dies again." The officers claimed that the pieces had the function of coin and were therefore contrary to law. Lesher considered the interference of the Government unwarranted and appealed to Senator Teller who, according to Lesher, brought the matter to the attention of the Secretary of the Treasury. The latter, after consideration, agreed that on condition of certain changes in the inscription there would be no further interference on the part of the Government.

The following newspaper item appeared under date of November 23, 1900: "Joseph Lesher who recently made and issued one hundred silver souvenirs, which he called 'Referendum dollars,' says he has assurances from the United States District Attorney that his scheme is not illegal, and he has ordered a new die from which 10,000 will be struck off immediately. The silver will cost him \$6,500 and the making \$1,500. He will sell the coins for \$12,500 and redeem them on demand for the same amount. The new coins will bear the name of a Victor grocery man, who agrees to redeem them in merchandise or money."

The pieces referred to are known as the Burnstead type. A. B. Burnstead, the name of a Victor merchant who issued and redeemed them, is engraved in the die. Dies for this and the balance of the series are by Otto. They are well cut and arranged, and all have a narrow struck up flat frame, not always uniform, with a beaded border.



2. Bumstead Type — First Reverse. Obverse: An ideal mountain mining scene occupies upper half of field; in two lines at top divided by the central mountain peak PIKES—PEAK | SILVER MINE; on lower half \cdot A COMMODITY \cdot | \cdot WILL GIVE \cdot | \cdot IN EXCHANGE \cdot | MERCHANDISE | -*AT*- | A. B. BUMSTEAD | N°_{\cdot} in seven lines.

Reverse: Jos. Leshers referendum souvenir in upper five-eighths of circle near edge; balanced in center of upper half is a devise from the seal of the State of Colorado including its motto NIL SINE NUMBE (Nothing without God) which separates 1. OZ. COIN; below, * SILVER • | PRICE 1.25. | M'F'D. VICTOR | COLO. | * .1900 • in five lines.

These are found numbered up to about 600, which was probably the number struck. But few with this reverse have been located, and the type appears to be about third in rarity. The dies are still held by Mr. Lesher, and the obverse die is chipped on the edge.

This variety is distinguished from No. 3 by scrolls on the reverse, ornamenting the field at sides of the State seal device, and a line or dash above colo. Mr. Lesher is uncertain, but thinks that the reverse without scrolls was used first. However, the fact that I have not found any with scrolls numbered higher than 600, while all without scrolls are numbered between 600 and 1,000, and also No. 4 which has the reverse without scrolls is numbered still higher, indicates that the die with scrolls was used first as here classed.



3. Bumstead Type — Second Reverse. Same as No. 2 excepting that there are no scrolls in field at sides of the State seal device, or line above COLO.

Those noted are numbered between 600 and 1,000. See note following No. 2. The Wie, library of the entire series and is classed sixth in rarity.

It is not known how many of either Bumstead varieties were struck. Mr. Lesher says Bumstead sold about 700 and was called upon to redeem only 3 pieces for cash, and all eventually disappeared from use. I have found them numbered as high as 988. It is presumed that 1,000 were struck, and while all are dated 1900 they were made and used as late as any of the series. It is surprising that so many pieces with a cash value of \$1.25 each, should almost completely disappear within a few years in a small town like Victor. But two known specimens were left in the town in 1914, both framed and on exhibition and valued by their owners at \$10.00 each.



4. Bank Type. Obverse: Upper half same as No. 2: lower half, * A COMMODITY * | WILL GIVE MERCHANDISE OR CASH | . AT . ANY . BANK . | No. in four lines; a blank field, the size and position of which suggests that provision was made for special imprint in addition to the number; at bottom, in curved line, * AT BULLION VALUE *

Reverse: Same as No. 3.

The die is now owned by a collector. Mr. Lesher says but five pieces, die trials, were struck and the bank idea was abandoned. In proposing their redemption at any bank in merchandise or cash was inviting trouble as well as Government interference at a time when the scheme in general was going nicely. It has not been learned what kind of "merchandise" banks were expected to give. Of this, the rarest type of the series, three have been located: Briggs, No. 1563, Brenner, No. 1620 and Zerbe, No. 1631. These unrelated numbers when only five were struck is unexplained and indicative of the lack of system that, I am led to think, was associated with the entire product. The 1631 is the highest number known to me in the entire Lesher series. This closes the series dated 1900 and of the value of \$1.25.

It is presumed between as more or less criticism and interference by Government representatives and together with the success of the Bumstead distribution suggested the obtaining of protection against imitators, for succeeding issues. We see from the inscription on the next issue that the pieces were both patented and copyrighted, Lesher thereby considering himself licensed to make them and safe from further interference by the Government. This was a mistaken idea, for one may obtain a patent or copyright, and yet the article so protected may be prohibited if it is contrary to law.

1901 ISSUES

Weight, size and price reduced. All are 32 millimeters; weight, $412\frac{1}{2}$ grains (the weight of a standard dollar), price \$1.00. They have a blank field for business imprint. These imprints are made by handpunches in Gothic style characters, for the most part in two lines.





5. Imprint Type. Obverse: Mining scene, etc., as on No. 2, below in four lines in the people we trust · | * A commodity * | will give in exchange | merchandise at, a blank space for imprint; at bottom, No with line for number.

Reverse: Jos · Leshers · Referendum · Silver · Souvenir · Medal in three-quarter circle at top, State seal device as on No. 2 divides price \$1.00. with u. s. | Patent at left and N° 62,695. at right; below in six lines, trade mark reg. u. s. pat. off. | N° . 36,192. apr. 9. 1901. | Design pat. apr. 16. 1901. | \Rightarrow M'f'd. \Leftrightarrow | Victor colo | · 1901 ·

Without business imprint. They are found with and without number. Mr. Cowell has one without number. Mr. Brenner No. 1, and Mr. Briggs No. 1022; others, all numbered below 100, have appeared in sales. Classed fourth in rarity. The obverse die is owned by a collector; the reverse die has not been located and is believed to have been destroyed. It will be noted that MEDAL has been added to the name, and while the Colorado motto, Nil Sine Numine, is continued, it is now in the people we trust, according to the added superscription.

Mr. Lesher had a quantity made for stock and does not remember what disposition was made of the remainder. Boyd Park, a jeweler of Denver at the time, who was Lesher's distributing agent for several months, is said to have had an interest in this remainder and it is supposed that they were reduced to bullion; however, this is not certain, but should any quantity lot of Leshers come to light later they would probably be of this variety (No. 5) or with Boyd Park imprint (No. 10). Denver people remember seeing quantities, hundreds they say, heaped in Park's store window. It is my impression that a quantity does or did exist for some time after they were either withdrawn from public sale, or a re-striking was considered. In 1914 a Colorado man said he could get a quantity for me if I would pay the price. I was surprised and asked him how many he could obtain. He replied, "As many as you will want, yes, hundreds." I agreed to purchase, and at once started to try and locate the dies for this type. All the other dies known had been previously examined and I knew there could be no restrikes from them without distinguishing marks. Lesher said these dies (No. 5) had been left with Frank Hurd who was to strike the next lot in case any more were wanted. Hurd, however, was not called upon to strike any more. Lesher was lax in keeping in touch with Hurd. whose business was later sold out and his shop equipment dispersed. Since then Lesher had been unable to trace him. A search extending over about two weeks was rewarded by finding and obtaining the obverse die and planchet punch among disearded metal at a novelty works. The reverse die was not found. When I later called upon the man who was to supply a quantity, he said the man he expected to get them from was a "peculiar fellow" and he could not "do business" with him now. I have been after that quantity lot at different times since, but neither a specimen nor any information to lead to one has resulted, excepting the lot held by Mr. Mannovry. This hoard may be the one my enthusiastic would-be supplier knew of but was unable to secure.



6. Same as No. 5, but stamped — J. M. SLUSHER | CRIPPLE CREEK, COLO

- J. M. Slusher was a Cripple Creek grocer, now deceased. Lesher says he had less than five hundred. They are known numbered between 49 and 248, inclusive. They appear to be about the second commonest variety and are classed about fifth in rarity.
 - 7. Same as No. 5, stamped SAM COHEN | VICTOR, COLO

Mr. Lesher says Cohen was a Victor jeweler and did not have many—"a few small lots at different times." Only three located, Briggs, No. 429 (double imprint, first imprint upside down), Brenner, No. 406, and Mann, No. 428. Considered second rarest imprint.

8. Same as No. 5, stamped — D. W. KLEIN & CO. | PUEBLO, COLO

During our conversation in 1914, Mr. Klein told me his firm was engaged in the retail liquor business and that he was an old friend of Mr. Lesher and experimented with the coins on that account. He had three hundred, paid Lesher 85 cents apiece for them, used them in change and trade at \$1.00, and only one ever came back for cash redemption. He had not kept a specimen, and all had disappeared, where or how he did not know. During a visit to Pueblo in 1905 I located but one, No. 1071, at a pawnshop, and which I obtained. On a later visit an industrious search brought me another, No. 1051, worn smooth except for imprint. These are the only two of record.

9. Same as No. 5, stamped — GEO. MULLEN | VICTOR, COLO.

Mr. Lesher says Mullen was a shoemaker and only 100 pieces were stamped for him. Considered one of the rarest; but three specimens located, Mr. Morgan's, without number, Mr. Mann's, No. 1511, and Zerbe's, No. 1504.



10. Same as No. 5, stamped — BOYD PARK | DENVER, COLO.

This imprint differs from the preceding in that it is in smaller characters. Park was a Denver jeweler and distributing agent for Lesher, who says Park sold between 500 and 1000; if so, a good portion of them were probably without imprint for I have found nothing to indicate

that more than 200 were stamped. Excepting an unnumbered specimen in the Zerbe collection, all known are numbered between 500 and 700.

11. Same as No. 5, stamped — w. c. Alexander | Jeweler | Salida, colo.

The second A in Salida is an inverted v.

This is undoubtedly the rarest imprint and was unknown to collectors until 1918. The only specimen located is owned by Mr. Cowell and bears the number 8. The imprint differs from others in that it is in three lines, very thin characters and lightly struck. It was Mr. Cowell's impression that the business card was engraved, but recent information from Mr. Alexander, who is still in business in Salida is that he "obtained from Joseph Lesher, Victor, Colo., fifty of the Referendum Dollars in March, 1902, paying forty dollars for them; all of them were received stamped to my order as the one described, and numbered from 1 to 50. Have none on hand and do not know where they are located."



12. Same as No. 5, engraved — GOODSPEEDS & CO. | 26 PIKES PEAK AVE

This imprint is engraved, not stamped as are all the preceding. On account of its being engraved its admittance to the series as a variety is based on the fact that the issue was contemporaneous with the others of the same type. Goodspeeds & Co. were jewelers of Colorado Springs and experimented with Leshers by engraving a few, expecting later to have some stamped. None, however, are known to have been stamped. But one specimen is on record, No. 1015 in the Briggs' collection.

This closes the record of all varieties known. Others said to have purchased Leshers for trade use were C. W. Thomas, jeweler, Florence, Colo., and two clothiers, Lesher says probably at Canon City and Grand Junction, Colo. All these three are said to have been supplied in blank and it is not known if any were imprinted by them. Efforts to locate Thomas or to identify the clothiers were unsuccessful.

Coins withwimprintl.spacenblank were supplied to any one requesting them. Imprint stamps were at the merchant's expense. None of the stamps have been located, and Lesher did not know what became of them. Considering how other material was found it is probable that if they exist they have been rusted beyond further use. With increasing interest in the series, which is to be expected from its deserved place in American numismatics, since blanks exist, caution should be exercised against engraved or otherwise forged imprints.

Mr. Lesher says about 3500, including all types, were struck. Allowing for some believed to have been melted, the distribution was probably about 3000 pieces. He says he was never asked to redeem any. The few pieces he wanted to keep, including No. 1 of the first type, have either been sold at fancy prices in recent years or, as he says, "got away when I wasn't looking."

An advertising card which was mailed out as late as 1903, reads:

THE FAMOUS VICTOR

REFERENDUM SILVER DOLLARS

are now used by the following named persons as a medium of exchange in their business. As a local medium of exchange they are a success.

SAM COHEN, exclusive agent, Victor, Colo.

J. W. SLUSHER "CRIPPLE CREEK.

BOYD PARK "DENVER, Colo.
C. W. THOMAS "FLORENCE, Colo.
D. W. KLEIN "PUEBLO, Colo.

(Reverse)

It is well known that these coins have a history. I am now threatened next time Congress meets that they will try to enact a law by which they will attempt to stop me making them. I am fair and impartial, and am willing to submit the question to the Courts, Public Opinion and the Press, whether or not they can do that as I have a patent or license for seven years from the Government to make them.

(Signed) JOS. LESHER,

Patentee.

While the Government probably gave Lesher cause to stamp his later products Medals; his literature refers to them as coins and mediums of exchange and with a spirit defiant against any interference.

Mr. Maunovry says "The Referendums were accepted as a dollar in Cripple Creek, Victor, Colorado City and Colorado Springs, and even in Denver by some banks in a speculative way. I bought several from banks." They also had limited circulation in Pueblo, but at some places their only use was as trade premiums or as items for sale as souvenirs.

In case an attempt is made to restrike any of these pieces, the chipped and rusted condition of the dies as well as certain other marks would identify these pieces at once from the originals. That Mr. Lesher stands to redeem the pieces, as per their stamped contract, makes his indifference as to the present location of the dies indefensible, and he should deem himself fortunate that he has not been imposed upon by falsely-made restrikes.

Lesher says he discontinued having more struck after enjoying a profitable market and after his interest declined. Considering the cost of dies (\$60.00 a set); silver, average price $62\frac{1}{2}$ cents per ounce; striking, 10 to 15 cents each; punches, patent, etc., it does not appear that the scheme could have proved a financial success.

As a referendum coiner, Higley of Connecticut, with his coppers value me as you please, outdistanced Lesher both in principle and liberality, and in point of time by more than a ceutury. The leshers as a private coin issue, having been used as a circulating medium at a time when the government not only fulfilled all requirements but prohibited private coining, is extraordinary if not unique—its nearest companion as a private silver coin issue since the organization of our mint is the "Sprinkle Dollar" of an earlier period and in undeveloped territory, which will be taken up later.

So long as metal shall endure in the form of coin or medal and there are collectors to prize them, Joseph Lesher, Victor, Colorado, and his "referendums" will be remembered; and in the annals of Colorado history he will trail after Clark, Gruber, Conway and Parsons, not in the same class by any means, but relatively, as a private coiner of that State.

www.16H.c.S.P.RINKLE DOLLAR

Most American numismatists are somewhat familiar with the story of a silver piece known as the "Sprinkle Dollar," while persistent inquiries on the part of the writer have contributed to keeping the story alive in recent years.

Back in my embryo collector days when the effort to acquire every date of the copper cent was sufficiently absorbing for me not to know or care of the numismatic realms that lay beyond, a business man of the central west wrote to me for information about a silver piece which had been "knocking around" his desk for a number of years. He had forgotten where it came from and had never taken the trouble to inquire about it. He described the piece as about dollar size, an owl pictured on one side, a six-pointed star on the reverse. In later years I had reason to identify this piece as a "Sprinkle Dollar," but from whom or whence the inquiry had come I had forgotten, but knew that my reply had been without any personal interest in the piece. One day, about fifteen years ago, in assorting a lot of old letters I found the owl-star piece inquiry and was in consequence much elated. I hoped that the piece might yet be obtained for editing even if it could not be purchased. Disappointment came in reply to my letter — the piece had disappeared and could not be located. I kept in correspondence with the man and did not give up hope of obtaining it until the day I received a letter stating that he had just discovered that his sons, months previously, had used "the old silver thing that Dad don't want" for a bull's-eye in their rifle target and that it had been shot to bits.

This is the one numismatic "bull's-eye" I have regretted, for I do not doubt that it was a "Sprinkle Dollar," and for which I would now gladly trade the set of copper cents that held my early interest.

To many people the "Sprinkle Dollar" is a fairy-tale or myth,

To many people the "Sprinkle Dollar" is a fairy-tale or myth, having its foundation in a newspaper article published in 1895 which, so far as I know, is the earliest published reference to it. This article was published in the American Journal of Numismatics, January, 1896, and the Editor's note prefacing it is the only numismatic comment that has come to my notice. The account in the Journal is as follows:

"We find in the Boston Transcript a cutting from the Wheeling (W. Va.) Register, published a few weeks ago, giving an account of some curious pieces which appear to have escaped the notice of American collectors, although the writer calls them 'the famous Sprinkle

Dollars.' The person who put them into circulation seems to have had a with a transfer of the somewhere in the northeastern part of Kentucky, near the Ohio and West Virginia line, the product of which he used as money, much in the way that the well-known coppers with the device of an axe, etc., were used by Higley in Connecticut more than a century ago." The Register says:

Not long ago a man living in Grayson, Carter County, Ky., received in payment for a horse sold to an old farmer, near the Lewis County line, \$46, among which were three of the famous 'Sprinkle Dollars' of the early '30s. It has been more than twenty years since any of these peculiar coins have been found in this section and the production of these will recall a queer character who flourished in the early part of the century, Josiah Sprinkle, who lived in one of the roughest sections of Lewis County. One day he appeared in Washington, the county seat, with a buckskin pouch full of silver dollars of his own make. In every respect they appeared the equal of the national coin. The weight was more and the quality and ring of the metal were all that could be asked

The inscriptions on the coins were rudely outlined, and no attempt was made at imitation of the legal coin. Rudely outlined on one side was an owl, while a six cornered star showed with more accuracy upon the other. The coins were considerably larger than the regulation article, and thicker as well. Upon various occasions Sprinkle afterwards visited the town, and spent them more and more freely. At one time he volunteered the fact that he had a silver mine in the hills, but no one ever succeeded in inducing the old man to reveal his secret.

Finally the government agents came on to investigate, Sprinkle was arrested and brought into court, but the dollars were proved to be pure silver, without alloy, worth, in fact, a trifle more than a dollar each, and after an exciting trial he reached down in a cavernous pocket and drew out a bag of fifty of the coins and promptly paid his attorney in the presence of the astonished officials. Sprinkle was never afterwards bothered, and continued until his death to make the dollars, how and where no one ever knew.

The Numismatist, November 1895, published a similar article, credited to the Washington Post, but made no comment in reference to it. This article contains some additional details of description and is herewith reproduced:

I saw by the papers the other day where three of the famous 'Sprinkle Dollars' had shown up, said F. D. Strowbridge of Peoria, Ill.

Do you know what the 'Sprinkle Dollars' were? No.

Well, Josiah Sprinkle, the man in question, lived in one of the roughest sections of Lewis County, Ky. Washington, the county seat of Mason, was then a thriving town. One day Sprinkle, then an old man, appeared in Washington with a buckskin pouch full of silver dollars of his own make.

In every respectible hopeaned the equal of the national coin. The weight was more than at present and the quality and ring all that could be asked for. He spent them freely and everybody accepted them on the assurance of Sprinkle that they were alright, except that they were not made by the United States mint. Upon being asked where he got his silver, he replied, 'Oh! it don't matter. There is plenty of it left.' The inscriptions on the coins were rudely outlined, and in no wise was an attempt made in imitating the national coin. On one side of the coin was an owl, and on the other a six pointed star. The edges were smooth. The coins were considerably larger and thicker than the United States coin. When Sprinkle came to town he spent the dollars of his own make.

At one time he volunteered the information that he had a silver mine in the west, but the old man refused to tell any one where it was located. Finally the government agents heard of the matter and came on to investigate. Sprinkle was arrested and brought into court, but the dollars were proven to be pure silver, without alloy, worth, in fact, a trifle more that \$1 each. After an exciting trial he was acquitted. When the verdict was announced Sprinkle reached down in his pockets and paid his attorney in the presence of the astonished officials. Sprinkle was never afterwards bothered, and continued to make the dollars until the time of his death. He died suddenly and carried the secret of his silver mine with him. This was in the early thirties and it has been twenty years since a Sprinkle dollar has been found.

• The phraseology of the two newspaper articles reproduced are sufficiently alike to indicate a common origin. Mr. Strowbridge, as quoted by the Washington Post, by his detail of description "edges were smooth," "thicker," etc., indicates he was familiar with the piece.

Parts of the newspapers' tale may be questioned, for it is doubtful if a privately produced coin, if not used for imposition, would at that time (1830) had Government attention.

The Kentucky counties named are in the northeastern part of the state—Mason joins Lewis on the west, and both have the Ohio state line for their northern boundary; Carter joins the southeastern part of Lewis and extends eastward towards the West Virginia line. Washington, Mason County, is now given a population of about 500.

About 1905 a reference to "Sprinkle Dollar" was brought to my attention in a book "Stamp Hunting" by Lewis Robie, published in Chicago in 1898. Robie was a travelling salesman who called on the drug trade in the middle west, and was known as "Doc" by the trade. He was a collector and dealer in proprietary revenue stamps, was well known to the stamp trade of his day and is particularly remembered for many personal references in his book. The book is written in dialogue; on page 70 is found:

Your speaking of stamps made that way, reminds me of the old 'Sprinkle' dollar, a specific Thave kept as a curiosity, although I do not collect coins. Do you know what I mean?

No Doc., I don't think I do.

Well they were called the 'Sprinkle' dollars from the name given them by the maker, Josiah Sprinkle, who once owned a silver mine in the West. One day, along in the thirties, he appeared in Washington, his old home, then a thriving town near Peoria, with a buckskin pouch full of silver dollars made by his own hand. They were not counterfeits, but had on one side the stamp of an owl, and on the other side a six pointed star. They were pure silver, weighed more than the regular dollar, and really worth more than one hundred cents. He had no difficulty in passing his coin, but the government found it out one day and caused his arrest for counterfeiting. He was set free, however, as his money in no way imitated Uncle Sam's and besides, it was worth more.

I never heard of that story before, and I should think, Doc., you had a rare coin there.

Yes, it is. A coin man offered me twenty five dollars for it.

I tried to locate Robie by way of his publishers; my letter was returned, written on the bottom — "Present address unknown to us. He has been dead for some time." Later inquiry in Chicago, where he resided, failed to locate his family or any trace of the "dollar." Robie is said to have carried a piece of this description and recited the story as quoted in his book. His story, evidently, was based on the much published newspaper article, he confusing Washington, Kentucky, with a town of the same name located near Peoria, Illinois.

H. G. Brown, for years a popular business man of Spokane, Washington, later of Portland, Oregon, part of whose notable numismatic collection was sold in 1904, always considered an *owl* his trade-mark. During his more active days the question of price never prevented him from acquiring an antique or curio that pictured an owl, or a coin that he desired. He knew the story of the "Sprinkle Dollar" but never succeeded in locating one.

"Sprinkle Dollars" do not appear to be of record in Kentucky state history. An inquiry to the Kentucky State Historical Society, Frankfort, brought the following reply, June 22, 1917:

Your letter in regard to the 'Sprinkle Dollars' received. I have inquired of the Treasurer of the Commonwealth and others, including the oldest bankers of the state, concerning Josiah Sprinkle and the 'Sprinkle Dollars' that you mention, but there is no knowledge of either the dollars or the maker of them.

Lewis County was organized in 1808. At an early day there was in that county and others adjoining the tradition of the 'Swift Silver Mine.' The rich silver mine

has never been located in the mountains of Kentucky, but the Indians hold the tradition that they helped Swift in what is now known as the Josh Bell County to make silver money, and that he stored quantities of it in different places in the Kentucky mountains. Swift had many confederates, perhaps Josiah Sprinkle was one of them.

Can find in our histories nothing concerning him. Kentucky is said to contain in different counties — gold, silver, iron and many kinds of minerals, but not in paying quantities, it seems.

The Kentucky Historical Society was organized at Frankfort in 1836; its existence has not been continuous, there being several periods of inactivity, the present well established and industrious organization dates from 1896 from which date it has been under the direction of Mrs. Jennie C. Morton, Regent, from whom the foregoing information comes.

That a silver piece conforming to the repeated description has existed, there seems no doubt, but as to whether it was associated with Kentucky or a man by the name of Sprinkle is yet to be acceptably established, but the Kentucky Indian tradition of the Swift silver mine and silver money, and what has been published of the "Sprinkle Dollar" indicates that Kentucky or its border neighbors produced and prized something in silver out of the ordinary, which was used for money. The trail of the "Sprinkle Dollar," yet unfinished, directs us to "Swift's Money."

SWIFT'S MONEY

The effort to obtain information relating to "Sprinkle Dollars," as referred to under that subject, brought to my attention historical references to "Swift's Money" and in seeking the aid of the Kentucky Historical Society, the regent writes:

You are not aware of what a work it would be to write all the important paragraphs in the History of Kentucky concerning 'Swift's Silver Mines' with which it is presumed Josiah Sprinkle had somewhat to do—as he operated in that part of the state where these mines are said to be located.

Some days past a gentleman from Wolf County, Ky., who lives nearby the wonderful 'melting-pot' used by Swift and the Indians in the making of silver money in early days, said he would write me a description of it. These traditions are known in the mountains — but the silver mines those pioneers in that region are said to have gotten silver from and made money — are yet unknown to the people there.

Lewis County is of the Eastern Ky. mountain counties. In Greenup County, nearby, are the remains of what is believed to be an extinct crater. The depression is curious and the soil around is said to bear wonderful stones of all sizes and colors.

I have from time to time been written to for information concerning the 'Swift Silver Mines; libited as oper can only give the tradition as 'handed down' intelligence. Was offered once a handsome sum if I would visit the regions of a lost 'Silver King' and make some discoveries there — but I decline to visit the Ky. mountains either as a missionary or a seeker after buried silver. But the quest at my desk is interesting. If I can secure information of the Sprinkle dollar will let you know.

Reviewing histories of Kentucky at the Congressional Library the following pertinent references in Collin's History of Kentucky were noted, and for a transcription of which indebtedness is acknowledged to Hon. William A. Ashbrook, Chairman House Coinage Committee.

Collin's History of Kentucky, Vol. 2, of Josh Bell County, pages 414-415, is copied to wit:

Swift's Silver Mine. — In 1854–5, while making geological investigations in the southeast part of Kentucky, as part of the official survey ordered by the state, Prof. David Dale Owen examined the supposed location of the notorious Swift mine, on the northwest side of the Log mountain, only a few miles from Cumberland Ford, then in Knox, now Josh Bell or rather Bell county. 'The Indians are said, in former times, to have made a reservation of 30 miles square, on a branch of the Laurel fork of Clear creek. Benjamin Herndon, an old explorer, and a man well acquainted with the country, guided him to the spot where the ore was supposed to be obtained by the Indians, and afterwards by Swift and his party. It proved to be a kidney-shaped mass of dark-grey argillaceous iron-stone, containing some accidental minerals sparingly disseminated, such as sulphuret of zinc and lead — which proved, on examination, to be a hydrated silicate of alumina. This ore originated in a thick mass of dark bituminous argillaceous shale, with some thin coal interstratified, that occurs about 500 to 600 feet up in the Log mountain.'

Judge John Haywood, who emigrated from North Carolina at an early day to Tennessee, and years after, in 1823, wrote its civil and political history from its earliest settlement up to the year 1796, says of this locality: 'Cumberland mountains bear N. 46 degrees E.; and between the Laurel mountain and the Cumberland mountain, Cumberland river breaks through the latter. At the point where it breaks through, and about ten miles north of the state line, is Clear creek, which discharges itself into the Cumberland, bearing northeast till it reaches the river. It rises between the great Laurel hill and Cumberland mountain; its length is about 15 miles. Not far from its head rises also the South fork of the Cumberland, in the state of Ky., and runs westwardly. On Clear creek are two old furnaces, about half way between the head and mouth of the creek, first discovered by hunters in the time of the first settlements made in this country. These furnaces then exhibited very ancient appearances; about them were coals and cinders - very unlike iron cinders, as they have no marks of the rust which iron einders are said uniformly to have in a few years. There are also a number of the like furnaces on the South fork, bearing similar marks, and seemingly of a very ancient date.

One Swift came to East Tennessee in 1790 and 1791, and was at Bean's Station, on his way to a part of the country near which these furnaces are. He had with him a journal of his former transactions — by which it appeared that in 1761, 1762, and 1763, and afterwards in 1767, he, two Frenchmen, and some few others, had a furnace somewhere about the Red Bird fork of Kentucky river — which runs towards Cumberland river and mountain, northeast of the mouth of Clear creek. He and his associates made silver in large quantities, at the last mentioned furnaces; they got the ore from a cave about three miles from the place where his furnace stood. The Indians becoming troublesome, he went off; and the Frenchmen went towards the place now called Nashville. Swift was deterred from the prosecution of his last journey by the reports he heard of Indian hostility, and returned home — leaving his journal in the possession of Mrs. Renfro. The furnaces on Clear creek, and those on the South fork of Cumberland, were made either before or since the time when Swift worked his. The walls of these furnaces, and horn buttons of European manufacture found in a rock house, prove that Europeans erected them. It is probable therefore that the French — when they claimed the country to the Alleghenies, in 1754 and prior to that time, and afterwards up to 1758 — erected these works. A rock house is a cavity beneath a rock, jutted out from the side of a mountain, affording a cover from the weather to those who are below it. In one of those was found a furnace and human bones, and horn buttons supposed to have been a part of the dress which had been buried with the body to which the bones belonged. It is probable that the French who were with Swift, showed him the place where the ore was.'

A Memorandum of John Swift's Journal has fallen into our hands, which is an exceedingly curious document; it has the appearance of being a copy of a portion of the same document referred to above by Judge Haywood. It describes with some minuteness the journeys of 1761 (which began at Alexandria, Virginia), 1762, 1764, 1767-8, and 1768-9, and alludes to three other trips of which he kept no account. On the 1st of Sept. 1769, we left between 22,000 and 30,000 dollars and crowns on a large creek, running near a south course. Close to the spot we marked our names (Swift, Jefferson, Munday, and others) on a beech tree — with a compass, square, and trowel No great distance from this place we left \$15,000 of the same kind, marking three or four trees with marks. Not far from these we left the prize, near a forked white oak, and about three feet underground, and laid two long stones across it, marking several stones close about it. At the forks of Sandy, close by the fork, is a small rock, has a spring in one end of it. Between it and a small branch, we hid a prize under the ground; it was valued at \$6,000. We likewise left \$3,000 buried in the rocks of the rock house.' One of the companies in search of the mine was Staley, Ireland, McClintock, Blackburn, and Swift.

This Silver Mine of Swift's has been located by tradition in different counties in eastern Kentucky, from Josh Bell in the south to Carter in the north. The most recent claim is that of the Greenup Independent, in Feb., 1873, of which the following is an extract:

When Swift was driven from the silver mines in Kentucky, by the approach of hostile Milans, he returned to his home in North Carolina. The money which he had with him created suspicion among his neighbors, and he was arrested as a counterfeiter. In those days there existed no mint in the United States, and the only test of the circulating money was the purity of the metal. Upon the trial of the case against Swift, it was proven that the coins in his possession were pure silver, and the charges were dismissed.

'The ancient tools and instruments used for coining money, which fell from a cliff in Carter county were seen and examined by men now living. These men are highly respectable and entitled to full credit, and they vouch for the truth of the statement. One of the settlers of the county found near his cabin a quantity of cinder, of such unusual color and weight as to induce him to have it tested by an expert. This was done, and the result was a considerable amount of pure silver, which at his instance converted into spoons; these spoons are still in the possession of the family.

'Several years ago, a couple of Indians, from the far West, visited Carter county, and acted in such a manner as to excite the attention of the citizens. They remained for a considerable time, and were continually wandering over the mountains and making minute examinations of the country along the small streams. When about to leave, they told an old gentleman with whom they staid that they were in search of a silver mine which the traditions of their tribe located in that section of Kentucky; but they were unable to find it, owing to the changed condition of the country.

'At an early day, silver money was in circulation in the settlement of what is now West Virginia, said to have been made by Swift. It was free from alloy, and of such a description as to indicate that it never passed through an established mint.

'A bar of pure silver was found many years ago near a small mill in Carter county, which was thought to have been smelted from ore obtained from the silver mines said to exist in that country. And, within the past few days, a piece of ore which has every appearance of silver ore, and a small quantity of metal which is said to be silver, was shown by a gentleman of undoubted veracity, who testifies that he got the ore in the mountains of Kentucky, and with his own hands smelted the metal from ore obtained in these mountains.'

That silver in the form of coin was produced in Colonial days by one Swift from bullion obtained from now unlocated sources is accepted as more than tradition; what the coins looked like or the relation of Swift and his "money" to Josiah Sprinkle and his "dollar" are yet to be established.

PORTRAIT RELIEFS, MEDALS AND COINS IN THEIR RELATION TO LIFE AND ART ¹

BY T. SPICER-SIMSON

During the last few years the discerning and aesthetic public has shown a considerable interest in portrait reliefs, medals and coins, a delicate and imaginative form of art, which, for so many years has been much neglected. For this reason a small article to encourage this sympathy and understanding should be welcomed if it revealed wherein medals have a just claim to especial attention as works of Art, a claim few recognize to-day, and a majority through ignorance deny.

Before treating the subject of these small reliefs in detail, it would make the usual attitude taken towards this art clearer by touching upon some general questions that prove our aesthetic tastes are determined by deeper and more elemental emotions than any produced by the influences of environment; in fact, extend far back into the dim vistas of time and are subconscious forces of ancestral derivation.

Great manifestations struck terror into the hearts of primitive humanity and still affect the majority of mankind in the same way. As man gains control of natural forces, astonishment or wonder takes the place of fear, which in turn creates a feeling of admiration. This sentiment of admiration indicates a sympathy towards or for some spiritual or material object and as sympathy and appreciation are repeatedly confused with understanding or the actual fact of appreciation is considered sufficient in itself, it is not surprising that anything of heroic size evokes much more general admiration than diminutive objects. To be stimulated by the effect as a whole in the small Arts, and not become absorbed in the detail which is the workmanship, or the anecdote which is literature, requires the development by training of those delicate visual perceptions of rhythm and balance which are dormant in most people.

Coins and medals or small relief portraiture have flourished, therefore, as a High Art only when mankind attained an apogee of culture; the fifth century before Christ, when Greece reached her highest pin-

¹ Read before The American Numismatic Society at its meeting of March 1, 1917.

nacle of civilization; when Rome became the World Empire; and then later where historical into such brilliant flower during the Renaissance.

Social relations changed very gradually during the golden ages of Art. Society held some ideal which it expressed through the artist's instrumentality; he had neither the time nor the inclination to analyze or philosophize upon his work but left the interpretation to those who appreciated his handiwork. The artist to-day is impelled to express himself in some aesthetic form through the feeling of an individual need alone, instead of being inspired as well through and by a general communal ideal or racial necessity, as in the old days. The majority of our contemporaries are blind to the beautiful outward manifestations of the *spirit* of things and do not believe that Art is a necessity in daily life.

It is the privilege and obligation of the artist to deepen people's vision by an appeal to reason as well as sight. Higher, less materialistic ideals than prevail at present would thus be introduced and fostered, viz., that a work of Art can exist for Beauty in itself without the necessity of pointing a moral or adorning a tale. Until society possesses a greater unity and places a higher value upon the manifestations of the spirit, creating thereby an atmosphere of general admiration for and satisfaction in the things of the mind, men will not be attuned to vibrate with the eternal verities.

To return to particulars. Coins in the form of money are tokens representing the value of objects and were invented to eliminate the clumsy method of exchanging merchandise itself. Small-sized portrait reliefs in circular form are designated medals, though the term medal to the popular mind conveys the idea only of a decoration as a reward. The Italians of the Renaissance who invented the portrait medal as we know it to-day, undoubtedly made use of it more to commemorate a person than an event. Art terminology is usually so vague in meaning it has become the great resource of the smatterer and rhapsodist to the detriment of accurate thought; it is therefore necessary to define what is implied by the words Nature and Art as used in this article. By the term Nature is meant the outward manifestations of the Universe, and by Art, man's special use of the visual impressions of these manifestations.

Any form of Art expression that persists for centuries must have some basic reason for its continuity, and this is the case with the Art of

Medals and Coinslib Deivregular established form is circular; few of us realize, however, that this contour has not been chosen because it is the traditional shape, but for fundamentally sensuous and especial aesthetic reasons, though, of course an object that is to be much handled should be agreeable to the touch and have no asperities. The diminutive scale of a coin or medal requires that all attention should be directed toward the subject depicted and away from the outline, and it is a fact no outline commands the interest or rivets the attention to the same extent as the circle. It has no angles, no irregularities, and therefore nothing to arrest the eye on any particular part. The outline being equidistant from a certain point we call the centre, at that point will the eve rest. This very suitability of the circular form for small reliefs, which we might describe as its negativeness or inactiveness, is a disadvantage if the human element is lacking. Hence, many artists instinctively prefer an irregular, or rectangular shape to the cold fixity of the circle. This, however, as stated before, will be somewhat detrimental to the subject for the more irregular the outline the greater the emphasis upon it to the corresponding detraction of the relief. The relief, it must be conceded, is a very important factor, for without it the coin, or medal has no excuse for existence. The inscription, or the subject. stamps its use to that finite being man, whose emotions will not be stirred by the subject on a coin or medal unless it has some living quality. Life is movement and change. These attributes can and should be recovered by the artist by the treatment of the relief, the division of space and the direction of the lines of the composition. Consequently the circle need not be mathematically exact, and the subject on a coin or medal, as designed by the artist should not be precisely in the centre, nor conform by its principal lines to the curve of the circle, if it is desired to impart an impression of something vital and possessing character. Within certain confines, through the use of his intellect, man has been given freedom and is not entirely at the mercy of chance; through the strength of his emotions, guided by the intellect and expressed in some outward form, he gains the highest pinnacles of human attainment in Art. Freedom is necessary, but so is control, to make that complex being Man. Within limits clearly defined, all great works of Art conform to the above statement. There must be a harmony between the object depicted, the manner in which it is carried out, the material of which it is made and, in certain cases, the position it is to occupy.

A pattern or design, when formal, calls for a geometrical location of things and of realistically treated living bodies are used, especially if represented in movement, a feeling of discomfort arises because Life is action and variety, Pattern, immobility and repetition. Nature means change, irregularity, unconformity, so if we wish to adapt her creations to some human need, use, or preconceived object of our own, where we feel the necessity for balance, exact division or pattern, we must first interpret the thing chosen to be depicted, humouring the material in which it is to be translated and, in representing the subject, consider the innate elements, such as proportions, movement and line of much more importance than photographic exactitude. The result may be a work of art, whereas, the exact imitation, never! When these qualities are emphasized, spirit and matter harmonize, and homogeneity with diversity arises, which together are always found in the highest Art expressions and form the most important elements of that subtle quality we call Beauty.

It is a trite fact to note that a representation of Nature at a greatly reduced size will not be true even to her outward shell; consequently realism or truth to Nature are most elastic terms, but to say that Nature can be coaxed by affectionate observation to disclose the greater Truth, her inward Spirit, may sound like mysticism. By spirit is meant something that the exterior aspect may not always disclose, such qualities as density, softness, hardness or elasticity, not the surface texture. The sagging or festooning of cloth, for example, is so much more significant as revealing the spirit of this particular material than its rough or smooth surface or the pattern on it. The texture, color and sometimes pattern are common properties of all objects, but the lines of draperies speak for it alone. A deeper truth may often therefore be attained through emblematic representation than by direct illustration and the artist becomes a poet as well as an artisan. Even from the modern practical standpoint, it seems unfortunate that symbols should have become almost a dead language; only a few remain which are now generally understood, — the flag, the cross, the dove, and so on. Lion, denoting courage, the Anchor, hope, and many other pretty conceits have practically vanished, though they stand for an international language. When society settles into a more stable form than exists at present, a general moral and sincere ideal will be found permeating it. Emblems will then be both resuscitated and reinvented to express this new form of relationship between men. Meanwhile, notwithstanding the modernvattitide towards most emblematic figures, it may be just as well not to throw over entirely a language that was once more easily understood by different races than the spoken word.

Portrait reliefs or medals must conform more nearly to the individual peculiarities of the person portraved than pictorial subjects, compositions or designs representing something less personal. Balance must be obtained without too much formality of pattern or disharmony results between the realism of the face and the position it occupies in the space allotted to it. The great artist adapts the sitter's characteristics and transmutes them by his intellectual perception of form into something possibly more direct and tangible than what is exactly before his eyes, yet in harmony with the psychology of the person portraved. It requires great discrimination to feel just to what degree formality, another term for order and design, should be carried and realism sacrificed so that the subject will still possess that changeable emotional quality we call Life. It is interesting to note that the spiritual character of the individual, which in portraits is surely of more importance than the exterior shell, may be suggested before a single feature of the face is shown. For instance, by the relief projection. A vigorous relief would hardly be suitable for a poet, unless he were a Walt Whitman; or a low delicate relief for a General Grant; mass gives an impression of strength and aggressiveness, whereas, slight relief, where the background and subject melt together, imparts a sensation of subtlety and tranquility indicating subjective, reflective and tender qualities. The space the head fills in the circle, the position it occupies near or away from the outline, with or without shoulders, may be used to emphasize the character of the sitter, both physically and mentally. The style of the lettering, its size and position, will also influence the onlooker's judgment as to the psychology of the person portrayed, as well as making or ruining the relief as a Work of Art. With all these points to be taken into consideration by the good portrait medallist he must yet not lose the primary reason for the existence of the relief, which is decorative: a quality generally gauged when interest is displayed in the medal by others than friends of the sitter! This should be the ideal of all artists.

In coins and medals commemorating some event, this decorative quality is most essential. Here the problem to be solved differs, for the coin or medal of this class is generally struck in metal from steel dies, and smaller than cast relief portraits. Nearly all portrait medals are modelled in wax, or some plastic material, then east in plaster, from which the definite article is either produced by electric deposit processes or cast in lead, bronze, silver or gold. At the smaller scale, the use of different relief to express individual spiritual characteristics could not be grasped, for the eye does not properly visualize a tiny low relief. There is a point where the visual impression is nil and the surface relief quickly worn away by handling. Comparatively high relief on small coins has the practical advantage of durability and legibility as well as an aesthetic appeal.

The question may well be asked,—how is it that our modern coinage lacks in this particular when modern artists acknowledge the beauty of the old Greek coins and have them as examples and as sources of inspiration? Many people criticize our currency for its lack of these qualities and by doing so show that the exigencies of modern commercial society are not commonly understood. A very definite requirement to-day is that money should stack, i. e., one coin stand on top of the other. Weight is an undesirable factor too; yet, for striking in bold relief, thickness is essential. These are some of the difficulties thrown in the way of the modern medallist or die-cutter; he lies between the devil and the deep sea; he is not permitted the thickness necessary for high relief, because of stacking and weight, yet low relief, though it will stack properly, has the great drawback of becoming illegible within a short time. Very naturally it is asked,—can a coin that is both artistic and practical be evolved, or is that an unattainable ideal nowadays? Following along the old lines would be impossible, and therefore all precedents must be laid aside. A novel attempt was made in the gold half and quarter eagles, where the metal has been deeply incised and the form found below the level of the background, somewhat in the style of the Egyptian low relief. But to give harmony between the subject depicted and the method of production the treatment should not be realistic, as it is in this coin, for the harsh and forward-projecting outline is not to be found in Nature; consequently a very formal interpretation is imperative. An effect something like the sparkling Gothic seals should be the result.

Another technical consideration is the enormous stress on steel dies striking millions of pieces. The dies will have to be constantly renewed if the principal relief projections coincide on the different sides of the coin, whereas, by alternating the relief on the reverse, and, *vice versa*, a practical means is found to lengthen the life of the dies. To a gov-

ernment mmwwhistqsl.64mmense economic value, for in a great commonwealth like the United States of America the small currency is struck in such quantities that the tower surpassing in height all other New York buildings may be said to have been built with dimes and nickels!

The blow of a modern steel press is so powerful that the molecular condition of the metal is affected throughout, which is easily demonstrated.

A coin of which one surface has been entirely effaced by usage, or purposely effaced with a file, is heated to the red-hot point before being withdrawn from the fire; if it is then examined, the original design will be visible on the effaced side. By dropping the coin in a solution of nitric acid and leaving it an hour or so, when examined with the light at a certain angle, the original design becomes visible. The metal is always unevenly compressed. In the first experiment the molecules expand to their original size and the subject upon the coin becomes legible, though inversely, the most compressed parts, i. e., the hollows, will be seen in relief. The same result is procured by the acid test, for the acid eats away the surface according to the pressure that had been applied to the different parts of the metal.

The importance, therefore, of suitable designs for modern coinage cannot easily be overestimated. All these exigencies, instead of crushing the artist's inspiration, should be, and usually are, the starting point for some original expression in art. The work should be given to the man who admires the spirit of the ancients, but inspires himself from Nature, without imitating her or the mannerisms of the old masters. The necessity of building with clay was the direct cause of the Assyrians devising the round arch, and the demand for higher buildings, the better to express the people's aspiration toward the heavens, is said to have originated Gothic vault construction. These well-known historical instances can be multiplied.

The reducing machine was invented at the beginning of the nine-teenth century. All early work was made without its aid. The diecutter, as he was called, working directly on the small size, could only interpret Nature's form in a simplified manner, consequently, even a poor artist was less likely to make errors of scale. He would not attempt to seize any but the principal or characteristic forms. His drawing may have been incorrect, but a greater effect of harmony resulted than in many of the well-drawn figures by celebrated artists of modern

times. A harmony between the material and the design, a technical sincerity, and a definite scale beyond which the details should be eliminated, are more essential to a medal or coin as a work of Art than either beautiful drawing, accuracy to Nature, or clever technique. When a figure in stone gives an impression of mass there is harmony between the material and the design. Technical sincerity means that the work of art should bear the mark of the principal tool used. The term scale as here used means interesting proportions between one object and another, that each subject should be visible to the naked eye without reference to their actual relative sizes, for it is more important to make a decorative pattern than to give the true relative proportions of the subject depicted. Only the very great master can combine scale and technique, and he often sacrifices the latter the better to honor the material in which his ideas are expressed.

All early struck coins and medals convey a sense of their method of growth and the material of which they are made, and these are qualities to be found in all great works of Art. Handling or technique is, therefore, one of the vital means of disclosing the human element of feeling. In certain articles, such as money or furniture, where the utilitarian nature of the object is of more importance than the aesthetic, it is often the sole means by which the artist can express his personality. We find ourselves unresponsive to machine-made articles for this reason. It follows logically that the greater the number of intermediary processes interposed between the artist and his finished work the less expressive will be the result as a human document. Consequently, a cast medal modelled or cut in relief of the same size as the finished work and a struck coin cut directly in the steel die will give the finest artistic results. This does not imply that mechanical means to shorten labor are debarred, but the artist should put his hand to his work again, examine the result and correct sameness of surface or faults in scale in the final size of the object.

Nearly all contemporary coins and medals are modelled and designed in large dimensions and produced by utilizing the reducing machine, hence the lifelessness of most of them. Even a man like Roty, with his great experience and genius, never thoroughly grasped scale. Chaplain had an instinctive dread of a great difference in size between the model and the reduction, and would carry out his wax-relief portraits very little larger than the reductions that were cast in bronze. The modern necessity for speed has made the reducing machine indis-

pensable, for ward total noting in steel is a long process. Steel-cutting as an artistic trade is practically dead but a conscientious artist who is not a die-cutter can understand the simplification of form necessary for a coin and the proper scale and relationship of one part of the design to another by making a small model the actual size of the finished work, having it enlarged, and give the decision of form upon this enlargement without elaborating it. When reduced again no disappointment ensues. For example, hair cannot be copied accurately, even life size; hence must be interpreted in strands. Their number should decrease as the size of the medal or coin diminishes. On that account truth to actual relative proportions of objects or their parts must be sacrificed to the need of legibility or for the beauty of the composition as a design. An olive leaf, or an ear of corn, may be of the same size as a human figure on a medal or coin, and will be both decoratively correct and express the idea in a better way. It is a curious fact, the general public looks for exact copies of an object even when the representation is not the same size as Nature. As the effigy of an eagle on a coin is obviously infinitely smaller than the living object, any attempt to give an accurate reproduction of the details would be ineffective; since when the feathers are reduced in size they become so microsopic as to be invisible to the naked eve and a seemingly smooth surface result. The strength and ferociousness of the bird of which the proportions give character, the movement and decorative effect become so much more important than the number of feathers, which we leave to the naturalist to numerate. This holds true of the details of a man's figure on a small coin:

The time will come when humanity will realize that truth in Art is relative; that Life, being a persistent modification and variation, our progressive development both in Life and Art is a balance between emotion and self-control and make a living art if combined with innovation and originality, which are but other names for individuality. Tradition alone cannot keep art alive any more than the exclusive development of individualism. The former dies of inanition, the latter of a hectic fever.

www.libtool.com.cn INDEX

BY AUTHOR AND SUBJECT

TO

THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF NUMISMATICS

VOLUMES 1-50, (1868-1916)

AND TO THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN NUMISMATIC SOCIETY

BY SYDNEY P. NOE

FOREWORD

The completion of the fiftieth volume of The American Journal of Numismatics is an appropriate occasion for the publication of this Index. In 1907 the Numismatische Gesellschaft in Wein published a "Register" to volume 1-38 of the Numismatische Zeitschrift, and the following year a "Table" for the years 1836-1905 of the Revue Numismatique appeared. The importance of these in time-saving alone is very great. Hardly less valuable is their quality of suggestiveness.

The need for an index to The American Journal of Numismatics has long been felt. The present work was undertaken to supply this need in the Library of The American Numismatic Society. The Index is the outcome of the usefulness demonstrated there, and is the result of much careful thought. The primary division into subjects is geographical. This is an author and subject index. It is not an index by title. Many of the articles, however, were unsigned, and with these, a title entry was necessary. Parentheses are used to indicate additions to the title used, to secure greater clearness; ["The New Designs for our Coinage" by the addition of "(1891)" becomes significant].

We have followed precedent in giving the number of the volume in which the article appears in type which is heavier than that of the page reference which follows it, (e. g. 25, 47, 84 = volume 25, pages 47 and 84). This is not so convenient in the Proceedings, where the reference must be to the year, and where more than a single year is sometimes to be found under one cover.

ii Foreword

In arranging the subjects or classes, the primary consideration was the usefulness of the Index to a numismatist. All else was made secondary to this. The articles were classified under the subject to which a numismatist might reasonably be expected to look to find them. To do this, some of the rules for cataloguing had to be modified radically. As an illustration, medals struck in the United States are arranged alphabetically under United States - Medals, according to the name of the medal or the person represented thereon. Otherwise, to follow the rule for cataloguing, they would have been arranged under this head alphabeted by the name of the author of the article, and it would have been necessary to go through the long list of medals until the one desired was found. The medals of Washington, Franklin and Lincoln (each a series in itself), have been placed under the respective names in order that the United States - Medals heading might not become too much distended. Their transfer is made plain by cross-references. It is hoped that this Index may prove serviceable in locating data for members or students who may not possess a complete set of The American Journal of Numismatics; as well as in demonstrating the value of the Journal to librarians and numismatists.

Abukir American

www.libtool.com.cn

INDEX

A

Abukir. The Gold Medallions of; by Edward T. Newell. 44, 128.

Abyssinia. ———. 20, 14.—Abyssinian Currency. 27, 19.—The Currency of Abyssinia. 3, 30.—New (1899) Abyssinian Coins. 33, 100.

Adams, Edgar H. Cincinnati Mining and Trading Company, and other Private Gold Pieces. 42, 147.—The Erie Canal Medal. 44, 66.—Gunboat Nashville Medal. 44, 65.—New Varieties of the Anse Canot Tokens of Prince Edward Island. 44, 132.—Private Gold Coinage. 45, 11, 46, 129, 173; 46, 1, 57, 135, 168.

EDWARD D. Hudson-Fulton Medal. 43,

Aesthetics. Art in Our Coinage. 22, 17.—Art Work on Coins. 20, 16.—The Development of Numismatic Art. 44, 55.—Feuardent, Gaston L. Government as an Art Educator. 15, 28.—Feuardent, G. L. The Relation of Coins to the Fine Arts. 14, 29.—The Function of Art Criticism. 37, 127.—Norton, Charles Eliot. Greek Coins for Studying the Fine Arts. 16, 29.—The Recent Development of Medallic Art. 42, 1.—Signs of Progress. 32, 59. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Afghanistan. Afghan Money: How it is made. 15, 64.—Ancient Coins in Afghanistan. 28, 26.—Cabul Mint. 29, 58.

AHLBORN, LEA. Obituary. 32, 90.

AKERMAN, JOHN YONGE. Ancient British Coins. 9, 55.—Obituary. 8, 95.

Alchemists' Medals.—Alchemical or Masonic. 27, 21.—Alchemistic Medals. 21, 67, 72.—Alchemists' Medals. 34, 16.—Bolton, Henry Carrington. Alchemy and Numismatics. 21, 73.—Bolton, Henry Carrington. Contributions of Alchemy to Numismatics. 24, 73; 25, 1.—A Curious Alchemist Medal. 13, 11.—A Rare Medal of an Old Alchemist with his likeness. 30, 54.—Walter, David L. Alchemical Coins and Medals. 24, 5.

Ambrosoli, Solone. Obituary. 41, 54.

America. Allusions to the Argonauts on Spanish-American Medals. 41, 81.—Date of a Dutch-American Medal. (Betts 16). 33, 99. —(Betts 111). An Undescribed American Colonial Medal. **39,** 77.—(Dutch Medals Relating to). Medals of the West Frisian Admiralty. 31, 25.—An Early Danish Coin Relating to America. 42, 14.—An Early Medal relating to America. 42, 75.—French Colonies in America, A Scheme of Coinage for the, in 1665. 33, 89.—The Leeuwarden Medal, 1782. 45, 165.—Medal, Another American Historical (Franci I, D. G. Scotor, etc.). 31, 26.—(Naufraga Reperta, 1687). Two American Medals. 20, 81.—Parsons, George M. Medals Relating to the Colonies of England in North America, Struck in Europe. 23, 1, 31.—The Phipps-Albemarle Medals. 45, 117; (20, 81). Pieccs Relating to America in a Recent English Sale. 23, 22.—The Spanish-American Medals. 46, 153.—An Undescribed Franco-American Jeton. 24, 7

See also Primitive Money, Mexico, Peru, Latin America, and "Index to Proceedings," America, Latin.

American Numismatic and Archeological Society. The American Numismatic and Archeological Society's Columbian Medal. 28, 53.—The Exhibition (1893) of the American Numismatic and Archeological Society. 27, 87.—Member's Medal (1879). 14, 17.—New York Medal for Charter Day. 32, 101.—Medal for Prince Henry (1902). 36, 105.—(Rejection of proposed amalgamation with New York Historical Society). 39, 56.

American Numismatic Society. The Babelon Medal. 45, 72. Belden, Bauman, L. A Numismatic Museum in New York. 42, 33.—
The Centennial Medal of the Establishment of the Diocese of New York of the Roman Catholic Church. 44, 25.—(Drake Medal.) Report of the Committee on the Publication

of Medals. 42, 103, 134.—(Hudson-Fulton Medal.) 43, 76, 136, 149... The Journal of Numismatics: Reminiscent. 41, 105.—The Medallic Exhibition of the American Numismatic Society. 44, 54.—Medals presented to Mr. Charles Pryer and Mr. J. Sanford Saltus, January 16, 1915. 48, XLIV.—The Member's Medal. 44, 130. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Amulets, Ancient. 34, 74.

Annam. A French Trade Dollar. 20, 87.— Silvestre, M., The Coins and Medals of Annam. 37, 65. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Anthon, Charles E. French-American Medal of 1693. 11, 93.—The "Gloriam Regni," or Silver Louis of 15 sous, and of 5 sous, struck for circulation in French America. 11, 49.—Obituary. 18, 22.—York and Albany. 17, 61. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Appleton, Nathan. A New Coin Suggested. 28, 47.

APPLETON, WILLIAM SUMNER. The "Admiral Vernon" Medals. 2, 46, 55, 71, 86; 3, 67; 5, 64, 74. — Avalonia Pattern-Piece. 18, 42; 20, 93.—Carthage or New Jersey? 27, 73.— Early Writers on Numismatics. 13, 12.—A Few Ancient Coins. 14, 1.—Historic Medals of Canada. 9, 1, 45.—The Inscription "Unus non sufficit orbis" and geographical medals in general. 5, 49.—Italian Medals. 17, 81.— Mules and Muling. 15, 85.—Obituary. 37, 126.—Portrait of. 38, 65, 89.—Posthumous Portraits on Coins. 9, 6.—Revolutionary Peace Medals. 2, 63; 4, 19; 25, 89.—A Selection of Coins from the Collection of W. S. Appleton. 5, 18, 28, 52.—Washington, The Medals of. 7, 73; 8, 1, 33.

Argentine. See Latin-America, Proclamation Pieces.

Arnold, Howard Payson. The Evolution of the Boston Washington Medal. 36, 61, 72.

Art. See Aesthetics.

Astrologers' Medals. A Mystical Medal. 11, 71.

Astronomical. An Astronomical Medal. 28, 57.—Constellations on Coins. 28, 74.—Cummings. Prentiss. Homer and Astronomic Coin-types. 30, 38.—Stars and Constellations on Coins. 26, 84, 89; 27, 30. See also Zodiacal.

ATKINSON, ASHER D. Obituary. 43, 139.

Attila (Medal of). The Medal of Attila, King of Iluns. 11, 7.

Attrition of Coins. Chiseled Coins. 42, 26.—Cleansing of Gold Coins. 15, 78.—Mutilated Coins. 16, 29.—Two Tons of Three Cent Coins. 17, 38.—The Wear of English Coins. 19, 47.

Australia. Halfpennies and Farthings in Australia. 40, 55.

Australasia. Shell Currency of New Britain. 39, 22.

Austria. Austrian Kreutzers for 1873. 16, 11.
—New (1893) Austro-Hungarian Issue. 28, 24.—Wormser, Moritz. Coins and Medals of Transylvania in New York Collections. 48, 147.

Maria Theresa Thalers. — . 20, 14.—The "Levant Dollar" of Maria Theresa 2, 5.—Maria Theresa Thalers. 31, 60; 44, 157.—Maria Theresa Thalers and East India Rupees. 38, 28.—Thalers of Maria Theresa 37, 30, 51.—(Under "Notes and Queries") 14, 106.

- Medals. (Emigrant Medal, 1732). A Valuable Memento. (Under "Notes and Queries.") 11, 99.—Francis Joseph of Austria, Medals of. 14, 21.—(Hapsburg Accession Centennial.) 19, 71.—Marie Antoinette, Marriage Medal of. 25, 54.—Maria Theresa. Medal of. 29, 95.-Numismatic Medal (of Vienna Numismatic Society). 15, 21.-Numismatic Medal Dollar, An Austrian. 22, 33.—Salzburg and the Protestant Emigration Medals. 32, 93; 33, 32.—Societa di Minerva of Trieste, Centennial Medal of the. 44, 175.—(Vienna Exhibition, 1873). Medals of the Vienna Exhibition. 8, 43.—(Vienna. First Siege of, 1529. A medal struck in commemoration of the first siege of Vienna.) Under Correspondence. 1, 62. — (Vienna, Second Siege of, 1683). Medals struck in commemoration of the second siege of Vienna by the Turks. 1, 70, 78.—(Washington.) An Austrian Washington. 33, 32.

— Mints. Austrian and Italian Mint Marks. 41, 88.

Transylvania. Wormser, Moritz. Coins and Medals of Transylvania in New York Collections. 48, 147.

Autographs. ———. 3, 25, 36, 75, 88, 97.

Avallon Token. ———. 18, 42; 19, 52; 20, 93; 24, 47; 25, 82.

Aztec. Thruston, Gates P. Archaeological. The Ancient People of America. 22, 14.

B

Babelon, Ernest. Portraiture and its Origins in Greek Monetary Types. 44, 37, 105.—The Study of Ancient Monuments aided by Numismatics. 34, 83.

Babelon Medal, The. 45, 72.

Bahrein Islands. Money in the Bahrein Islands, Persian Gulf. 25, 18.

Baldwin, Agnes. The Electrum and Silver Coins of Chios, issued during the sixth, fifth and fourth centuries, B. C.; a chronological study. 48, 1.—Facing Heads on Greek Coins. 43, 113.—M. Godefroid Devreese. 44, 61.—Symbolism on Greek Coins. 49, 89.

BARBER, WILLIAM. Obituary. 14, 55.

BARBEY, MAURICE. The Recent Find at Valleyres. **28**, 15.

BARRINGTON, R. T. A New Meaning to some Old Medals. 22, 60.

Barry, Standish. Standish Barry and his Three-Pence. 15, 63.

Barthelemy, Anatole de. Obituary. 39, 91.

Bastian, William Lander. Obituary. 30, 61.

Bastow, J. W. The Coins of Morelos. 31, 56.

—Further Notes on Spanish-American Silver Coins. 30, 19.—Mexican Mints. 31, 105; 32, 17.—Obituary. 32, 89.—The So-called Semi-Medallic Proclamation Pieces. 30, 9.—Spanish-American Coinage, Mexico. 31, 71.

Becker, Karl Wilhelm. The Backer Forgeries (by Edward Frossard.) 16, 12, 41.

Belden, Bauman L. The Collection of American Insignia in the American Numismatic Society's Cabinet. 43, 16.—A Numismatic Museum in New York. 42, 33.—United States War Medals. 49, 1.—War Medals of the Confederacy. 48, 195. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Belgium. Changes (1906) in the Belgian Coinage. 41, 87.—See Netherlands for Coinage antedating 1830 in what is now Belgium.

Medals. Belgian Medical Medals. 21, 44.—Belgian-Swiss Exposition Medal. 30, 60, 71.—Boer Generals, Medals of. 37, 94.—Devreese, Godefroid, Medals by. 43, 50.—De Witte, Portrait plaquette of M. Alphonse. 46, 56.—See under Varia. 46, 151.—Visit of Chinese Officials to the Belgian Mint. 41, 99.

Century, relating to the miracle of the Holy

Sacrament at Brussels, and others. (Translation fr. Revue Belge). 33, 8, 37.—A Satirical Brussels Token. 32, 52.

BENNETT, A. J. Private Issues of Gold. 26, 85.

Benson, Frank Sherman. Ancient Greek Coins 34, 61, 93; 35, 1, 33, 65, 93; 36, 1, 33, 65, 97; 37, 1, 33, 97; 38, 33; 39, 1, 29, 93.—A Cabinet of Greek Coins (Sonnet), 37, 86.—Obituary. 41, 79.

Bermuda. Crosby, Sylvester Sage. The Sommer Islands Coins. 18, 30.—Du Bois, Patterson. Hog Money, etc. 19, 66.—Interesting Discovery. A Bermuda Sixpence. 3, 20.—Lefroy, J. H. The Bermuda Twopence. 14, 3.—Lefroy, J. H. The Hog Money of the Somers Islands. 12, 16.—Somer Islands Coinage. 15, 41.—Somers Island Money. 5, 71.—The Somers Islands Money. 22, 33.—"Sommer Islands" Piece. 1, 4.—(Under paragraph beginning Numisma), 18, 72; 19, 24.

— Medals. (Bermuda, St. Paul's College). An Old Medal. 8, 45.

Betrothal Pieces. See article "Owners of Coins and Medals." 4, 21.

Betts, Benjamin. The American Fur Company's Indian Medals. 32, 4.—John Law and His Medals. 40, 81; 41, 1, 37, 59, 89.—Mexican Imperial Coinage. 33, 1, 43, 69, 108; 34, 7.—Some Local New York Tokens. 32, 7.—Ten Undescribed Spanish-American Proclamation Pieces. 32, 38, 61, 98; 34, 39, 84.—Undescribed Vernons. 39, 100.

Betts, Charles Wyllys. Obituary. **22**, 22. Betts, Samuel R. Taft-Diaz Medals. **46**, 21.

BIANCHI. A medal of Pius IX. 3, 56.

Biblical and Early Christian. Counterfeit "Shekels." 32, 53.—Early Medals of the Saviour. 24, 44.—Fictitious Hebrew Coins and Medals. 3, 41.—The Jewish Tribute Money. (Reprint from Jour. of Num., Apr. 1873). 8, 20.—The Judas Pennies. 35, 115.—Medallic Portraits of Jesus Christ. 39, 118.—Mely, F. de. The Silver Pieces of Judas in Medieval Traditions. 34, 69.—Morris, Robert. The Bible and Coin-study. 7, 88.—Morris, Robert. The Coins Minted at Jerusalem. 17, 3.—Morris, Robert. The Moneys of the Bible Time. 16, 78.—"A Shekel of Judas Iscariot." 39, 82.—Wood, H. G., Hebrew Influence on Ancient Coinage. 42, 47.

Bibliography. Bibliography of Numismatics. 12, 97.—Parly Noticers com Numismatics. 13, 12, 25.—Holway, E. W. Numismatic History of the Reformation. 14, 81.—Lawrence, Richard Hoe. Numismatic Books Printed at the Aldine Press. 14, 32.—The Numismatic Lexicon of Rasche. 19, 16.—Tasker-Nugent, William. Early Books Published on Numismatics. 21, 33, 61. See also "Index to Proceedings."

BIRD, FREDERICK M. Portraits on the Later Bronze. 19, 64. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Bishops of the Innocents, Money of. See Folly Pieces.

BLACK, GEORGE F. Copper Massas of Ceylon. 37, 41.

BLADES, WILLIAM. Obituary. 25, 55.

BLAKE, GEORGE H. and HENRY RUSSELL DROWNE. See "Index to Proceedings."

BLANCHET, J. ADRIEN. A Curious Eretrian Coin-type. 30, 69.—Further Notes on Contorniates. 31, 93.—A Gift by Bonaparte to the United States. 44, 27.—An Unnoticed Jeton of John Law and its date. 43, 103.

BLAU, OTTO. Obituary. 14, 27.

Boer Generals, Medals of. — 37, 94.

Bogardus, J. (Obituary of). The Engraving Machine. 9, 22.

Bolen, J. A. See **United States—Medals**; Bolen.

Bolton, Henry Carrington. Alchemy and Numismatics. 21, 73.—Contributions of Alchemy to Numismatics. 24, 73; 25, 1.

Borneo. The Standard Currency of Borneo. 3, 23.

Boston Museum of Fine Arts. Greek and Roman Coins in the Art Museum, Boston. 36, 115, 118.

BOSTON NUMISMATIC SOCIETY. Constitution and By-Laws. 18, 100.

For the Proceedings of this Society, see the heading Transactions of Societies. 1, 19, and index. 4. Constitution and By-Laws, 5, 96.

BOUTKOWSKI, ALEXANDRE. Obituary. 31, 88.

Bracteates. See Germany.

Brady, John. An Old Baltimore Dealer. 15, 44, 69.

Bramhall, W. L. The Bramhall Medalets. 2,

Brasher Doubloon. ———. 21, 44.

Brasher, Ephraim. The Brasher Counterstamp. 25, 70.—Under Notes and Queries. 27, 20; 28, 26.

Brazil. See Latin-America.

Medals. The Brazil-United States Medal. 25, 82.—(Cabral). A South American Quadringentesimal Medal. 34, 77.—Dom Pedro d'Alcantara. 26, 71.—Recent medals on the discovery of South America. 35, 43.

Brenner, Victor D. See "Index to Proceedings."

Brett, Mrs. George M. See Baldwin, Agnes.

Brett, Jules. Obituary. 28, 105.

Brettauer, Joseph. Obituary. 40, 55.

Brevoort, James Carson. Early Spanish and Portuguese Coinage in America. 16, 1, 25, 49, 73; 17, 25; 19, 65; 18, 82.—Obituary. 22, 74.

Brewer, Fisk P. The Coins and Currency of Modern Greece. 11, 57, 73.—A Wooden Medallion. 14, 87.

Brinton, Daniel G. Obituary. 34, 29.

Britain. Akerman, F. Y. Ancient British Coins. 9, 55.

British East India Company. See East India Co. (British).

British Museum. Coin Catalogues in the British Museum.
20, 38.—Recent (1908) Acquisitions to the Medallic Cabinet of the British Museum.
42, 128.

British Numismatic Society. (Notice of its foundation). 38, 63.

Brock, R. A. Indian Medals. 21, 88.

Brondelli, Bernardino. Obituary. 21, 72.

Bullion—Comparative Values of. See Exchange Values.

BUNKER, CHARLES. Obituary. 16, 71.

Bushnell, Charles I. Obituary. 15, 72.

Butler, James D. A Necessity Piece. 20, 37.

—Numismatic: One Decade or Fifty? 20, 95.

—A Numismatical Critique: Cracks in John Bull's Crack Geography. 11, 38.—Roma Rediviva in Wisconsin. 21, 9.

Byzantine. "Conob." 17, 5.

C

Cambodia. See Cochin-China.

CAMERON, JOHN. Genuine Coin. 6, 97.

Canada. Anthon, Charles E. "Gloriam Regni," or Silver Louis of 15 Sous, and of 5 Sous, struck for circulation in French America, 11, 49.—Canadian Coins (owned by R. W. McLachlan). 4, 67.—Canadian Silver. 18, 39.—Copper Money of the Haida Indians. (British Columbia). 20, 62.—The Crown on Canadian Half-dimes. 40, 20.—De Wolegh, A "New Dominion" Coinage. 4, 87.—Early Coinage for Canada. 13, 30.—French Colonial Coins for America. 12, 23.—(Gloriam 32, 54; 19, 11, 33, 57, 82.—The New (1901) Canadian Coins. 45, 160.—A Nickel Coinage for Canada. 42, 30.—The Proposed Canadian Coinage. 36, 43.—Rare Canadian Pieces. 25, 105.—A Scheme of Coinage for the French Colonies in America in 1665. 33, 89.—An Unpublished Canadian. 38, 109.— Wood, Howland. The Sou Marque. 48, 129. See also United States-(General) for volumes treating currency of N. Am. as a whole, etc.

- Medals. (Avalonia.) Appleton, W. S. Avalonia Pattern-Piece. 18, 42; 20, 93. Canadian Confederation Medal. 4, 61.

Canadian Medals. 11, 56.

Chateau de Ramezay; Canadian Bicentenary Medal. 40, 94.

Coronation Medal, Canadian. 37, 76. (Dampville, Duc de, Canadian Medal.) 4, 86.

The "De Levi" Medal. 9, 70.

Historic Medals of Canada. By Appleton, W. S. 9, 1, 45. (Indian Peace Medals). See Indian Peace

Medals.

Jubilee Medal, Canadian. 23, 40.

(Louis XIV). 9, 24. (Louis XIV, 1693—Betts 75.) Anthon, Charles E. French—American Medal of 1693. 11, 93.

Masonic Centennial Medal. 19, 18.

(Misc.) McLachlan, R. W. Artistic and historic medals struck in Canada in the year 1915. **49**, 195.

The Montreal Indian Medal; a consideration of the Rev. Dr. W. M. Beauchamp's Theory as to its probable date. 39, 107.

(Powell, William Dummer). A Bronze Canadian Medal. 23, 22.

(Quebec, 1690). 9, 1, 24.

Royal Canadian Humane Association, Medal of the. 30, 121.

(St. Lawrence River). A Canadian Medal. 28, 102.

The "Upper Canada Preserved" Medal. 29,

See also U. S .- Medals, especially if the connection of the medal with America is a questionable one.

- Paper Money. Early Canadian Money. 26, 38.

Pattern-Piece. 18, 42; 20, 93.—Bridge Tokens, An Undescribed Series of Canadian. 25, 37.—A Brock Copper. 13, 38.—Canadian Coins (owned by R. W. McLachlan). 4, 67.—Communion Medals and Tokens. See Communion Tokens.—The Copper Currency of the Canadian Banks. 39, 37.—Correspondence in relation to eight Canadian tokens. 2, 96.—("Dennis Macarthy (Blacksmith) penny.") 25, 32.—French-American Colonial Jetons. 13, 67.—Halifax Ferry Tokens. 21, 90.—Halifax Penny Tokens. 21, 39.—Hudson Bay Tokens. 28, 101.—The Hudson Bay Tokens. 29, 19.—McLachlan, R. W. The copper tokens of Upper Canada. 49, 73.—Mc-Lachlan, R.W. New Canadian Coins. 20, 69.-Montreal Bank Token. 26, 45.-Montreal Trade Tokens, by Alfred Sandham. 6, 73.—New Varieties of the Anse Canot Tokens of Prince Edward Island, by Edgar H. Adams. 44, 132.—Parsons, George M. The Colonial Jetons of Louis XV. 19, 1, 25.— Recent Restrike of a Canadian Token. (Copper Company of Upper Canada). 29, 19, 62. -Review. Coins, Tokens and Medals of the Dominion of Canada, by Alfred Sandham. 4, 1.—A So-called "Nova Scotia" Token. 34, 28.—The Wellington Tokens Relating to Canada, by Eugene G. Corteau. 48, 137. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Carrara Medals, The. ——. 14, 65.

Carthage or New Jersey? Appleton, W. S. 27, 73.

Cartography — Numismatic. An Unknown Continent on a Pre-Columbian Medal. 27, 6.

Centenarians' Medals. Centenarians, Medals of, by F. Parkes Weber, M.D., F.S.A. 38, 1, 40.

Century Plant Medals, The. 40, 57.

Ceylon. Black, George F. Copper Massas of Ceylon. w37, w4libtBrotistorBronze Coinage in 1892. 28, 9.—"Hook Money." 19, 78.

CHALON, RENIER HUBERT GHISLAIN. Obituary. 24, 21.

CHAMPION, HENRY. Numismatic Philology. 7, 79.—Origin and History of Coined Money. 8, 49.

CHAUFEPIE, HENRI-JEAN DE DOMPIERRE DE. Obituary. 45, 78.

Child-Bishops, Pieces of. See Folly Pieces. Child, Dudley Richards. Obituary. 18, 46.

Chile. Devices for Chilian Coins. 33, 14. See also Latin-America, Proclamation Pieces.

China. Brass Cash and the Age of Bronze. 24, 56.—China's Coinage of Silver (1891). 25, 70.—Chinese and Japanese Collectors. 44, 35.—Chinese Bank Notes. 20, 46.—Chinese Bronzes. 9, 46.—A Chinese Collection. 12, 73. — Chinese Currency. 15, 61. — Chinese Money. 22, 99. — Chinese Silver Coinage. 30, 60.—Coinage in China. 39, 105.—The Coinage of the Republic of China. 46, 149. —The Coins of Thibet. 42, 9.—Collection of Chinese Coins. 25, 27.—Del Mar, Alexander. History of Money in China. 19, 73, 20, 1, 26.—The Destruction of the Pei Yang Mint. 46, 32.—Gift to the Smithsonian Institution. (Collection of Chinese and other Asiatic Coins). 32, 11.—Mottoes on Coins. 41, 36. -New (1887) Coinages. 22, 51.—The New (1906) Silver Coinage of China. 41, 18.-Numismatics in China. 28, 86.—Ramsden, Henry A. Early Chinese Metallic Currency; Carapace Money. 45, 70.—Ramsden, Henry A. Tsi Moh Knife Coins-Small Series. 44, 158.—A Sacred Chinese Coin. 37, 20.—Salt Cakes as Current Coins. 13, 56.—Silvestre, M. J. Chinese Medals. 44, 1.—Silvestre, M. J. Notes on the Money of China and its Dependencies. 42, 61, 121; 43, 1.—The Story of a Celestial Rag Baby. 10, 66.—Slafter. Edmund F. Schroffing Dollars. 10, 53.—Upton, George P. Chinese Coinage, paper read before A. N. & A. S., June 28, 1866. 1, 17, 25; 7, 17 (note).-Wood, Howland. The Coinage of Tibet. 46, 164.—Wood, Howland. Some Remarks on a Series of Coins Issued in China based on the British-Indian Rupee. 41, 29. - Wood, Howland. Two Recent (1912) Chinese Dollars. 46, 184. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Chronograms. 17, 90.

Civil War Tokens. See United States—Tokens.

CLEVELAND, EDMUND JANES. Annual Assay Medals of the United States Mint. **32**, 18; 33, 30, 88, 129; 34, 115; 35, 117; 36, 92.—An-

other Vernon Medal, Rosa Americana Twopence, Mormon Currency. 5, 74.—English Ideas in Farthings. 34, 87.—The Lieutenant Victor Blue Medal, issued by the U. S. Mint 1900. 35, 56.—Newly Discovered Vernons. 34, 45.—Obituary. 37, 31.—Some Columbian Medals. 28, 65, 87.—The U. S. Washington-Lafayette Dollar of 1900. 34, 82.—The Zachary Taylor Mexican War Medal. 25, 52.

Clinton, George, Coppers. The First "Clinton" Copper. 25, 53.

Cob Money. ————. 21, 93.—Old Counterfeit Cobs. Reprint from "The Boston Evening Post." 16, 70.—See Query and Reply. 3, 32; 5, 72.

Cochin-China. A New French Coin. 14, 41. Cochiti, The Stone Lions of. See "Index to Proceedings."

Cogan, Edward. Concerning the Coin Trade in America. 1, 86, 95.—Obituary. 19, 23.

Cohen, Mendes I. Obituary. 14, 54.

Coinage Qualifications. Under Notes and Queries. 26, 20.

Coins as Jewelry. Modern Use of Old Coins. Popular Craze for Jewelry made of Ancient Coins. 16, 88.

COLBURN, JEREMIAH. In Memoriam. 26, 49.

Collecting-Methods and Advantages. The Art of Reading Coins. 12, 18.—Collecting American Coins in England. 21, 81.—Collecting Run Mad. 11, 54.—Correlation between Postage Stamps and Coins. 40, 43.—Curious Experiences of Collectors. 42, 59.—Du Bois, Patterson. Moneta. 19, 61.—Morris, Robert. Portraits and History in Coins. 12, 20.-Norton, Charles E. Greek Coins for Studying the Fine Arts. 16, 29.—Norton, Frank H. Numismatics and Archeology considered as Aids to History. 1, 5.-Oldbuck, Jonathan. Curious Tastes of Collectors. 21, 68. -The Passion for Collecting. 24, 36.—The Profit of Collecting. 15, 16.—Restoration of Old Coins. 17, 83.—Robinson, John. Old Coins and New. A Paper for Young Collectors. 25, 36.—The Study of Coins. 14, 14.-Tasker-Nugent, William. The Varied Attractions of Numismatics. 20, 89.—Thruston, G. P. The Historic, Versus The Merely Serial Idea in Numismatics. 18, 77.—The Value of Numismatics. 18, 53.—What Next? 45, 191. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Collections. Appleton, W. S., A Selection of Coins from the Collection of. 5, 18, 28, 52.—Berlin, Royal Numismatic Cabinet. 18, 68.—Boston, Coins in the Public Institutions of. 25, 28.—Boston Public Library, The Green Collection in the, and the Dies of the Diplomatic Medal. 24, 10.—(Boston) The Catherine Page Perkins Collection of Ancient

Coins. 36, M&VVB iffalooHisonSociety. The James Collection given to the Buffalo Historical Society. 28, 48.—(Castellani) Feuardent, Gaston L. On some coins of the Castellani Collection. 12, 83.—Italian Collections. 36, 112.-Jackson, President Andrew, Collection of Coins. 27, 34. Japanese Coins. 17, 6.—Milan, The Brera. Centennial of the Cabinet Brera, Milan. 42, 131.—Paris, The Louvre; Notes on Certain Old Collection of Coins, etc., deposited therein. 25, 47.—Rindge, F. H. Ancient coins on exhibition. 26, 62.-Tochtermann, Chas. Very Aged Coins Treasuring Money that was used in the Time of Moses. 18, 87.—The Vatican Collection of Papal Coins. 42, 133. See also "Index to Proceedings."

COLLIER. THOMAS S. On a Drachm of Corinth. 19, 15.—Obituary. 28, 51.

Colombia. Coinage at Popayan, South America. 31, 116. See also Latin-America, Proclamation Pieces.

Columbus, Christopher. Columbus on the San Salvadore Coinage. 41, 95.—The American Numismatic and Archaeological Society's Columbian Medal. **28**, 53.—A Barcelona Columbian Medal. 28, 98.—Cleveland, Edmund J. Some Columbian Medals. 28, 65, 87.--Mexican Columbian Medal. 31, 24.—A New (1892) Medal of Columbus. 26, 81.—New (1892) Columbus Medals. 27, 41.—Recent (1893) Columbus Medals. 28, 7, 32, 88.—(St. Gaudens' Design). 28, 76.—The St. Gaudens Columbian. 31, 28.—A Turkish Columbian. 27, 90.—The Venezuelan Columbian Medal. 29, 17.—The Zearing Columbus and Lincoln Medals. 28, 89. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Comets.-Medallic Memorials of the Great Comets, and the Popular Superstitions Connected with their Appearance. By David L. Walter. 24, 25, 49, 84; 25, 19, 42, 96; 26, 51; 27, 86.

Communion Tokens. Communion Tokens. 26, 63.—The Controversy over "Communion Tokens." **43**, 61. — (Presbyterian Church, Charleston, S. C., 1800). Notes. **6**, 70, 84; 8, 44, 92; 9, 12; 14, 52.—Sacramental Tokens. 8, 92; 12, 23.—Warner, Thomas. Communion Tokens. 22, 1, 34, 62, 84.

COMPARETTE, T. Louis. Coins and Medals in the United States in 1913. 47, 142.—Coins and Medals produced in the United States of America during the year 1915. 49, 199.—Debasement of the Silver Coinage under the Emperor Nero. 47, 131.—Inaccurate Tables of Roman Coins in American Latin Grammars. 46, 125.—Medals Engraved in the United States of America in the year 1914. 48, 205.

COMSTOCK, JOHN HURD. Obituary. 36, 29.

Confederacy-Medals. See United States-Medals.

- Paper Money. See United States Paper Money-Confederate.

Confederacy, War Medals of the. By Bauman

L. Belden. 48, 195.
Confederate Coinage. (Confederate Token).
See under "Editorial." 12, 80.

Congo Free State. Congo Free State Coinage. 22, 67.—New (1887) Coinages. 22, 51.

Cook, Henry. Obituary. 39, 90.

Соок (САРТ.) JAMES. The Medal to Captain Cook. 2, 38.

COOPER-PRICHARD, A. H. Proposed Arrangement of a Catalogue of Coins. 45, 157.

Copperheads. See United States-Tokens.

Corner-stones. Coins under corner-stones. 2, 89; 4, 19; 8, 63; 11, 6.

Costa Rica. See also Latin-America.

Counterfeits. ——. 14, 25.—Cents Counterfeited by Bake-Shops. 29, 63.—Counterfeit Antiques. 11, 8. Counterfeit Gold? 17, 88.—Counterfeit "N. E." and Pine Tree Money. 7, 4; 9, 43.—Counterfeit Nickels. 11, 12. -Counterfeit "Shekels." 32, 53.-Counterfeits and Mules. 30, 45.—Curious Spanish Counterfeit. 30, 62.—Drowne, Henry Russell. A Bait for Inexperienced Collectors. 44, 64.—Feuardent, Gaston L. Forged Coins. 14, 57.—Forgeries. 15, 22.—Frossard, Edward. The Becker Forgeries. 16, 12, 41.— Government Seizures. 20, 94.—Low, Lyman Haynes. Some Observations upon the Counterfeiting of Coins and Medals. 30, 10.—Modern "Antiques." 11, 37.—New Imitation of Silver. 9, 33.—A New Use for Silver Dollars. 23, 23.—Old Counterfeit Cobs. Reprint from "The Boston Evening Post." 16, 70.—Prevention of a Fraud upon our Gold Coins. 8, 65.—A Provincial Note Raiser. 21, 10.—Schroffing Dollars, by Edmund F. Slafter. 10, 53.—Tampering with Coin. Also Counterfeit Currency. 6, 44.—Under Notes and Queries. 21, 93.—Weber, F. Parkes. Notes on Forgeries of the Period. 34, 103.— Weber, F. Parkes. Platinum Forgeries of Gold Coins. 42, 18. See also Schroffing, and "Index to Proceedings."

Concerning Counterstamps Countermarks. and certain phases of the law of demand and supply. **38**, 61.—Countermarks on Roman Coins. **42**, 8.—Counterstamps on Spanish and Spanish-American Coins. 35, 103.—(Former) Owners of Coins and Medals (as indicated by their added countermarks). 4, 21. -Roman Countermarks. 44, 143.—Some Undescribed Spanish-American Pieces. 40, 93.

—Wood, Howland. The Coinage of the West Indiasy with the perial Reference to the Cut and Counterstamped Pieces. List of Counterstamps. 48, 89.—Wood, Howland. Some Curious Counterstamps. 37, 25.

COURTEAU, EUGENE G. The Wellington Tokens Relating to Canada. 48, 137.

Cowries. Cowries and their Uses. 40, 64.

Cromwell, Oliver (Medal of). The Oldest English War Medal. 4, 59, 70.

CROSBY, SYLVESTER S. Cents of 1793. 4, 96.—The Cents of 1793. 31, 40, 61, 97.—The First Coins of the United States. Compiled from Sylvester Crosby's work on "The Early Coins of America." 10, 1.—The Half Cents of 1793. 32, 1.—Notes on an Undescribed Trial-piece bearing impressions of two hubs for a Fugio Pattern. 36, 76.—The "Nova Constellatios." (Compiled from Sylvester S. Crosby's work on "The Early Coins of America"). 10, 25.—The Sommer Islands

Coins. 18, 30.—The United States Cents of 1793. 3, 93.—U. S. Coinage for 1804. 25, 100.—Vermont Coinage. Reprint from Sylvester S. Crosby's work on "The Early Coins of America." 9, 19.

Cruikston Dollar. See article "Mary Stuart." 3, 58.

Crusaders. The Christian Besant. 13, 54.—
Morris, Robert. Money of the Crusaders.
18, 60.

Cuba. A Cuban Silver Piece. 32, 28.

CUMMINGS, PRENTISS. Homer and Astronomic Coin-types. 30, 38.

Cunningham, Thomas. Postal and Fractional Currency. 27, 75.

Currency, Theory of. A New Coin Suggested. 28, 47.

CURWEN, SAMUEL. Samuel Curwen as a Numismatist. 4, 34.

CUTTING, WALTER. Obituary. 42, 31.

D

Darling, Charles W. See "Index to Proceedings."

Dates. Arbitrary Dates on Coins. 41, 87.—Dates on Coins. 40, 79.—Frey, Albert R. The Dated European Coinage prior to 1501. 47. 1.

DAVENPORT, HENRY. Obituary. 32, 89.

DAVIS, ROBERT COULTON. Obituary. 23, 47.

Decimal Coinage. ——. 25, 70.

Decorations. (Ashanti War). English War Medals, 9, 41.—Belden, Bauman L. The Collection of American Insignia in The American Numismatic Society's Cabinet. 43, 16.-Belden, Bauman L. United States War Medals. 49, 1.—British War Medals. 15, 71. -Decoration for New York National Guardsmen. 32, 90.—Decorations and Orders. 19, 40, 49.—Desired Acquisitions for the Cabinet of The American Numismatic and Archaeological Society. **36**, 123.—(English War Medals). **23**, 72.—An Exhibition of "Orders." (Paris, Exposition Retrospective, 1912). 46, 26.—"Founders' and Patriots' Order." 31, 91.—Grand Army and Allied Bodies, Medals of the. 34, 116; 35, 26, 52, 84, 112; 36, 21, 53, 85, 113; 37, 22, 48, 82, 119; 38, 18, 49, 90, 112; 39, 23, 48, 67, 110; 40, 18.—Granger's Badge Medal. 10, 42.—(Hindustan. 71st Highland Light Infantry.) A British Army Medal. 21, 10.—Honors to the First Defenders. 26, 38. -Knights of St. Jonathan. 32, 116.-Low, Lyman H. Canadian Service Medals. 22, 23.-Matabele War Medal. 32, 22.-Medals issued by Le Regiment de la Calotte. 23, 70, 87.—New Hampshire Society of the Cincinnati, Medal of the. 40, 42.—New (1906) Service Medals for U. S. Soldiers. 41, 77.—Order of Bucks, Medal of the. 18, 68.-Peninsular, English War Medals of the, by R. K. Walker. 19, 79.—Porto Rico Medal. 36, 26, 92.—Sentiment and Medals (Plan to replace Grand Army Medals with a new form). 39, 71.—(Service Medal—Mass. Militia). 68.-Society of Colonial Wars, Medal of the. 28, 49.—Soldiers' Medals of West Virginia. By Horace Edwin Hayden. 10, 78.—South African War Medals. 35, 120; 36, 58.—Spanish-American War Medals. 34, 118; 37, 117; 38, 12, 54.—Spanish War Medals. 36, 25; 37, 40.—War in the Philippine Islands, Medal of the. 36, 27.—See also United States— Medals, for the Specific Medals sought. See also "Index to Proceedings."

DE Morgan, Henri. See "Index to Proceedings."
DEL Mar, Alexander. History of Money in China. 19, 73; 20, 1, 26.

Denmark. The Boats of Gold. 21, 22.—An Early Danish Coin Relating to America. 42, 14.

——— Medals. A Schleswig-Holstein Medal. By W. T. R. Marvin. 15, 31.

DE SAUGY. "Two Hundred and Sixty-Eight Varieties of Cents." 16, 23.

DE SAULCY, LOUIS FELICIEN JOSEPH. Obituary. 15, 72.

Designers of the New Silver Coinage. 49, 210. Devices. See Iconography.

Devreese, Godefroid. Baldwin, Agnes M. Godefroid Devreese. 44, 61.

DICKESON, MONTROVILLE W. Obituary. 17, 23. Dictionary of Numismatic Names; their official and popular designations, by Albert R. Frey. 50, 1.

Dogs, Medals of. 41, 27.

Dollar. See United States-Dollar.

Donaldson, T. L. Obituary. 20, 47.

Doughty, Frank W. A Neglected Series. 23, 78. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Drowne, Henry Russell. A Bait for Inexperienced Collectors. 44, 64.—Dealers: United States Mint. 43, 163.—Fractional Currency. 23, 55. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Drowne, Henry Russell and George H. Blake. See "Index to Proceedings."

Du Bois, Patterson. Compte Rendu. 18, 89.

—Hog Money, etc. 19, 66.—Issues of the New Orleans Mint. 17, 65.—Moneta. 19, 61.—The Natural Dissemination of Gold. 20, 34.—Our Mint Engravers. 18, I2.—The Pattern Piece. 17, 56.—Some of the Issues of War. 17, 14.—Some Reflections on Numismatics and Mintage. 16, 85.—Sparks from the Mint Fire. 21, 11. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Du Bois, William E. The Annual Assay of U. S. Coins. 14, 92.—A "Coin" from Illinois. 6, 89.—The Coinage of the Popes; Its Rise, Progress and Termination. 15, 73.—E Pluribus Unum. 13, 49.—The Mickley Medal. 13, 87, 104.—Obituary. 16, 44.—Old English Pennies. 12, 70.—Prevention of a Fraud upon our Gold Coins. 8, 65.—Recent Additions to the Mint Cabinet. 11, 86.—The Temple-sweepers. 7, 57; 14, 33.

Ducats. ——. 3, 1.

Dupre, Auguste. Medal Commemorative of American Independence, or Diplomatic Medal. **9**, 78.

Dupuis, Daniel. Obituary. 34, 90.

E

- East India Co. (British). Coins of the English
 East India Company under Great Britain.
 11, 90.
- Ecuador. Notes on Equador and its Coinage. 42, 17. See also Latin-America. Proclamation Pieces.
- Egypt—Ancient. Early Egyptian Money? A Query. 40, 69.
- Electrotypes. Government Seizures. 20, 94.— Replicas of Rare Coins and Medals. 20, 15.
- ELY, TALFOURD. The Process of Coining as Seen in a Wall-painting at Pompeii. Reprint from Numismatic Chronicle. 31, 1, 60, 92.
- England. The Birmingham Assay Office, Great Britain. 17, 87.—The British Bronze Coinage. 11, 12.—The Copper Currency of London. 20, 41.—Dagger Money. 39, 119.— Early English Attempts to Provide Minor Coinage for America. 33, 79.—East India Company, see East India Company—(British). England's Worn-out Money. 36, 106.—English Hammered Silver Coins. 15, 39.—Error Half-pence. 4, 64.—Farthings. 16, 65.—Keary. C. F. Coinage of the British Islands. 19, 88; 20, 9, 30, 57.—Notes from the British Naval History, by John Entick, London, 1757. 6, 78.—Smoke Farthings. 38, 30.—"Tower" Half-pence. 4, 64.—Wyon Family, The. 4, 70.
- Anglo-Gallic Coins. Leopards on Anglo-Gallic Coins. 25, 21.
- Anglo-Saxon. The Coins of the Anglo-Saxon Period. 22, 97.—Du Bois, William E. Old English Pennies. 12, 70.—Early English Coinage. 12, 58.
- ——— Anne. Anne, Queen, Farthings. 5, 93.—Farthings, Queen Anne's. 12, 46.
- Charles I. Charles I (gold piece said to have been presented to Bishop Juxon who was in attendance on the scaffold at Whitehall). 4, 37.—A Costly Coin. 12, 88.—A Royal Collector. (Charles I. of England.) 24, 98.
- —— Charles II. (Petition Crown by Simon). A Coin Pedigree. 24, 19.—The "Simon Petition Crown." 29, 94.
- Cromwell. 6, 50.—Graham, T. H. B. The Crowns of Cromwell. Reprint. 42, 60.—Keary, C. F. English Coins of the Commonwealth and Cromwell. 18, 31.—Pewter Farthings of Cromwell. 10, 50.

- Edward I, II, and III. Early Coins of the Plantagenets. 25, 71.—Edward Pennies. 22, 94.—Henfrey, Henry W. The Canterbury Coins of Edward I, II and III. 14, 88.
- Rose Noble of Edward III. 6, 61.
- VII. 36, 44.—English Ideas in Farthings. 34, 87.—King Edward's Title on his Coins 37, 21.
- Elizabeth. Money in England in 1560. 24, 96.—Rial of Elizabeth (rel. to Virginia?). 5, 46, 60.—Tudor Exhibition. Elizabethan Broadsides Respecting Coins: London, 1890. 25, 22.
- George III. George III Spade Guinea. 18, 44.—Henfrey, Henry W. English Two-Penny Pieces. 10, 95.—Pieces of George III (Northumberland Shilling and Crowns). 6, 21.
- Henry III. Early Coins of the Plantagenets. 25, 71.—Gold Penny of Henry III. (Reprint.) 8, 80.
- Rose" of Henry the Eighth. 44, 22.
- James II. Hibernias of James II. 7, 44. See also "Index to Proceedings."
- Mary. Description of an English Coin of Queen Mary. 17, 46.
- Maundy Money. Maundy Money.
- Medals. Albert Medal. 12, 50.—
 (America) Parsons, George M. Medals Relating to the Colonics of England in North America, Struck in Europe. 23, 1, 31.
- Two American Medals. ("Naufraga Reperta 1687.") 20, 81.
- Armada Medals. 9, 64.
- (Armada) Tasker-Nugent, William. The Armada Medals. 24, 56.
- Armada, Tasker-Nugent, William, Gleanings: Defeat of the Spanish Armada, 1588. British Museum Exhibits Relating thereto. 23, 88.
- (Artillery Co., Ancient and Honorable) Commemorative Medal, Ancient and Honorable Artillery Company. 31, 70.

(Baltimore, LaveyWoll)toolToomAmerican Medals. 20, 81. The Bartram Medal 31, 20. A Belle-Isle Medal. 28, 80. (Charles I) Engraved Medalet. 6, 30. Charles I, Royal Medals of. 21, 80. Charlotte Augusta, A Marriage Medal of. 44, 177. Cook, Medal to Captain. 2, 38. (Cronwell, Oliver). The Oldest English War Medal. 4, 59, 70. (Edward VII) Coronation Medals. 36, 64. (Edward VII) Coronation Medals Struck in America. **36**, 103. (Eliot, Jared). The Eliot Anglo-American Medal of 1762. 31, 6; 32, 68. (Emperor of Germany's Visit). 26, 21. ("England Land Scarce," etc.) Anglo-American Medal. 39, 59. Ewing Medal. (Bunker Hill Officer). 7, 44. "Fort William (College) Medal." (British India). 32, II7. George III, Jubilee Medals of. 22, 47. (Gladstone) Medal in Honor of Mr. Gladstone. 14, 86. Hanoverian Medals. 17, 38. "Indocilis Pati." 30, 94. (James, Duke of York and Albany), York and Albany, by Charles E. Anthon. 17, 61. (Jernegan) The Jernegan Cistern Medal. 2, 99; 3, 68; 5, 69; 10, 36, 48. (Jernegan) Marvin, W. T. R. Henry Jernegan's Silver Cistern. 18, 91. Jubilee Medals, British. 32, 57. Life Saving Medal, A British-American. 30, I14. (Lowestoft, Battle of) York and Albany by Charles E. Anthon. 17, 61. Lyell Geological Medal. 10, 38. Nansen, Gold Medal for. 31, 104. A Nelson Medal. 39, 112. Personal Medals, English. 28, 64. (Phipps). The Phipps-Albemarle 20, 81; 46, 117. Medals. The Roettiers Family in England. 33, 75. Royal Numismatic Society of London, Award of the Medal of the. 41, 27. Rumford Medal of the Royal Society. 7, 1. Saltus. The John Sanford, Gold Medal, 1911. **45**, 67. Swimming, Medal for. 14, 41. Vigo Medalet. The Queen Anne: Its History. 4, 44. (Will, Duke of Cumberland.) Medal of the Duke of Cumberland. 11, 31. (William and Mary.) Ligonier. A Rare English Medal of 1690. 29, 41.

Vernon, Admiral, Medals, see Vernon, Admiral, Medals.
York, Duke of, Wedding Medals. 28, 23.

See also "Index to Proceedings."

- Middle Ages. See "Index to Proceedings." - Mints. Thc Birmingham Office, Great Britain. 17, 87.—The London Mint Two Hundred Years Ago. 10, 58.— Notes from the British Mint Report. 28, 16. -Pyx, The Trial of the. 1, 35; 37, I3. - Norman Kings. Packe, A. E. The Coinage of the Norman Kings of England and the word "Pax." 28, 21. - Paper Money. A Note for £1,000,000. 9, 42. Seals. Potts, William John. Scottish Secret Seal of 1745. 10, 42. Tokens. Anglo-Arabic Token. 90.—Communion Tokens, see Communion Tokens.—Dorchester (England) Farthings. 25, 105.—An English Token of 1811. ("Rushbury & Wolley, Bilston.") 10, 22.—(Glastonbury) Avallon Token. 25, 82. (Glastonbury) The "Avalonia" Copper. 24, 147.—In Memory of the Good Old Days." Under "Notes and Queries." 12, 79. McLachlan, R. W. A Glastonbury Penny of 1812 described as "A Baltimore Penny" of 1628. 19, 52; 20, 93.—Penny Tokens from Great Britain. 10, 49.—Pewter Farthings of Cromwell. 10, 50.—The "Sir Original" English Token-Who is the Author? 31, 51. - Victoria. British Decimal Currency. 22, 73.—Coinage. The Coinage Question Again: The Silver Currency of England. (Criticisms of new coin-types, etc.). 7, 65, 66, 67.—Jubilee Coins. 22, 76.—The New (1893) English Coins. 27, 70; 28, 6. Ernst, Chevalier von. Obituary. 45, 211. Essex County Antiquarian and Numismatic Society. For the proceedings of this society, see the heading Transactions of Societies. 3, 100; 4, 23. Ethiopia. See Abyssinia. Europe. Coinage of the Latin Union in 1908. 44, 66.—Frey, Albert R. The Dated European Coinage prior to I501 (with index of localities). 47, 1.—Keary, C. F. The Coinage of Christian Europe. 19, 35, 54. - Middle Ages. Doughty, Frank W. A Neglected Series. 23, 78.—Keary, C. F. The Coinage of Christian Europe. 19, 35, 54. EVANS, SIR JOHN. Obituary. 42, 155. Exchange Values. Cleveland, Edmund J. Another Vernon Medal, Rosa Americana twopence, Norman Currency. 5, 74. Comparative Value of Silver Coin and United States Currency. 9, 32.—Cuttings from Old News-

papers. 14, I02.—(Last paragraph on page.)

13, 68.—Some Singular Currency. 29, 42.—Under "Editorial." 26, 96.—"What is Money?" 15, 41. See also U. S.—Silver (Free

Coinage). U. S .- Gold Standard. Theory

of Currency.

F

FABRE, JEAN-HENRI. Plaquette of Jean-Henri Fabre. 45, 202.

FARRIER, GEORGE H. Obituary. 29, 131.

FEUARDENT, GASTON L. Early Greek Coinage, 13, 48.—Forged Coins. 14, 57.—Government as an Art Educator. 15, 28.—Obituary. 28, 50.—On some Coins of the Castellani Collection. 12, 83.—The Relation of Coins to the Fine Arts. 14, 29. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Finds—(Miscellaneous). Buried Coins. 44, 156.—Buried Treasure. 11, 13.—Coin Finds. 35, 87.—(1863-1866, chiefly continental). 1, 93.—Numismatic Discoveries. 14, 100.—Relics of the Past. 11, 33.—Remarkable "Finds" of Ancient and Medieval Coins. 41, 22.—Various Coin Finds. (Alburgh, Vt.; Warner, Eng.; Tarragona, Spain.) 18, 95.

— Danish. (Funen, Denmark, 1886:) Curious Treasure Trove. 20, 88.

— English. (Peshawur, India, 1865.) Coin Finds. 20, 19.—Scottish Treasure Trove. 13, 91.

— European. (Aberdeen, 1886) Valuable Treasure-Trove. 21, 37. — (Erfurt, 1878.) Treasure-Trove Abroad. 12, 56.

Trench. (France, 1871). The Advantages of Hoarding. 9, 53.—(Genoa, 1896.) A Coin-Find near Genoa. 31, 19.—(Paris, 1883.) Coin-Finds. 17, 60. (Valleyres, France, 1893.) Barbey, Maurice. The Recent Find at Valleyres. 28, 15.

— German. (Gothland, Sweden, 1887) Coin Find. 22, 50.—(Limburg Abbey, 1881) Buried Treasures Exhumed. 16, 15.—(Mardorf, Hesse, 1881) Curious Discovery of Ancient Coins. 15, 88.

— Greek. (Abukir) Newell, Edward T. The Gold Medallions of Abukir. 44, 128. (Carystus, Euboea, 1884) Discovery of Ancient Greek Coins. 18, 82. (Salonica, 1893) Greek Coins from the Gulf of Salonica. 28, 14.—(Sidon, 1857) The Treasure Trove at Sidon. 4, 76.—(Tigris, 1818) Coin Finds. 20, 19

— India. (Gwalior, India, 1887) Treasure Buried in India. 22, 46.

— Italian. (Genoa, 1896) A Coin-Find near Genoa. 31, 19. Mohammedan. (London, 1879) Old Coins Exhumed in London. 13, 92.—(Jerusalem) Numismatic Discoveries. 14, 100.

———— Papal Coins—(Rome, 1880) Discovery of Papal Gold Coins at Rome. 14, 77.

Roman — China. (Shansi, China, 1885) Roman Coins Found in China. 20, 60.

Roman—England. (Blackmoor Park, 1873). 14, 23.—(Bristol, England, 1880) Discovery of Ancient Coins in Great Britain. 15, 37.—(Middle Fen, Willingham, 1882) An Ancient Jar of Coins. 16, 83.—(Milverton, 1886) Discovery of Roman Coins at Milverton. 21, 38.

Roman—France. (Aurons, France, 1879.) 13, 76.—(Bourbonne-les-Bains, 1875) Lost Coins and Medals. 10, 37.—(Paris, 1863) Finding Old Coins. 14, 53.

A Coin Find in Germany. (Hahnheim, 1891)

Roman Coins found in India. (Pakli, India, 1901).

— Roman—Italy. (Rome) Some Coin Discoveries in Old Rome. (1900.) 34, 114. — (Rome) Treasures in the Tiber. 9, 20.— (Verona, 1878) Treasure Trove Abroad. 12, 56.

Roman—South Africa. (Zimbabye, 1895) Roman Coins found in South Africa. 29, 84.

Roman — Switzerland. (Switzerland, 1879) Numismatic Discoveries in Switzerland. 14, 23.

Scotland. (Craigs, Glen Afton) Discovery of Ancient Coins. 17, 8.—(Fortrose, Scotland, 1880) Discovery of Ancient Coins in Great Britain. 15, 37.—(Fortrose, Scotland, 1881) Discovery of Ancient Scottish Coins. 16, 12.—(Prestonpans, 1869) Discovery of Old Scottish Coins. 4, 23.—Scottish Treasure Trove. 13, 91.

ure. 9, 19.—(Vigo, Spain, 1884) Seeking for the Treasures of the Spanish Galleons. 19, 39.

Teutonic Order. (Rosenburg, West Prussia, 1881). Treasure Trove. 15, 59.

United States. (Boston, 1884) Finding Treasure near Boston. 18, 95.—(Buchanan, Va., 1856) Singular Discovery. 6, 84; 7, 20.—(Dedham, Mass., 1890) Old Coins Found in Dedham. 25, 48.—(Duxbury, Mass., 1888) A Medal Exhumed. 22, 75.—(Eliza-

beth, N. J., 1872 Captano KGAT . Theasure Found. 7, 31.—(Exeter, N. H., 1876) The Exeter Bonanza. 12, 105.—(Exeter, N. H., 1876) Pine Tree Money Found. 11, 92.— (Fayetteville, Tenn., 1887) A Roman Coin Found in Tennessee. 22, 18. (Illinois, Chillicothe, 1870) A "Coin" from Illinois. 6, 89.

—(Maine) Money-digging in Maine. 6, 32. -(Mariette, Ind., 1899) A Curious Find in Indiana. 34, 54.—(Medford, Mass., 1787) Coins Found in Medford, Mass. 6, 79.— (Misc.-A number of newspaper clippings showing exaggerated importance attached to local finds in U. S.). 8, 55.—(Misc. U. S.) Buried Treasures Found. 20, 42.—(New Windsor, N. Y., circa 1886) A Revolutionary Relic. 20, 92.—(New York, 1892). Found in Broadway, New York. 26, 89 .- (Opelousas, La., 1882) Spanish Silver by the Gallon. 17, 23.—(Philadelphia, 1872) Discovery of Ancient Coin. 6, 86.—(Philadelphia, 1876) Relics dug up in Independence Square. 10, 77.—(Philadelphia, 1880) Old Medals Found in Philadelphia. 14, 91. (Richmond Island, Maine) Willis, William. Coins found on Richmond Island, Maine. 5, 33; 8, 42.—(Salem, Mass., 1737). 16, 46.—(Salem, Mass., 1890) An Old "Find" of New England Shillings. 25, 31.—(Williamstown, Mass., 1910) A Curious Find in Williamstown, Mass. 44, 178. See also "Index to Proceedings."

FINOTTI, JOSEPH M. Obituary. 13, 103.

FLORISTELLA, PENNISI DE. A Unique Gold Coin of Messana. (Translation by Agnes Baldwin). 42, 129.

Folly Pieces. Additions to the "Money of Folly." 43, 101.—Marvin, W. T. R. The Money The "Money of Folly." 30, 40.—Rouyer, J. A Curious Medieval Piece. Translation from Revue Numismatique. 32, 33.

Fools, Money of. See Folly Pieces.

Fowle, Henry Dearborn. Obituary. 17, 23. FOY-VALLIANT, JEAN. See Vaillant, Jean Foy.

France. French Coinage in the Middle Ages. 16, 30.—French Nickel Coinage. 22, 75.—The French Society of Inscriptions and Medals. 16, 87.—A French Trade Dollar. 20, 87.—("Meche, The Five Francs a la.") 3, 40; 30, 113.—The Napoleons, I and III. (Details concerning the "Ecu a la meche" of 1852.) 3, 40; 30, 113.—New (1896) Designs for French Coinage. 31, 12.—New (1870) French Coins. 6, 39.—The New (1897) French Coins. 31, 120.—New (1898) Coinage. 33, 13.—New (1903) French Coins. 38, 55.—Parsons. George M. The French Revolution of 1789 illustrated by Coins and Medals of the Period. 20, 73; 21, 1, 25, 49.—Prevost, A. The Five Franc Pieces of France. Reprint from the Numismatic Chronicle. 26, 10.-Protection of Coins. 28, 102.—Rare and Ancient French Coins. 17, 89.—A Relic of the Republic of 1851. 10, 77; 13, 75.—Weber, F. Parkes. Analogy between "Pieforts" and Roman Bronze Medallions. 31, 4.

- Annam. See Annam.

- Colonies. A New French Coin. (Trade Dollar for Indo-China.) 14, 41.—Wood, Howland. The Sou Marqué. 48, 129.

- Commune. Five-Franc Pieces of the Commune. 15, 88.

 Exposition. Exposition, French Judges' Medal. 15, 87.

— Louis XVI. Coins used for secret despatches by Louis XVI, 13, 85.

Louis XVII. See "Index to Proceed-

- Medals. (America) Medals (relating to American History) to be obtained at the Paris Mint in gold, silver or bronze. 5,

(America) Parsons, George M. Medals Relating to the Colonies of England in North America, Struck in Europe. 23, 1, 31.

The Arago Prize. 28, 102.

(Babelon) The Babelon Medal. 45, 72.

(Boulanger) Numismatic Satire Upon General Boulanger. 25, 21.

Brown, John. The French Tribute to the Memory of. 10, 28.

(Brown, John) The John Brown Medal. 20, 15

(Calotte) Medals Issued by Le Regiment de La Calotte. 23, 70, 87.

Chamillart, Medal of. 16, 32. The Chantilly Medal. 23, 15.

Columbian Exposition Medal. 33, 31.

(Czar's Visit) Franco-Russian Medal. 91.

Eiffel Tower Medal. 23, 93.

Fabre, Jean-Henri, Plaquette of. 45, 202. (Geographical Society, French) (Paragraph next to last under Editorial). 16, 24.

Industrial, French, Medal of Honor. 21, 40. (Kruger, Paul). Oom Paul at Lyons. 36,

(Law, John) An Unnoticed Jeton of John

Law and its Date. 43, 103. Law, John, and his Medals. 40, 81; 41. 1, 37, 59, 89.

(Lincoln). The French Lincoln Medal. 28,

(Louis XVII) Barrington, R. T. A New Meaning to some Old Medals. 22, 60.

(Ludovicus XV—Betts 111). An undescribed American Colonial Medal. Similar to Betts 111, but in gold.) 39, 77.

Marie de Medicis Medal (under Correspondence).liptopl.com.cn

(Mint) The French Mints; Mint Marks, Medals. 37, 52.

(Napoleon) see Napoleon (I), Medals of. Napoleonic Medal Relating to the Louvre. 24, 63.

(Paris, Defence of, in 1870-71). 19, 71. Paris, Siege of. French Medal. 10, 19. (Philip VI). An Old French Medal. 8, 43. Recamier Medals, The. 32, 116.

The Recent (1907) Development of Medallic Art. 42, 1.

Recent (1912) French Medal of the War of 1870-71. 46, 72.

(Revolution, 1789) Parsons, George M. The French Revolution of 1789 illustrated by Coins and Medals of the Period. 20, 73; 21, 1, 25, 49.

(Revolution, 1789). Tasker-Nugent, Wm. French Revolutionary Medals. 21, 66.

(Revolution, 1795). Medals of the French Revolution, 1795. **22,** 98.

(Revolution of 1830) Medal of the French Revolution of 1830. 25, 22.

(Revolutionary Centenary) French Centen-

ary Medal. 24, 20. (Richelieu). Two Medals of Richelieu and Varin, incorrectly classed as American. 28, 29.

(Russian Fleet's Visit). Franco-Russian Medal. 28, 75.

St. Helena's Medals, The French. 27, 80. (Shah's Visit to Mint, 1900). Visit of the Shah to the French Mint. 35, 75.

Spanish-American War, French Medal on. 38, 12.

(Varin) Two Medals of Richelieu and Varin, incorrectly classed as American. 28, 29. A Zola Medal. 34, 58.

- Mints. Annual Report (1912) of the French Mint. 46, 188.—French Mint Marks 17, 47.—French Mint-Marks. 24, 21, 47.— The French Mints. 37, 52.—Imperial Mint Marks of Napoleon I. **22,** 93.—The New (1912) Medal-Striking Machine in the French Mint. 46, 149.—Recent (1910) Improvements at the French Mint. 44, 50.— Restrikes at the French Mint. 29, 96.

- Napoleon III. French Satirical Piece. 9, 46.—An "Invaluable" Coin. 29, 95.

- Paper Money. French Bank Notes. 27, 71.

Tokens. Another Very Rare Colonial Jeton of Louis XV. 21, 93.—Engravers of the Portraits on French Jetons. 32, 16.— French-American Colonial Jetons. 13, 67.-Jeton of Louis XV. 31, 27.—Jetons and Medals of the French Mines. 40, 11.—Napoleonic Silver Jetons. 25, 63.—Parsons. George M. The Colonial Jetons of Louis XV. 19, 1, 25.—A Rare Colonial Jeton of Louis XV. 21, 71.—An Undescribed Franco-American Jeton. 24, 7.—An Undescribed Jeton of the "Seigneur de Herstal." 32, 56.

- West India Company. A Scheme of Coinage for the French Colonies in America in 1665. **33,** 89.

FRANK, JOSEPH SALES. The Uses of Medieval and Modern Coins. 9, 74; 10, 12.

Franklin, Benjamin, Medals of. The Franklin Bi-centennial Medal. 41, 57.—A Franklin Token. 36, 14.—Medallion of Franklin. 21, 81.—Medals of Franklin (by W. S. Appleton). 7, 49; 9, 4.—(Portrait of Benjamin Franklin by Nini, Betts 548). Notes on Some Medals Described by Mr. Betts. 29, 61.

Franklin Cents—See U. S.—Colonial—Fugios. Free Silver Coinage. See U. S .- Silver, Free Coinage.

French Guiana. See Latin-America.

FREY, ALBERT R. The Coinage of the First German African and Asiatic Companies. 48, 189.—The Dated European Coinage prior to 1501. 47, 1.-A Dictionary of Numismatic Names: their official and popular designations. 50, 1.—New Turkish Commemorative Coins. 44, 52.

FROSSARD, EDWARD. The Becker Forgeries. 12.—Die-Varieties of the U. S. Coins. 18.—Obituary. 33, 130.

G

GARDNER, PERCY. "The Gardens of Alcinous." 16, 39.

GARRUCCI, RAFFAELE. Obituary. 20, 47.

GATTEAUX, JACQUES EDOUARD. Obituary. 15, 94. Gems Used as Money. —. 30, 76, 108.

Germany. The Bracteates of the German Kings and Roman Emperors. 1, 51, 60, 67, 77.—Coins of Use as Weights. 22, 48.—Finis Germaniae. 30, 106.—Frey, Albert R. The Coinage of the First German African and Asiatic Companies. 48, 189.—German Pfennig Pieces. 12, 60.—Gleanings from German Coinage. 1, 83.—The New (1873) Coinage of Germany. 8, 13.—A New (1908) German Coin. (25 pfennigs.) 42, 133.—"Reconciliation Thalers." 29, 63.—(The Thaler no longer, (1907) a German Coin). 42, 51.

Brunswick and Luneburg. Curious Brunswick Dollars. 4, 73.—Dollar of Christian, Duke of Brunswick. 9, 11.—"Redeemer Coins." 26, 84.

Colonies. (German East Africa.)

African Coins. 25, 46.

Frankfort. Peculiarities of the Frankfort Coinage. 2, 76.—The So-called "Janauschek" and "Love" Thalers. 12, 36.

Medals. An "Augsburg Confession"

Medal. 6, 7.

(Bawman, Georg). Marvin, W. T. R. An Old Printer's Medal. 16, 84.

Blucher, The Berlin Medal to. 29, 23, 34, 47.

"Fisheries" Medal, German International. 16, 21.

(Frankfurt Synagogue Dedication) A Hebrew dedication Medal. 42, 76.

(Friedrich 1II) Medals of Kaiser Wilhelm and Friedrich 111. 23, 9

(Goethe) See Goethe (Medals of).

Goethe's jubilee Medal. 2, 81.

(Gottingen Royal Academy of Science.) Found in Broadway, N. Y. 26, 89.

(Gutenberg). Curious use of a legend on two typographic Medals. 31, 39.

(Gutenberg). Recent Medals commemorating the invention of the art of printing. 35, 11, 83.

Hanoverian Medals. 17, 38.

Herder, Centennial Medal of. 38, 103.

(Kiel Canal). North Sea Canal Medals. 16, 32,

(Luther, Martin). See Luther, Martin (Medals of).

(Misc. 1891). Recent Medals Struck in Germany. 25, 72.

(Palestine). The Kaiser's Palestine Medals.

Prague Medal. 9, 90.

(Reformation and its centenaries and jubilees).-Holway, E. W. Numismatic History of the Reformation. 14, 81.

A Schleswig Holstein Medal, by W. T. R.

Marvin. 15, 31.

(Trinity Medal). Recent Acquisitions to the Medallic Cabinet of the British Museum. 42, 128.

Wagner, Medal of. 11, 19.

(Westphalia, Peace of). Medal of the Thirty Years War. (Van Loon, vol. 2, p. 304). 35, 105,

(Wilhelm 1) Medals of Kaiser Wilhelm and Friedrich 11I. 23, 9.

- Mints. Mint - Masters and Mint marks. 38, 27.

Nuremburg. Nuremburg, the 1/10 ducat; under caption "The Smallest Coin in the World." 4, 51.

Prussia. Appleton, W. S. Posthumous Portraits on Coins. 9, 6.—(Berlin) The Munz Cabinet. 10, 16.—Rare Coin (Breslau Thaler, 1751: "Ein reich stahl er"). **5**, 87.

- Salzburg. Salzburg and the Protestant Emigration Medals. 32, 93; 33, 32.— (Emigrant Medal, 1732). A Valuable Memento. (Under "Notes and Queries.") 11, 99.

- Saxony. A Rare Silver Coin of Saxony. 29, 95.

Glass Weights, Arabic. See "Index to Proceedings.

Goethe, Medals of. Goethe's Jubilee Medal. 2, 81.—Memorial Plaque of Goethe. 34, 26.— Storer, Horatio R. The Goethe Medals. 22, 25, 53.

Gold. Du Bois, Patterson. The Natural Dissemination of Gold. 20, 34.—Output of Gold and Silver. 29, 63.—The Stock of Gold in the United States. 42, 13.

Gold Standard. Appleton, Nathan. A New Coin Suggested. 28, 47.—A Practical Example. 30, 98.—See also Exchange Values.

GRAHAM, T. H. B. The Crowns of Cromwell. Reprint. 42, 66.

Granada. See Colombia.

Grant, Ulysses S., Medals of. Bovy's Grant Medal. 3, 81.—Gen. Grant's Presidential Candidacy Medal. 3, 11.

- Greece (Modern). Brewer, Fisk P. The Coins and Colored Commodern Greece. 11, 57, 73.
- (Modern)—Medals. Olympic Games (1896), The Medals of the. 31, 49.
- Greek Church, Tokens of. ——. 43, 156.
- Greek Theatre Tickets, Ancient. ———. 34, 68.
- Greek. An Ancient Greek Die. 42, 68.—Appleton, W. S. A Few Ancient Coins. 14, 1. -Babelon, Ernest. Portraiture and its Origins in Greek Monetary Types. 44, 37, 103.— Baldwin, Agnes. Facing Heads on Greek Coins. 43, 113.—Benson, Frank Sherman. Ancient Greek Coins. 34, 61, 93.—Cowmoney and Staters. 30, 63.—Cummings, Prentiss. Homer and Astronomic Cointypes. 30, 38.—Dechelette, Joseph. The Origin of the Drachm and Obolus. Translation. 46, 27, 50.—Gardner, Percy. "The Gardens of Alcinous." 16, 39.—Greek Coinage. 14, 90.—Greek Coins. (Reprint from London Times). 8, 82.—Head, Barclay V. Ancient Greek Coins. 18, 25, 49, 73.—"Neokoroi." 7, 57; 14, 33.—Newell, Edward T. The Gold Medallions of Abukir. 44, 128.—Newell, Edward T. Some Rare or Unpublished Greek Coins. 48, 61.—Oriental Coins. 20, 82.— Signatures of Engravers on Greek Coins. 41, 26.—Six, J. P. Some Undescribed Greek Coins. Translation from Numismatic Chronicle. 30, 72.—Svoronos, Jean N. The Origins of Coinage. 43, 33, 93, 141; 44, 14, 145.—Svoronos, Jean N. On the Signification of Certain Ancient Monetary Types. Translation from Bull. de Corr. Hellenique. 29, 76, 115; 30, 1. See also "Index to Proceedings."
- Alexander the Great. Newell, Edward T. Reattribution of Certain Tetradrachms of Alexander the Great. 45, 1, 37, 113, 194; 46, 22, 37, 109.
- Agrigentum. Seltman, E. J. The Authenticity of the Decadrachms of Agrigentum. (Translation.) 43, 160.
- Athens. An Ancient Greek Dic. 42, 68.—Early Greek Coinage. By G. L. Feuardent. 13, 48.—Female Suffrage in Ancient Athens on a Medallion. 34, 54.
- Bactria. A Coin of Eucratides. 14,
 18.—Oriental Coins. 20, 82. A Rare Bactrian Decadrahm. 22, 40.
- Characene. Morris, Robert. Some Coins of Characene. 22, 11.
- Chios. Baldwin, Agnes. The Electrum and Silver Coins of Chios, issued during the sixth, fifth and fourth centuries, B.C.; a chronological study. 48, 1.
 - Cos. Coin Portraits. 36, 42.

- —— Counterfeits. Frossard, Edward. The Becker Forgeries. 16, 12, 41.
- Cyprus. The Coinage of Cyprus. 13, 50.
- ——— Cyrenaica. Cyrenaic Coins and Antiquities. 14, 49, 56.
 - Edoni. Coin Finds. 20, 19.
- Eretria, Blanchet, J. Adrien. A Curious Eretrian Coin-type. 30, 69.
- Gortyna (Crete). Svoronos, Jean N. Britomartis, the So-called Europa on the Plane Tree of Gortyna; a monograph on certain Cretan Coins. Translation from Revue Belge de Numismatique. 29, 1, 33, 65.
- India? A Unique Gold Coin of Alexander the Great? 45, 21.
- ——— Istria. Butler, J. D. A Numismatical Critique—Cracks in John Bull's Crack Geography. 11, 38.
- Lydia. The Lydian Touchstone and Electrum Coins. 30, 106.
- Macedonia. Coin-Portrait of Alexander the Great. 19, 19.—Head, Barclay V. Early Macedonian Coins of the Pangaean District. 27, 27.—Newell, Edward T. Reattribution of Certain Tetradrachms of Alexander the Great. 45, 1, 37, 113, 194; 46, 22, 37, 109.
- man. Ancient Greek Coins. 34, 61, 93; 35, 1, 33, 65.
- Mantinea. Svoronos, Jean N. Ulysses on a Coin of Mantinea. 30, 33, 101.

 Messana, by Baron Pennisi de Floristella.
 42, 129.
- Pandosia. A Coin of Pandosia. 19, 92.—Lost Countries Found by Coins. 14, 94.
- ———— Peloponnesus. Greek Coins, Peloponnesus. 25, 71.
- Persia. Ancient Persian Coins. 4, 81.—"Darcics." 20, 66.—Early Persian Art as Displayed on Coins. 19, 6.
- Sardanapalus? Tetradrachin of Sardanapalus. 24, 33.
- Seleucids. Seltman, E. J. Re-attribution of a Seleucid Tetradrachm. 47, 121.
- Sicily. Benson, Frank Sherman. Ancient Greek Coins. 37, 97; 38, 33; 39, 1, 29, 93.—Coins of Ancient Sicily. 12, 81.

- Sicyon Remarks Jupon an Coin of Sicyon in Achaia. 10, 61.
- Symbols. Baldwin, Agnes. Symbolism on Greek Coins. 49, 89.
- Syracuse. Benson, Frank Sherman. Ancient Greek Coins. 35, 93; 36, 1, 33, 65, 97; 37, 1, 33.—Coins of Syracuse. 10, 59.
- Syria. Lamb, William Eliot. A Description of four Syrian Coins shown to the Boston Numismatic Society. 6, 25.
- Tesserae. Phillips, Henry, Jr. Early Grecian Tokens. 17, 8.
- Tokens. Phillips, Henry, Jr. Early Grecian Tokens. 17, 8.—Theatre Tickets, Ancient Greek. 34, 68.
- Thrace. Thracian Coin-Types. 34, 38.
- Types. Baldwin, Agnes. Symbolism on Greek Coins. 49, 89. See also "Index to Proceedings.'

- Greek and Roman. Some Ancient Coins. 15,
- GREEN, SAMUEL A. Origin of E Pluribus Unum. 5, 27.
- GRESHAM, THOMAS. Thomas Gresham and his Law. 32, 69.
- Groh, Edward. (Correspondence relating to the Belleville, N. J., store cards). **2,** 43—Obituary. 39, 91. See also "Index to Proceedings.

- Groier Club Exhibition, The. 27, 92.
 GROTE, HERMANN. Obituary. 30, 30.
 GRUEBER, HERBERT A. The Coinage of Rome. 19, 7, 30.—The Use and Nature of Roman Medallions. 13, 42.
- Guatemala. See Latin-America, Proclamation Pieces.
- Medals. Guatemala Fisheries Medal. 40, 63.
- Gun Money. See England-James II, Hiber-

Hague

Holland

H

- HAGUE, JAMES D. The Drake Medal. 42, 134. -Obituary. **42**, 143.
- HALE, EDWARD EVERETT. The Value of a Year. 6, 81.
- HALL, THOMAS. Obituary. 43, 139.
- Hallenberg, Jonas. Obituary. 15, 94.
- Hawaiian Islands. King Kalakaua's Coins. 18, 24.-Marvin, W. T. R. The Coins of Hawaii. 18, 41.
- HAYDEN, HORACE EDWIN. Another Numismatic Coincidence. 14, 18.—Fields for Numismatic Research. 20, 13.—Indian Peace Medals. 9, 7.—Soldiers' Medals of West Virginia. 10,
- HAYS, WILLIAM WALLACE. Obituary. 34, 29.
- Haytien Medal, The (to Charles Sumner).-6,
- HAZLITT, W. C. The Coinage of Portugal. 42,
- HEAD, BARCLAY V. Ancient Greek Coins. 18, 25, 49, 73.—Early Macedonian Coins of the Pangaean District. 27, 27.—Ephesus and its Coinage. Reprint from the 'Saturday Review.' 15, 26.
- HEATH, GEORGE FRANCIS. Obituary. 42, 154.

- HEBREW DEDICATION MEDAL. 42, 76.
- HEBREW INFLUENCE ON ANCIENT COINAGE, by H. G. Wood. 42, 47.
- HENFREY, HENRY W. The Canterbury Coins of Edward I, II, and III. 14, 88.—English Two Penny Pieces. 10, 95.—Lord Baltimore's Maryland Coinage. 9, 34.
- HERCULES AND THE PYGMIES, by Robert Mowat. 35, 72.
- Hess, Alois. Obituary. 28, 75.
- HEWITT, ROBERT. American Coins, address delivered before American Numismatic and Archeological Society, June 28th, 1866. 1, 20.
- HILDRETH, CHARLES L. Art Work on Coins. 20,
- Hoffman, Jean Henri. Obituary. 32, 29.
- HOLLAND, H. W. Centennial Medals. 10, 62; 11, 14; 12, 71, 100; 13, 31.
- Holland. Dordrecht Dollars. 2, 35.—(Groningen) A German Coin. 22, 50.
- Medals. (America) Parsons, George M. Medals Relating to the Colonies of England in North America, Struck in Europe. 23, 1, 31.—(America, Relating to). Date of a Dutch-American Medal. (Betts 16). 33,

99.—(America, Relating to) Dutch-American Medalsw. 31, 251, 251 (Comes Wilh.) Medal of Amsterdam. 13, 102.—Diplomatic Medal of the Netherlands. 14, 85.—("Flavit Jehovah") Armada Medals. 9, 64.—The Leeuwarden Medal, 1782. 45, 165.—Prince of Orange and Princess Anna, Jeton of the, (Marriage of). 30, 94.—A Revolutionary (U. S.) Peace Medal. 26, 18.—West Frisian Admiralty, Medals of the. 31, 25.

HOLLINGSWORTH, W. WHARTON. See "Index to Proceedings."

Holmes, Henry A. Obituary. 22, 74.

Holway, E. W. Roman Family Coins. Antonia Gens. 16, 51.

Hong-Kong. The New Dollar for English Colonies in the East. 30, 37.

HULL, JOHN. The Coiner of the Pine Tree Shillings. 27, 49.

Iconography Indian

I

Iconography. Allusive Symbols of Ancient Coins. 27, 20.—Baldwin, Agnes. Symbolism on Greek Coins. 49, 89.—The Cross on Coins. 9, 35.—The "Emblem of Liberty" on our (U. S.) Coins. 41, 75.—The Figures of Saints upon Coins. 40, 65, 95; 41, 23.—I.H.S. on a Rupee of Kashmir. 31, 27.-Morris, Robert. Some Ancient Devices. 21, 35 .-Phillips, Henry, Jr. Head-dresses Exhibited on Ancient Coins. 16, 16.—Stars and Constellations on Coins. 27, 30.—Symbolism on Eastern Coins. 21, 40.—Svoronos, Jean N. On the Signification of Certain Ancient Monetary Types. Translation from Bull. de Corr. Hellenique. 29, 76, 115; 30, 1.

IDLER, WILLIAM. Obituary. 36, 30. India. Aurungzebe, Gold Coin of. 8, 45.— India One-Anna Piece. 42, 51.—The Indian Rupee. 18, 83.-Maria Theresa Thalers and East India Rupees. 38, 28.—Oriental Coins. 20, 82.—Shiells, Robert. Kashmere Rupee. 28, 45.—Tracy, James E. The Coins of India as Witnesses to Her History. 25, 57, 85.—Treasure Buried in India. 22, 46.—Tufnell, R. H. C. Oriental Coins, with special reference to those of Southern India. 22, 56, 77; 23, 7, 25, 49, 73; 24, 1.—Wood, Howland. Some Notes on a Set of Zodiacal Mohurs. 44, 122.—The Zodiac Rupees. 10, 83. See also "Index to Proceedings."

- East India Co. (British). Coins of the English East India Company under

Great Britain. 11, 90.

Indian Peace Medals. Adams Indian Medal 20, 41.—American Indian Medals. 38, 98; 39, 13, 107.—(Betts 410). Restrike of an Indian Medal of George II. 32, 90.—Betts, Benjamin. American Fur Company's Indian Medals. 32, 4.—British Indian Medals. 31,

7.—Brock, R. A. Indian Medals. 21, 88.— The Canadian "Indian Chief's" Medal. 29, 59.—Cleveland, E. J. The King George I Indian Medal. 26, 83.—Hayden, Horace E. Fields for Numismatic Research. 20, 13.-Hayden, Horace Edwin. Indian Peace Medals. 9, 7.—Historical Relics of Olden Times. 10, 54.—The "Honos et Virtus" Medal. (Betts 160). 29, 93, 120.—An Indian Medal. 19, 87; 42, 156.—An Indian Medal. (Repr. from La Hontans Travels in N. America, 1689). 8, 81.—Indian Medal of President Munroe. 36.—Indian War Medal of New Jersey. 16.—The John Adams Medal. 13, 92.— L'Arbre Croche Indians. 31, 78.—McLachlan, R. W. Notes on the British-Indian Medal of Haldimand. 32, 55.—The Maker of the Montreal Indian Medal by R. W. Mc-Lachlan. 43, 155.—Medal for Indians. (1753). 6, 98.—Medals issued to Canadian Indians. Reprint from Montreal Herald articles by R. W. McLachlan. 35, 57 .- (Monroe James) Indian Medal of President Munroe. 10, 36.—The Montreal Indian Medal. 18, 84. -The Montreal Indian Medal; a consideration of the Rev. Dr. W. M. Beauchamp's theory as to its probable date. 39, 107.—An Old ludian Medal. 12, 48.—Old Medals found in Philadelphia. 14, 91.— Pamunky Indian Medal. 10, 86.—A Presidential Medal given to an Indian. 31, 20.—The Red Jacket Medal. 25, 102.—Red Jacket's Medal. 20, 25; 21, 44.—Red Jacket Medals. 31, 84.—Reward of Merit to an Indian Chief. (The "Andrew Johnson" medal). 1, 45.—Some Unnoticed Indian Medals. 41, 96.—Virginia Indian Medal or Badge. 5, 82.—Washington-Indian Medal. 36, 64. See also "Index to Proceedings."

International CoinagelibAoCosmopolitan Coin. 34, 59.—International Coinage. 1, 65; 2, 94; 7, 86; 8, 22, 42; 10, 17; 13, 68.—A New Monetary Unit. 10, 17.

International Congress of Numismatists. **----**. **43,** 30, 59; **44,** 163.

International Numismatic Congress. 25, 102. International Numismatic Congress at Brussels (1891). 26, 25. International Numismatic Congress (Paris,

1900). 35, 75.

Introductions. Champion, Henry. Origin and History of Coined Money. 8, 49.—Frank, Joseph Sales. The Uses of Medieval and Modern Coins. 9, 74; 10, 12. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Ireland. (James II issues as explanation of origin of the term) "Humbug." 4, 12.—
"Vox Populi" Halfpenny. 4, 12. Wood's

Halfpence. 20, 46.

- Medals. (Liberators) "Order of Liberators." 5, 92. (Query); 7, 20.

aly. Italian Money. 37, 110.—The New (1909) Italian Coins. 43, 32.—A Royal Collector. 42, 26.—(Silver Coinage of). Under Editorial. 28, 51.—The Trivulzio Thaler. 25, 31.

- Colonies. African Coins. 25, 46.

- Medals. Attila, Medal of, King of the Huns. 11, 7. Carrara Medals. 10, 94.

The Carrara Medals. 14, 65.

Cavino, Giovanni-Richard H. Lawrence. Extract from pamphlet. 18, 80.

Centennial of the Cabinet di Brera. 42, 131. Emperor of Germany, Medal for. 10, 80. Francia as a Medallist. 25, 71.

(Humbert, King). The Italian Medals of King Humbert, and Woman's Work. 37,

(Humbert, King). Recent Italian Medals of King Humbert. 36, 94.

International Postal Union, Medals of. 41,

(Marcus Sesto) The Oldest of Modern Medals, and its connection with the "Carraria" Series. 13, 4.

(Milan Exposition). Medals of the Inter-

national Exposition at Milan. 41, 20. (Pisano, Niccolo) Appleton, W. S. Italian Medals. 17, 81.

(Printers of America). An Italo-American Medal. 31, 43.

(Renaissance) Appleton, W. S. The Italian

Medals. 17, 81. (Renaissance) The Italian Medallists and their Works. (Review of Fabriczy's volume.) 39, 51.

(St. Charles Borromeo). Storer, H. R. The Medals of St. Charles Borromeo. 23, 10.

Savonarola, Medals of. 25, 41. A Telephone Medal. 41, 52.

"To the Sons of Gutenberg in America" Typographic Medal. 11, 56.

(Verdi, G.) Another Verdi Medal. 41, 76. Verdi, Guiseppe, The Medals of. 40, 1.

- Milan. Storer, Horatio R. The Medals of St. Charles Borromeo, Cardinal Archbishop of Milan. 23, 10.

- Mints. Austrian and Italian Mint Marks. 41, 88.

- Venice. Dandolo Coin behind St. Mark's Mosiac, Venice. 41, 25.-Medals given by the Government of Venice to English Ambassadors. 22, 46.

Jackson

Joseph

J

Jackson, Andrew. President Andrew Jackson's Collection of Coins. 27, 34.—Query by W. S. Appleton. 5, 32.

Japan. Chinese and Japanese Collectors. 44, 35.—Japanese Coins. 9, 9.—Japanese Gold. 25, 83.—Japanese Money. 7, 32; 10, 33, 78.— Japanese Paper Money. 14, 65.—The New (1898) Japanese Coinage. 32, 79.—A Rare Japanese Coin. 16, 43.—The Silver Mint of Japan. 6, 63; 13, 90. See also "Index to Proceedings."

- Medals. A Japanese Medal. 28, 47. -Wood, Howland. Japanese Medal on the Annexation of Corea. 45, 193.-Wood, Howland. Modern Japanese War Medals and Badges. **45**, 125.—Wood, Howland. Three Japanese Medals. **44**, 168.

- Mints. Assaying Japanese Coins. 18, 46.—Japan Mint. 9, 36.

Java. See "Index to Proceedings."

JEFFERSON, THOMAS. Copper Coinage; a paper communicated to the House of Representatives, April 15, 1790. 26, 3.

JEWISH COINS AND MEDALS. — 18, 83; 19, 20, 43. See also Biblical and Early Christian.

Joseph, Frank John. Obituary. 30, 30.

K

Kashmir. I.H.S. on a Rupee of Kashmir. 31, 27.

KEARY, C. F. Coinage of the British Islands. 19, 88; 20, 9, 30, 57.—The Coinage of Christian Europe. 19, 35, 54.—English Coins of the Commonwealth and Cromwell. 18, 31.

KHAYAT, AZEEZ. See "Index to Proceedings."

Kirsch, Theodore. Obituary. 45, 211.

(Kittel, Johan.) The Engraver of the Century Plant Medal-Dies. 42, 84 Knights Hospitallers of St. John of Jerusalem.
Morris, Robert. Coins of the Grand Masters of the Order of Knights Hospitallers of St. John of Jerusalem. 17, 31, 49, 73; 18, 1.
KOWARCZIK, JOSEF. Obituary. 45, 78.

Kruger, Paul (Medals of). Oom Paul at Lyons. 36, 30.

Kunz, George Frederick. Louis Oscar Roty: His Life Work and His Influence on the Past and Future of Medallic Art. 45, 153; 47, 93. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Ladd

Lee

L

Ladd's Doubloon Coinage. See "Index to Proceedings."

Lafayette, Medals of. Medals of Lafayette. 6, 1, 30, 59; 7, 52, 84; 9, 4; 28, 73.

LAGERBERG, JULIUS DE. Medals by Godefroid Devreese. 43, 50.—A Swedish-Catholic Memory in England; medals of St. Birgitta and her daughter, St. Catharina. 43, 15.—A Theory as to the Origin of the Symbol of the Three Crowns on Swedish Coins. 43, 52.

LAMB, WILLIAM ELIOT. A Description of four Syrian Coins shown to the Boston Numismatic Society. 6, 25.

Lane-Poole, Stanley. Mohammedan Coins. 20, 49.

Latin America. Allusions to the Argonauts on Spanish-American Medals. 41, 81.—Bastow, J. W. Further Notes on Spanish-American Silver Coins. 30, 19.—Brevoort, J. C. Early Spanish and Portuguese Coinage in America. 16, 1, 25, 49, 73; 17, 25; 18, 82; 19, 65.—Central and South American Numismatics. 39, 86.—Cob Money (Under Query and Reply.) 3, 32; 5, 72.—Coinage at Popayan, South America. 31, 116.—Counterfeit, Curious Spanish. 30, 62.—An Early "Florida" Medal. 38, 9, 51.—A New (1885) Central American Coin. 20, 18.—Smith, Samuel, Jr. The Silver Coins

and the Mints of Spanish-America; from the introduction of the type with the bust of the King in 1772 to 1825. 29, 101.—Some Undescribed American Medals. (The Ulex Cabinet). 42, 68.—Some Undescribed Spanish-American Pieces. 40, 93.—The Spanish Milled Dollars. 26, 39.—Williams, Harry F. The Gold Coinage of Latin America. 48, 73.—See also Proclamation Pieces.

— Counterstamps. Wood, Howland. Some Curious Counterstamps. 37, 25.

Latin (Monetary) Union, Coinage of the, in 1908. ———. 44, 66.

Law, John, Medals of. ———. 40, 80; 41, 1, 37, 59, 89.—Adrien-Blanchet, M. J. An Unnoticed Jeton of John Law and its Date. 43, 103.

LAWRENCE, RICHARD HOE. Giovanni Cavino. Extract from Pamphlet. 18, 80.—Numismatic Books Printed at the Aldine Press. 14, 32. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Leather Medals. ———. 12, 79; 13, 11.

LEAVITT, GEORGE A. Obituary. 23, 70.

Lee, Francis Bazley. See "Index to Proceedings."

-The Hog Money of the Somers Islands.

LEMAIRE, V. How did the Ancients Strike their Coins? 26, 73; 27, 1.

LEON. N. Undescribed Mexican Tokens. 37, 29. Lepers' Marks, The. --- 44, 178.

Levick, Joseph N. T. Description of the "Hard Times Tokens" of '37, furnished by J. N. T. Levick, from his own collection. 4, 91.-Reminiscences of Coin-collecting. 3, 55, 63. -A table exhibiting the prices paid for the five types of the 1793 cents of U.S., selected from coin sales. 1855-68. 3, 47, 84, 92.

LEWIS, WINSLOW. Address on resigning the Presidency of the Boston Numismatic Society, Jan. 5, 1865. 1, 11, 21.—Obituary. 10, 45.

LIGONIER. A Rare English Medal of 1690. 29. LILLARD, JOHN B. See "Index to Proceedings."

Lincoln, Abraham (Medals of). The French Lincoln Medal. 2, 10.-Hayden, Horace Edwin. Another Numismatic Coincidence. 14, 18.—The Lincoln Medal. 1, 5.—Lincoln Medal. 6, 42; 9, 59, 83; 29, 50, 96; Lincoln Medal. (Little of the control Medals, (Letter of A. C. Zabriskie). 7, 43.—New (1909) Lincoln Medals. 43, 22.— The Zearing Columbus and Lincoln Medals. 28, 89. See also "Index to Proceedings."

LINDERMAN, HENRY R. Death of. 14, 26.

LONGACRE, JAMES B. Death of .- Meeting at the Mint. 3, 72.

LONGPERIER, HENRY ADRIEN PREVOST DE. Obituary. 16, 96.

LOUBAT, JOSEPH FLORIMOND. Endowment to Academy of Inscriptions and Belles Lettres. 25, 108.—Honors to an American Numismatist. Last paragraph. 42. 40.—The Loubat Prizes. 37, 85.

Lovett, George H. (Eighth Centennial Medals). Under "Editorial." 10, 96.—Obituary. 28,

Low, Lyman Haynes. Canadian Service Medals. 22, 23.—Coinage of the Mexican Revolutionary General Morelos. 21, 17; 29, 10.—Hard Times Tokens. 33, 15, 48, 81, 118; 34, 17, 47; 35, 13.—Hard Times Tokens—a Supplementary Chapter. 40, 103.—Numismatic Notes. 21, 92; 22, 19.—Some Observations upon the Counterfeiting of Coins and Medals. 30, 10.

Lucky Pennies. — 6, 43; 31, 52.

Luther, Martin (Medals of). An "Augsburg Confession" Medal. 6, 7.—A Martin Luther Medal. 13, 35.—Medal of Martin Luther. 20, 23.—New Luther Commemoration Medal. 15, 21.—Scadding, Canon. Metallic Records of Luther. 21, 83; 22, 9.

Lyon, Caleb. The Olden Time of Lewis County, (N.Y.). 5, 39.

McLachlan

Marriage

M

McLachlan, Robert Wallace. Artistic and historic medals struck in Canada in the year 1915. 49, 195.—Canadian Numismatics, French Regime. 14, 41; 15, 3, 33, 53, 79; 16, 5, 33, 57, 81; 17, 9, 39, 58, 84; 18, 16, 32, 54.— The Copper Tokens of Upper Canada. 49, 73.—A Glastonbury Penny of 1812 described as "A Baltimore Penny" of 1628. 19, 52; 20, 93.—The Maker of the Montreal Indian Medal. 43, 155.—New (1886) Canadian Coins. 20, 69.—Notes on the British-Indian Medal of Haldimand. 32, 55.—See also "Index to Proceedings."

MACNEIL, HERMON ATKINS. The Designers of the New (1916) Silver Coinage. 49, 210. MADDEN, FREDERIC WILLIAM. Obituary. 39, 119. Malta, Coinage of Knights of. (Knights of St.

John). Coins under Foundation Stones-Black Money. 11, 6.—Morris, Robert. Coins of the Grand Masters of the Order of Knights Hospitallers of St. John of Jerusalem. 17, 31, 49, 73; 18, 1.

Man, Isle of. Currency of Isle of Man. (Review of Clay's work on.) 4, 65.—Sir John Evans on the Coinage of the Isle of Man. 34, 120.—The Triquetra. 33, 131; 34, 29.

MARCOU, JULES. Medal Commemorative of

American Independence or Diplomatic Medal. 9, 78.

Maris, Edward. Immunis Columbia. A New Variety. 20, 40.—A New Jersey Cent. 4, 39.—Obituary. 35, 29.—Two Coppers: Not New Jersey Cents. 17, 64.

Marriage Medals. 23, 70.

MARSCHALL, RUDOLPH. ———. 45, 26.

MARSHALL, Consider Coins and Coinage. (Letter replying to queries of W. E. Stearns). 7, 37.

Martinique. A Martinique Token. 33, 125.

MARVIN, WILLIAM T. R. Biography by Elizabeth Eliot Marvin Wood. 47, 167.—The Botetourt Medal of William and Mary College. 30, 17, 51, 114.—The Coins of Hawaii. 18, 41.—The Phipps-Albemarle Medals. 46, 117.—A Group of Mexican Medals. 25, 33.—Henry Jernagan's Silver Cistern. 18, 91.—Masonic Medals. 10, 73; 11, 1, 25, 47, 61, 76; 12, 4, 29, 61, 89; 13, 5, 39, 57, 79; 14, 7, 36, 61; 22, 68, 90; 23, 16, 41, 63, 90; 24, 18, 42, 65, 97; 25, 23, 49, 64, 103; 26, 12, 42, 65, 86; 27, 15, 43, 66, 91; 28, 17, 41, 71, 93; 29, 24, 54, 92, 129; 30, 22, 56, 95, 115; 31, 22, 53, 85, 117; 32, 25, 82, 111; 33, 27, 64, 126; 34, 55, 85, 119; 35, 25, 60, 89, 118; 36, 28, 93; 37, 26, 60, 87, 123; 38, 21, 52, 86, 109; 39, 53; 40, 51, 76, 109; 41, 25, 53, 78; 44, 139. -A Mexican Masonic. 21, 70.-The Money of Folly and its Origin. 33, 101; 34, 1, 33.— Neokor Medals. 17, 1.—Notes on Contorniate Medals and their Purpose. 31, 29.—An Old Printer's Medal. 16, 84.—A Schleswig Holstein Medal. 15, 31. See also "Index to Proceedings.'

Mason, Eben. Obituary. 36, 95.

Masonic. Alchemical or Masonic. 26, 21.-Centennial Masonic Medal. 19, 18.—A Curious Rosicrucian Medal. 30, 85.—(Exhibition of Masonic Antiquities at Shanklin, Isle of Wight, 1887). 21, 72.—The Hamburg Work on Masonic Medals. 37, 8.—(Hungarian Masonics). 29, 100.—Interesting to Masons. 2, 5.—"La Fidele Maconne." 32, 120.—Marvin, William T. R. Masonic Medals. 10, 73; 11, 1, 25, 47, 61, 76; 12, 4, 29, 61, 89; 13, 5, 39, 57, 79; 14, 7, 36, 61; 22, 68, 90; 23, 16, 41, 63, 90; 24, 18, 42, 65, 97; 25, 23, 49, 64, 103; 26, 12, 42, 65, 86; 27, 15, 43, 66, 91; 28, 17, 41, 71, 93; **29**, 24, 54, 92, 129; **30**, 22, 56, 95, 115; 31, 22, 53, 85, 117; 32, 25, 82, 111; 33, 27, 64, 126; 34, 55, 85, 119; 35, 25, 60, 89, 118; 36, 28, 93; 37, 26, 60, 87, 123; 38, 21, 52, 86, 109; 39, 53; 40, 51, 76, 109; 41, 25, 53, 78; 44, 139.— Marvin, William T. R. A Mexican Masonic. 21, 70.-Masonic Emblems on Continental Money. 26, 64.—Masonic Medal, (Under "Notes and Queries.") 10, 94.-Masonic Medals. 3, 49; 15, 24.—Masonic Numismatics. 10, 30.—The "Mexican Martyrs" Masonics. 30, 46, 124.—New Medals, (Under "Editorial.") 13, 24.—The Oldest Masonic Medal. 35, 24.—The Sackville Masonic Medal. 25, 105.—Temple of Honor Medal. 13, 47.—A Washington Masonic. 24, 21. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Massamore, George W. Obituary. 32, 119.

Materials. "Barton's Metal." 25, 105.—Coal Money (from Smedmore, Dorsetshire). 2, 31.—"Cometal" Coins. 20, 93.—Leather "Medals." 12, 79; 13, 11.—Materials for Coins. 40, 110.—A Medal of Tin. 26, 68.—Metals Proposed for Token Coins. 28, 81.—Nickel and its Uses. 27, 60.—Nickel vs. Bronze. 17, 8.—Platinum Coins. 13, 29; 37, 75.—Platinum, The Scarcity of. 41, 98.—Russian Platinum Coins. 13, 76.—Salt Cakes as Current Coins. 13, 56.—Silver-Plated Coins. 12, 42.—Some Things about Aluminum. 27, 89.—Stone Money. 27, 17.—Under Editorial—last paragraph. 26, 72.—Where does the bullion go? 10, 84.—A Wooden Medallion. 14, 87.

Mayas. Thruston, Gates P. Archaeological The Ancient Peoples of America. 22, 14.

Medals—(General). Century Plant Medal-Dies, The Engraver of the. 42, 84.—The Century Plant Medals. 40, 57.—(Christians) Early Medals of the Saviour. 24, 44.—The Development of Numismatic Art. 44, 56.— Europe, A Note of the Revival of Medallic Art in. 37, 91.—The Grolier Club Exhibition. 27, 92.—History of Medals. 11, 94.—The Interest in Medals. 37, 63.—Leather Medals. (Under "Notes and Queries"). 12, 79; 13, 11.— Marriage Medals. 23, 70.—The Medallic Exhibition of The American Numismatic Society. 44, 54.—A Medallic Exposition—a Suggestion. 38, 115.—Medallic Skits. 33, 97.— (Medicine) Storer, Horatio R. Medals, Jetons and Tokens Illustrative of the Science of Medicine. 23, 59, 82; 24, 12, 37, 57, 92; 25, 16, 38, 73, 90; 26, 5, 30, 56, 78; 27, 7, 36, 55, 81; **28**, 10, 35, 59, 82; **29**, 12, 43, 87, 123; **30**, 5, 47, 77, 109; 31, 13, 44, 79, 107; 32, 12, 44, 73, 106; 33, 23, 59, 91, 122; 34, 22, 109; 35, 14, 48, 78, 107; **36**, 15, 45, 87, 107; **37**, 15, 43, 77, 112; **38**, 13, 44, 78, 104; **39**, 17, 42, 73, 113; **40**, 21, 45, 70, 98; 41, 13, 47, 70, 100; 42, 19, 56, 79, 144; 43, 24, 56, 110, 158; 44, 28, 67, 133, 166; 45, 27, 68, 158, 200; 46, 24, 70, 132, 181.—Mott, Henry. A Meddling with Medals, (in transactions of the Numismatic and Antiquarian Soc. of Montreal). 3, 78.—The Recent Development of Medallic Art. 42, 1.—St. Hubert, A Medieval Medal of. 32, 103.—(Under "Editorial"). 15, 96.—See also: Alchemists' Medals; Centenarians' Medals; Comets, Medals of; Masonic; Medical Medals; Numismatists' Medals; Satirical Medals; and "Index to Proceedings."

57, 92; 25, 16; 38; 73b90; 26; 55m30; n56, 78; 27, 7, 36, 55, 81; 28, 10, 35, 59, 82; 29, 12, 43, 87, 123; 30, 5, 47, 77, 109; 31, 13, 44, 79, 107; 32, 12, 44, 73, 106; 33, 23, 59, 91, 122; 34, 22, 109; 35, 14, 48, 78, 107; 36, 15, 45, 87, 107; 37, 15, 43, 77, 112; 38, 13, 44, 77, 104; 39, 17, 42, 73, 113; 40, 21, 45, 70, 98; 41, 13, 47, 70, 100; 42, 19, 56, 79, 144; 43, 24, 56, 110, 158; 44, 28, 67, 133, 166; 45, 27, 68, 158, 200; 46, 24, 70, 132, 181.—(Under "Editorial.") 15, 96.—Tasker-Nugent, William. Medical Medals. 22, 39. MELY, F. DE. The Silver Pieces of Judas in Medieval Tradition, (translation from Revue Numismatique). 34, 69. MERRY, HENRY CLAY. Obituary. 37, 95.

Metropolitan Museum of Art. New York Museum of Art. 7, 68.

Mexico. Bastow, J. W. The Coins of Morelos. 31, 56.—Bastow, J. W. Mexican Mints. 31, 105; 32, 17.—Bastow, J. W. Spanish American Coinage, Mexico. 31, 71.-Betts, Benjamin. Mexican Imperial Coinage. 33, 1, 43, 69, 108; 34, 7.—Brevoort, J. C. Early Spanish and Portuguese Coinage in America. **16**, 1, 25, 49, 73; 17, 25; **18**, 82; **19**, 65.—Cob Money. 21, 93.—Earliest Coinage of America. 2, 39.—The Late (1868) Mexican Coinage. 2, 10.—Low, Lyman Haynes. Coinage of the Mexican Revolutionary General Morelos. 21, 17; 29, 10.-Marvin, William T. R. A Mexican Masonic. 21, 70.—The Maximilian Dollar 13, 86.-Maximilian, His Coins and Medals; Reprint from "Numisma." 14, 15.—Maximilian's Mint. 1, 89.—The "Mexican Martyrs" Masonics. 30, 46, 124.—Mexican Mint Marks. 37, 14.—Mexican Twenty Cent Piece. 32, 24.—The New (1897) Mexican Coinage. 32, 32.—The New (1905) Mexican Coinage. 40, 56.-New (1883) Nickel Mexican Coinage. 17, 80.—Orbem ad Orbe Solvit. 33, 96.—The San Luis Potosi Mint. 29, 91.—(Soap Money). 26, 4.—Some Undescribed Mexican Pieces. 40, 34.-Thruston, Gates P. Archeological: The Ancient Peoples of America. 22, 14.—Undescribed Coins of Morelos. 34, 15.

Medals. (General Melgarejo.) A
Mexican Medal. 22, 50.—A Group of Mexican Medals. By William T. R. Marvin. 25,
33.—Maximilian, Medals of, and the Second
Mexican Empire. 41, 67.—Mexican Artisan's
Medal. 38, 28.

— Tokens. Hacienda Tokens. 26, 39.— Leon, N. Undescribed Mexican Tokens. 37, 28.

MICKLEY, JOSEPH J. (Biographical material under the caption of Correspondence.) 5, 84.—Death of. 12, 103. See also "Index to Proceedings."

MILLS, HENRY. Dimes and Dollars. 4, 94.

Mining, Medals and Tokens of. Jetons and Medals of the French Mines. 40, 11.

Mint Methods and Processes. An Ancient Greek Die. 42, 68 .-- The Bank of England Weighing Room. 9, 68.—The Birmingham Assay Office, Great Britain. 17, 87.—(Bogardus, J.) The Engraving Machine. 9, 22. -Bronze and Nickel Pieces. 11, 12.-Bullion at the Assay Office. 10, 44.—Champion, Henry. Origin and History of Coined Money. 8, 49.-The Coining Press for the New Trade Dollar. 8, 62.—"Cometal" Coins. 20, 93.—Composition of Roman Coins. 8, 61.— Destruction by the Government of its Dies. 27, 62.—Dubois, Patterson. Some Reflections on Numismatics and Mintage. 16, 85.—Ely, Talfourd. The Process of Coining as seen in a Wall-painting at Pompeii. 31, 1, 60, 92.— English Hammered Silver Coins. 15, 39.— Gold Running to Waste. 26, 9.—How did the Ancients Strike their Coins, by M. V. Lemaire, (Translation from Revue Belge de Numismatique). 26, 73; 27, 1.—How Dies are Made. 22, 99.—How Money is Coined. 10, 59.—How Nickels and Pennies are Made. 24, 95.—How Silver is Prepared for Coining. 26, 45.—Increase in Use of Nickel for Coinage. 35, 114.—"Milling" and "Reeding" of a Coin. 26, 22.—The New (1912) Medal-Striking Machine in the French Mint. 46, 149.-New Nickel Coinage, (German, with comparison with use of nickel by ancients.) 8, 92.—Nickel and its Uses. 27, 60.—Patination of Medals. 44, 144.—Pix, The Trial of the. 1, 35; 37, 13.—Recent Improvements at the French Mint. 44, 50.—Recoining our worn-out silver. 38, 91.—Seltman, E. J. Roman Mint Pictured in the House of the Vetii. 33, 86, 101; 34, 13, 60, 92.—"Sil-Nic-Cop. 10, 53.—Silver-Plated Coins. 12, 42.—Washed Paper Money. 26, 20.—What is a Nickel? 16, 31.—See also "Index to Proceedings."

Mints. Mint-masters and Mint-marks. 38, 27. Mionnet Scale. Coin Scales. 22, 97.

MITCHELSON, JOSEPH C. Obituary. 45, 208.

Mohammedan—Abassid. Cufic Coin of Haroun Al Raschid. 9, 38.

— General. Lane-Poole, Stanley. Mohammedan Coins. 20, 49.

Monaco. Unique Coin of Monaco. 34, 90.

Monkeys as Coin-Testers. ——. 26, 11.

Montreal Numismatic and Antiquarian Society. For Proceedings of this Society see "Transactions of Societies" in Index. 4, 100, iv.

Moore, Michael. Obituary. 23, 23.

Morocco. History Repeating Itself. 40, 28.

Morris, Robert. The Bible and Coin-study. 7. 88.—Coins vo (vthich Employer or Degius. 7, 53; 8, 16.—The Coins Minted at Jerusalem. 17, 3.— Coins of the Grand Masters of the Order of Knights Hospitallers of St. John of Jerusalem. 17, 31, 49, 73; 18, 1.—The Coins of Rome. 8, 10.—The Goddess Moneta. 13, 88. —Happiness, Numismatically Illustrated. 16, 53.—The Liberty Cap on American Coins. 13, 52.—A Mass of Coins from the Debris of Oriental Cities. 7, 13.-Money of the Crusaders. 18, 60.—The Moneys of Bible Times. 16, 78.—A Noble Testimony to Numismatic Science. 11, 31.—Obituary. 23, 46.—Portraits and History in Coins. 12, 20.—Some Ancient Devices. 21, 35.—Some Coins of Characene. 22, 11.—Trading for Coins among the Arabs. 7, 33.—The Various Coin-Metres. 11, 53.-Venus, Numismatically Rendered. 13, 77.—Visit to the Medal Room of the British Museum. 13, 65.

Mottos. "E Pluribus Unum," Origin of. 5, 27.—"E Pluribus Unum," by William E. Du Bois. 13, 49.—"In God We Trust." 26, 85.—
"In God We Trust," The Motto. 35, 116.—

Latin Inscriptions and Legends. 32, 31.—
"Millions for Defense, not One Cent for Tribute." 40, 26.—Mottos on Coins. 41, 36.
—Mottos and Legends on English Coins. 6, 61.—"Parva ne Pereant." 13, 68.—Some Curious Legends. 28, 55.—"Unus Non Sufficit Orbis," the Inscription, and Geographical Medals in general. 5, 49.—"Unus Non Sufficit Orbis." 27, 71.

Mound Builders' Stone Money. Our aboriginal coinage. 4, 42; 18, 82.

Mowat, Robert. Hercules and the Pygmies. Reprint from Revue Numismatique. 35, 72.

Muling. Appleton, W. S. Mules and Muling, 15, 85.—Counterfeits and Mules. 30, 45.—On Muling Coins. 1, 49.

MULLER, CARL LUDWIG. Obituary. 26, 71.

Muscat. Wood, Howland. The Coinage of Muscat and Oman. 46, 130.

Museology. Cooper-Prichard, A. H. Proposed Arrangement of a Catalogue of Coins. 45, 157.—Storer, Horatio R. The Mounting of Coins for Public Collections. 21, 58.

Mutilation of Coins. Mutilated Coins. 16, 29.

Nahuys

Nomenclature

N

NAHUYS, MAURIN DE. Obituary. 30, 31.

Napoleon (I,) Medals of. "Elba Medal." (Caricature Medalet.) 7, 85.

Neokoroi. See Rome—Types—Architectural.

Netherlands (Modern). Mint-masters and Mint-marks. 38, 27.—New (1899) Coinage for the Netherlands. 34, 46.—The Recent (1911) Coinage of Holland. 45, 208.

— (Modern) Medals. A Medallic Exposition: a suggestion. 38, 115.—Princess of Holland, A Medal for the. 43, 109.—Remembrandt, Tercentenary Medal of. 40, 97.—Wilhelmina, Anniversary Medal of. 33, 117.—Wilhelmina of Holland, Medals of. 33, 96.

- Tokens. See "Index to Proceedings."

New England Numismatic and Archaeological Society. For Proceedings of this Society see "Transactions of Societies" in 1ndex. 4, 100, iv.

New York Historical Society. Archæology. (An account of their Egyptian and Assyrian collections.) 7, 45.

Newby, Mark. See U. S.—Colonial—Newby Coppers.

Newell, Edward T. The Gold Medallions of Abukir. 44, 128.—Reattribution of Certain Tetradrachms of Alexander the Great. 45, 1, 37, 113, 194; 46, 22, 37, 109.—Some Rarc or Unpublished Greek Coins. 48, 61.

Nexsen, John A. The 1804 Dollar. 25, 98; 39, 102.

NICHOLS, CHARLES P. Obituary. 40, 54.—Medal to be Presented to California Volunteers for Services in Spanish-American War. 34, 28.

NIES, JAMES B. See "Index to Proceedings."

Nomenclature. Amulcts, Ancient. 34, 74.— The Arrhae or Tokens of Spousage; Reprint from The Messenger. 41, 31.—"Barton's Metal." 25, 105.—"Black Doggs." 22, 96; 23, 47; 24, 45; 31, 91.—"Bungtown." 36, 94.— Bungtown Coppers, Origin of the Name. 33, 67.—(Box-Medals). Salzburg and the Protestant Emigration Medals. 32, 93; 33, 32.— Bracteates. 40, 80.—(Carapace) Ramsden, H. A. Early Chinese Metallic Currency, Carapace Money. 45, 70.—Cent, The First Use of the Word. 15, 77.—Champion, Henry. Numismatic Philology. 7, 79.—(Colon) Appleton, Nathan. A New Coin Suggested. 28, 47.—(Conant) "Conants." 38, 60.—"Conob" (on Byzantine Coins.) 17, 5.—Cow-money and Staters. 30, 63.—Cuttings from Old Newspapers. 14, 102.—Dagger Money. 39, 119.—(Dam) Not Worth a Dam. 25, 47.— "Dennis Macarthy (blacksmith) penny, 32.—(Dewarra) Shell Currency of New Britain. 39, 22.—Dog Dollars. 28, 48.—"Dogs." 37, 30.—Dollar, Origin of the Name. 9, 61.—(Dollar-mark) Origin of the Dollar Mark. 6, 56.—(Drachm and Obolus) Dechelette, Joseph. The Origins of the Drachm and Obolus. (Translation.) 46, 27, 50.—Ducats. 3, 1.—Farthings. 16, 65.—Gems used as Money. 30, 76, 108.—Frey, Albert R. A Dictionary of Numismatic Names; their official and popular designations. 50, 1.—
"Guinea," Origin of the. 5, 10; 11, 11.—
Guinea, The Story of the. 30, 52.—Guinea, What is a? 11, 11.—Guineas. 40, 77.—Hacienda Tokens. 26, 39.—(Heads and Tails.) "Heads I Win, Tails You Lose." 25, 80.— "Hook Money." 19, 78.—"Humbug." (James II issues as explanation of origin of the term.) 4, 13.—(Iron Money) African Money. 24, 47.—Keary, C. F. The Coinage of Christian Europe. 19, 35, 54.— ("Long bits") Money in the Bahrein Islands, Persian Gulf. 25, 18.—(Maille). Query— Names of Coins. 17, 22.—Massas, Copper, of Ceylon, by George F. Black. 37, 41.—(Medal.) Under Editorial. 25, 84.—Mereau, What is a? 40, 28.—"Milling" and "Reeding" of a Coin. 26, 22.—Mite, The Widow's. 15, 40.—The Word "Money." 7, 47.—Morris, Robert. The Goddess Moneta. 13, 88.—"Neokoroi." 7, 57; 14, 33.—Obolos, Origin of the Word. 44, 24.—The Old Scottish Merk. 22, 67.— The Origin of £ s. d. 16, 87.—(Pardaos) Money in the Bahrein Islands, Persian Gulf. 25, 18,—(Pax) The Coinage of the Norman Kings of England and the Word "Pax." 28, 21.—(Pieforts). Weber, F. Parkes. Analogy between "Pieforts" and Roman Bronze Medallions. 31, 4.—(Pile) "Heads I Win, Tails You Lose." 25, 80.—"Pin Money." 27, 61.— Pound Sterling, What is a? 20, 37.—Pounds Scotch. 16, 55.—(Rap) "Not Worth a Rap." 24, 64.—"Redeemer Coins." 26, 84.—(Reeding) Milling and Reeding of a Coin. 26, 20. -The Shekel. 11, 41.—(Shilling) American Shillings. 24, 47.—Slang (Names for "money.") 16, 70.—Smoke Farthings (Peter's pence.) 38, 30.—(Soap Money.) 26, 4.—
"Sprinkle" Dollars. 30, 84.—"Sterling,"
Origin of. 6, 45.—Stone Money. 27, 17.— (Stone Money). Numismata Solida. 21, 86. -(Tallies). Wooden Money in England. 28, 24.—Tea used as Money. 41, 79.—Terms Used in Describing Coins. 24, 34.—(Towilah) Money in the Bahrein Islands, Persian Gulf. 25, 18.—Types and Varieties by Edmund F. Slafter. 5, 51.—Under Numismatic Notes. 43, 139.-Wooden Money in England. 28, 24.

NORTON, CHARLES E. Greek Coins for Studying

the Fine Arts. 16, 29.
NORTON, FRANK H. Numismatics and Archaeology considered as Aids to History. 1, 5. Nourse, Benjamin F. Obituary. 21, 72.

Numismatic Poems. ——. 2, 107; 3, 27, 67; 4, 7, 15, 55, 81.—Numismatics in Poetry. 2, 61; 3, 35, 45.

Numismatist's Medals. Medals and Jetons of Numismatists. 4, 9.

Obituaries

Ovid

Obituaries. See under name and also Obituaries in "Index to Proceedings."

OLCOTT, GEORGE N. Notes on Roman Coins. 36, 81; 37, 104; 38, 65; 39, 61; 40, 37.—Some Recent Works on Roman Coins. 44, 135.

OLDBUCK, JONATHAN. Curious Tastes of Collectors. 21, 68.

Oldest Known Coin, The. ——. 39, 35.

OLIVER, JAMES. Obituary. 25, 83.—See also "Index to Proceedings."

Oman. Wood, Howland. The Coinage of Muscat and Oman. 46, 130.

Oriental Coins. 20, 82. Tufnell, R. H. C. Oriental Coins, with Special Reference to those of Southern India. 22, 56, 77; **23**, 7, 25, 49, 73; **24**, 1.

Ovid as a Numismatic Authority. ----. 43, 164.

P

Panama. The New (1904) Panama Currency.39, 27.—Panama Coinage. 39, 58.—A Silver Coinage for Panama. 39, 99.

Papacy. Du Bois, W. E. The Coinage of the Popes; Its Rise, Progress and Termination.
15, 73.—The Vatican Collection of Papal Coins.
42, 133.

Medals. The Annual Papal Medal for 1907. 42, 83.—Medals from the Vatican. 38, 69.—A New (1880) Papal Medal. 14, 45.—A New (1906) Papal Medal. 41, 12.—A New (1907) Papal Medal. 42, 15.—Papal Medals. 7, 85.—(Pius V.) Papal Medals: Radiated Effigy of Pius V. 23, 93.—(Pius IX.) A Medal of Pius IX. 3, 56.—The Pontifical Medal for 1894. 29, 51.—(Ramsay). Medal conferred for research. 28, 92.—See also the small-print Note to the article—The Value of a Year. 6, 81.

Paper Money. Parasites on Paper Money. 26, 68; 27, 40.—See also under individual countries the sub-heading "Paper Money"—as United States—Paper Money.

Parish, Daniel. Jackson Medalets. 13, 16. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Parker, James. Obituary. 8, 95.

Parsons, George M. The Colonial Jetons of Louis XV. 19, 1, 25.—The French Revolution of 1789 illustrated by Coins and Medals of the Period. 20, 73; 21, 1, 25, 49.—Medals Relating to the Colonies of England in North America, struck in Europe. 23, 1, 31.—Obituary. 30, 61.

Peabody, George, Medal of. _____. 3, 12.

Pearson, Nelson P. See "Index to Proceedings."

Periodicals, Numismatic. ——. By Malcolm Storer. 46, 177.—French Numismatics.
4, 85.—Numismatic Journalism on the Continent. 33, 34.

Perkins, Jacob. ——. 30, 118.—An Early New England Die-cutter. 27, 25.

Persia. Oriental Coins. 20, 82.—Persian Coinage. 12, 14.

Peru. An Andean Medal, (ancient Peruvian).
34, 80, 107.—Earliest Coinage of America.
2, 39.—Silver Coinage Discontinued in Peru.
33, 117.

Peters, John P. See "Index to Proceedings."

PFLUMER, GEORGE. Varia for Collectors of Paper Money. 44, 143.

Philadelphia, Numismatic and Antiquarian Society of. For Proceedings of this Society see "Transactions of Societies" in Index. 4, 100, iv.

Philippines. Gold Peso to be the Unit of Value in the Philippines. 40, 17.—The Philippine Coinage. 39, 66.

PHILLIPS, HENRY, JR. Early Grecian Tokens. 17, 8.—Head-dresses Exhibited on Ancient Coins. 16, 16.—(Medal Conferred on, by R. Accademia F.M.S. di Milano). 19, 71.—Remarks upon a Coin of Sicyon in Achaia. 16, 61.—The Roman Standards, with some Notices of the Testimony of Coins to their Devices. 15, 14

Pistrucci, Benedetto. Pistrucci and his Work. 41, 86.

Pittsburgh Numismatic Society. For Proceedings of this Society see "Transactions of Societies." 14, 49.

Platinum. Platinum Coins. 13, 29; 37, 75.—Platinum, The Scarcity of. 41, 98.—Russian Platinum Coins. 13, 76.

Poland. A Rare Polish Ducat. 21, 70.

Political Pieces. The Neglect of Political Pieces. 15, 27.

Pollock, James. Devices on Coins of the United States. 6, 55.

Poole, Reginald Stuart. Obituary. 29, 131.

Porto Rico. The Peso of Puerto Rico. 34, 114.

Portraiture and its Origins in Greek Monetary
Types, by Ernest Babelon. ———. 44, 37,

Portugal. The Coinage of Portugal. 42, 52.

—(New (1909) five hundred reis piece). 43, 157.—The New (1894) Portuguese Copper Coins. 28, 69.

Tokens. Portuguese Jetons. 35, 83.

Potts, William John. Secret Scottish Seal of 1745. 10, 42.

PRATT, GEORGE WILLIAMS. Obituary. 10, 95.

Preble, George Henry. United States Naval Medals. 9, 5.

Pretenders. Coins and Medals of Royal Pretenders. 4, 33.—Medal of the Pretender (Charles of England). 8, 61.

Prevost, A. The Five Franc Pieces of France. Reprint from Numismatic Chronicle. 26, 10.

[26]

Primitive Money. WAfricato Money. 24, 47.—Ancient American Money. 22, 45.—Copper Money of the Haida Indians. 20, 62.—Cowmoney and Staters. 30, 63.—Cowries. 12, 76.—Cowries and their Uses. 40, 64.—An Indian Money-Cowrie in a British Barrow. 14, 101.—Shell Currency of New Britain. 39, 22.—Some Singular Currency. 29, 42.—Svoronos, Jean N. The Origins of Coinage. 43, 33, 93, 141; 44, 14, 145.—Using Beads for Money. 32, 88. See also Aztecs; Nomenclature; South Sea Islands; Wampum, etc. Prince, L. Bradford. See "Index to Proceedings."

Printers' Medals. An Old Printer's Medal. 16, 84.—Typographic Medal. 11, 56. See also Germany—Medals, (Gutenberg).

Proclamation Pieces. Bastow, J. W. The Socalled Semi-Medallic Proclamation Pieces. 30, 9.—Betts, Benjamin. Ten Undescribed Spanish-American Proclamation Pieces. 32, 38, 61, 98; 34, 39, 84.—A Florida Proclamation Piece. 31, 119; 32, 92.

Protestant Emigration Medals, Salzburg and the. 32, 93; 33, 32.

PRYER, CHARLES. See "Index to Proceedings." PUTNAM, JOHN PHELPS. Obituary. 16, 95.

Ramsden

R

RAMSDEN, HENRY A. Early Chinese Metallic Currency; Carapace Money. **45,** 70.—Tsi Moh Knife Coins—Small Series. **44,** 158.

REED, Byron. Obituary. 26, 23.

Restrikes. Concerning Restrikes. 30, 67.— Restrikes Again. 30, 120.—Restrikes at the French Mint. 29, 96.

Rhode Island Numismatic Association. For Proceedings of this Association see "Transactions of Societies" in Index. 4, 100, iv.

Rhodes, Coinage of Knights of. Morris, Robert. Coins of the Grand Masters of the Order of Knights Hospitallers of St. John of Jerusalem. 17, 31, 49, 73; 18, 1.

RICE, GEORGE W. The Unexplained Rarity of Certain U. S. Coins. 33, 58, 68.

Rindge, F. H., Collection. Ancient Coins on Exhibition. 26, 62.

ROBERTS, A. C. The Numismatist's Dream. 4, 81.

ROBINSON, JOHN. Old Coins and New. A Paper for Young Collectors. 25, 36.

Roettiers Family in England. ——. 33, 75.

Rome. Comparette, T. Louis. Inaccurate Tables of Roman Coins in American Latin Grammars. 46, 125.—Feuardent, Gaston L. On Some Coins of the Castellani Collection. 12, 83.—Grueber, Herbert A. The Coinage of Rome. 19, 7, 30.—Morris, Robert. The Coins of Rome. 8, 10.—Olcott, George N. Notes on Roman Coins. 36, 81; 37, 104; 38,

65; 39, 61; 40, 37.—Olcott, George N. Some Recent Works on Roman Coins. 44, 135.—The Poet Ovid as a Numismatic Authority. 43, 164.

Alexander Severus. See "Index to Proceedings."

——— Alexandria. Mowat, Robert. Hercules and the Pygmies. 35, 72.

Augustus. A Coin of Augustus. 45, 192.—Coins of Augustus. 12, 76.—Moneyers of Augustus. 15, 60.

Brutus. Coins of Marcus Brutus. 13,

C. Domitianus. A New Roman Emperor. 36, 11.

Constantine. (Explanation of inscription Plura Natal(ia) fel(icia).) 42, 26.—Sale of a Rare Coin of Constantine. 22, 50.—Worship of the Sun. The Story told by a Coin of Constantine the Great. 14, 67.

Consular. Aemilius, Coin of Paulus. 15, 13.—Dates of Consular or Family Coins. 15, 18.—Holway, E. W. Roman Family Coins: Antonia Gens. 16, 51.—Roman Family Coins. 3, 17; 4, 17, 35; 15, 50.

Contorniates. Blanchet, J. A. Further Notes on Contorniates. 31, 93.—Contorniates. 43, 131.—A New Contorniate. 32, 115; 36, 117.—Notes on Contorniate Medals and their Purpose, by William T. R. Marvin. 31, 29.—A Zodiacal Contorniate. 34, 88.

- The Becker Forgerics on 15 cm 2, 41.
- Countermarks. Countermarks on Roman Coins. 42, 8.—Roman Countermarks. 44, 143.
- Decius. Morris, Robert. Coins of the Emperor Decius. 7, 53; 8, 16.
- ———— Domitian. Mowat, Robert. Hercules and the Pygmies. 35, 72.
- Gold Medallions of Abukir. 44, 128.
- ——— Hadrian. (Laffranchi's classification of the coins of Hadrian). 42, 26.
- Imperial. Bird, Frederick M. Portraits on the Later Bronze. 19, 64.—Phillips, Henry. The Roman Standards, with some Notices of the Testimony of Coins to their Devices. 15, 14.—Roman Sovereigns Identified by Coins. 19, 93.—The Use and Nature of Roman Medallions, by Herbert A. Grueber. 13, 42. See also "Index to Proceedings."
- ——Judea. The Jewish Coinage of the Emperor Hadrian. 14, 45.—Morris, Robert. The Coins Minted at Jerusalem. 17, 3.
- Julius Caesar. Various Coin Finds (Tarragona). 18, 96.
- Kustendje (Tomi). The Place of Ovid's Exile. 20, 70.
- ----- Marcus Aurelius. (Nicean Mint.) 16,
- Marius. Notes and Queries. (See under "Two Right Hands Joined.") 9, 94.
- Maximianus. (Explanation of inscription "Plura Natal(ia) Fel(icia).") 42, 26.
- mus. 3, 51. Maximin and Maximus.
- Mints. Ely, Talfourd. The Process of Coining as Seen in a Wall-Painting at Pompeii. 31, 1, 60, 92; 33, 86, 101; 34, 13, 60, 92.—Seltman, E. J. Roman Mint Pictured in the House of the Vetii. 33, 86, 114; 34, 13, 60, 92.
- Nero. Comparette, T. Louis. Debasement of the Silver Coinage under the Emperor Nero. 47, 131.
- Nicea (Coins of Marcus Aurelius).

- Pertinax. A Medal of Pertinax. 9,
- Pescennius Niger. The "Pescennius Niger" in the French Cabinet. 16, 18.
- Probus. Coins of Probus. 20, 46.
- Tomi (Kustendje). The Place of Ovid's Exile. 20, 70.
- R. Neokor Medals. 17, 1.—Medals of the Roman Coliseum. 21, 41.—"Neokoroi." 7, 57; 14, 33.
- Types—Head-Dresses. Phillips, Henry, Jr. Head-dresses exhibited on ancient coins. 16, 16.
- Types—Moneta. Morris, Robert.
 The Goddess Moneta. 13, 88. See also "Index to Proceedings."
- ---- Varus. Coin of Varus. 18, 67.
- ROOT, JAMES EDWARD. Obituary. 10, 71.
- Rosicrucian Medal, A Curious. 30, 85.
- Roty, Louis Oscar. Kunz, George Frederick. Louis Oscar Roty, his Life Work and his Influence on the Past and Future of Medallic Art. 45, 153; 47, 93.—Obituary. 45, 77.
- ROUYER, J. A Curious Medieval Piece, (Translation from Revue Numismatique.) 32, 33.
- ROUYER, NICHOLAS JOSEPH JULES. Mereaux of the Fourteenth Century, (Translation from Revue Belge). 33, 8, 37.—Obituary. 32, 117.
- Ruggles, Samuel B. Our National Coinage at the Paris Exposition (a letter to Frank H. Norton). 1, 69.
- Rumania. Bucharest College Medals. 23, 61.—A New (1906) Coinage. 41, 94.
- Russia. The Early Coinage of Russia. 22, 99.
 —Medieval Baltic Coins and Medals. 9, 81.—
 Platinum Coins. 13, 29; 37, 75.—Rebel Money in Russia. 40, 75.—Russian Arms. 13, 102.—Russian Platinum Coins. 13, 76.
- Medals (Alexandrosk Port Opening). A Recent Russian Medal. 34, 52.—Medals for Russian Soldiers. 40, 80.—A Nihilist Piece. 14, 31, 77.—Russian Coronation Medals. 17, 64.—Under "Editorial." 11, 72.
- —— Religious Pieces. Medieval Baltic Coins and Medals. 9, 81.

S

SAGE, AUGUSTUS B. Recollections of a Coin Collector. 1, 76, 85; 2, 8.

St. Gaudens, Augustus. Obituary. 42, 31.

Saints, The Figures of, upon Coins. 40, 65, 95; 41, 23.

SALLET, ALFRED VON. Obituary. 32, 118.

SALTUS, J. SANFORD. See "Index to Proceedings."

Saltus, The John Sanford, Gold Medal.

———. 45, 67.

Sampson, Henry Griswold. Obituary. 33, 131. San Salvador. Columbus on the San Salvador Coinage. 41, 95.

SANDHAM, ALFRED. Montreal Trade Tokens. 6, 73.

Santo Domingo. Santo Domingo Coins to be made in America. 32, 11.

Sarawak. The Copper Coinage of Sarawak 20, 92.

Sassure, William de. Autographs: copies of three letters from De Sassure (Second Director of the Mint). 3, 25.

Satirical. Numismatic Satire upon General Boulanger. 25, 21.—A Satirical Brussels Token. 32, 52.—Satiric Coins and Medals. 4, 41.—Satirical Medals. 13, 36, 55.

SAWYER, EDWARD W. My Work among the Indians. 47, 159.

Scadding, Canon. Metallic Records of Luther. 21, 83; 22, 9.

Scandinavia. Scandinavian Mint Marks. 37, 76.

Schleswig-Holstein Medal. See "Index to Proceedings."

School for Die-cutting, Report of the Committee on. See "Index to Proceedings."

School Medals. Boston School Medals. 9, 25 Schroffing. Slafter. Edmund F. Schroffing

Schroffing. Slafter, Edmund F. Schroffing Dollars. 10, 53.

Scotland. Mary Stuart; (a discussion of the Cruikston dollar.) 3, 58.—Pounds Scotch.
16, 55.—Scotch Halfpenny of Robert II. 11, 12.—Slafter, Edmund F. The Copper Coinage of the Earl of Stirling. 8, 73.—The Wingate Sale of Scottish Coins. 11, 16.

Scott, Louis Wilfred. Obituary. 45, 211.

Seals. A Generous Gift (of a collection of impressions from three thousand seals). 42, 85.—Seals and Numismatics. 17, 80.

Seltman, E. J. The Authenticity of the Decadrachms of Agrigentum. 43, 160.—Re-at-

tribution of a Seleucid Tetradrachm. 47, 121.

—Roman Mint Pictured in the House of the Vetii. Reprint from Numismatic Chronicle. 33, 86, 114; 34, 13, 60, 92.

Sequoyah. Literary Medal Given to an American Indian. 31, 51.

SERRURE, C. A. Obituary. 33, 31.

SERRURE, RAYMOND CONSTANTINE. Obituary. 34,

SEWALL, HANNAH (HULL). Weight of Hannah (Hull) Sewall. 6, 21; 7, 15.

Shakespeare's Allusions to Coins. By William Tasker-Nugent. ———. 22, 95; 23, 44, 69.

SHIELLS, ROBERT. The Biography of the Dollar. 35, 46.—Kashmere Rupee. 28, 45.—Swedish Copper-Plate Money. 32, 49.

Siam. The Bullet Money of Siam. 3, 47.—Siam's New (1888) Bronze Currency. 22, 66.—Siamese Centenary Medal. 17, 43.—Siamese Coinage. 44, 53.—Siamese Currency. 9, 64.—Siamese Money. 37, 55.—The Siamese Porcelain Money. 20, 62.—Silvestre, J. Siamese Money. 37, 55.—Silvestre, J. The Current Money of Siam. 37, 92.—Wood, Howland. The Coinage of Siam and its Dependencies. 38, 71, 93; 39, 8. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Siege Pieces. (Antwerp) General Carnot and the Siege Pieces of Antwerp. 3, 10.—(Breslau, 1645). Butler, James D. A Necessity Piece. 20, 37.—A Danish-Hebrew Coin. 14, 87.—Spanish Insurgent Coins. 9, 20.—Stickney, Matthew Adams. A letter to Prof. Anthon (regarding several siege pieces). 3, 6.—A Tonningen Medal. 30, 122.—(Vienna, 1529). Under "Correspondence." 1, 62.—(Vienna, second siege). Medals struck in Commemoration of the Second Siege of Vienna by the Turks. 1, 70, 78. See also "Index to Proceedings."

SILVESTRE, J. Chinese Medals. 44, 1.—The Coins and Medals of Annam. 37, 65.—The Current Money of Siam. 37, 92.—Notes on the Money of China and its Dependencies. 42, 61, 121; 43, 1.—Siamese Money. 37, 55.

SIX, JEAN PIETER. Obituary. 25, 30.—Some Undescribed Greek Coins, (Translation from Numismatic Chronicle). 30, 72.

SLAFTER, EDMUND F. The Copper Coinage of the Earl of Stirling. 8, 73.—Schroffing Dollars. 10, 53.—Types and Varieties. 5, 51.

SMITH, AQUILLA. The Origin of Mark Newby Copperswv7, 1925.ol.com.cn

SMITH, DE WITT S. Obituary. 42, 155.

SMITH, F. B. See "Index to Proceedings."

SMITH, F. D. A New Medalet (Sacred Heart, Brooklyn). 4, 87.

Sмітн, Н. Р. Obituary. 37, 31.

SMITH, SAMUEL, JR. The Silver Coins and the Mints of Spanish America; from the introduction of the type with the bust of the King in 1772 to 1825. 29, 101.

Snowdon, Archibald Loudon. Obituary. 46, 151.

Soudan. A Coin of the Mahdi. 38, 114; 39, 25.—Soudan Currency. 29, 28.

South Africa. South Africa Necessity Money. 34, 108.

Spain. The Inscription "Unus Non Sufficit Orbis" and Geographical Medals in general.5, 49.—The Largest Gold Coin Ever Struck.5, 46.

— Medals. Castelar, Medal of. 34, 106.
—(Charles II?) Under Notes and Queries.
27, 71.—(Columbus) see Columbus, Christopher. (Darien Exposition Centennial)
Recent (1888) Spanish Medal. 23, 40.—
(Philip II and Isabella of France—Marriage Medal). An Early Medal Relating to America. 42, 75.—(Philip II) An Early Medal relating to America. 40, 53.—The Spanish-American Medals. 46, 153.

Spanish America. See Latin America.

"Sprinkle" Dollars. — . 30, 84.

STARR, FREDERICK. The Cornplanter Medal. 40, 10.

Staten Island. Antiquities and Numismatics of Staten Island. 3, 38, 73.

Stearns, William Gordon. American Coins and Coinage (letter). 7, 35.—Obituary. 6, 98.

STICKNEY, MATTHEW ADAMS. (A letter to Prof. Anthon regarding several Siege Pieces). 3, 6.—New Hampshire and Massachusetts Coppers of 1776. 3, 36.—Obituary. 29, 64.

Storer, Horatio R. The Goethe Medals. 22, 25, 53.—The Medals of St. Charles Borromeo, Cardinal Archbishop of Milan. 23, 10.—The Medals and Tokens of Rhode Island. 30, 26, 41.—Medals, Jetons, and Tokens Illustrative of the Science of Medicine. 23, 59, 82; 24, 12, 37, 57, 92; 25, 16, 38, 73, 90; 26, 5, 30, 56, 78; 27, 7, 36, 55, 81; 28, 10, 35, 59, 82; 29, 12, 43, 87, 123; 30, 5, 47, 77, 109; 31, 13, 44, 79, 107; 32, 12, 44, 73, 106; 33, 23, 59, 91, 122; 34, 22, 109; 35, 14, 48, 78, 107; 36, 15, 45, 87, 107; 37, 15, 43, 77, 112; 38, 13, 44, 77, 104; 39, 17, 42, 73, 113; 40, 21, 45, 70, 98; 41, 13, 47, 70, 100;

42, 19, 56, 79, 144; 43, 24, 56, 110, 158; 44, 28, 67, 133, 166; 45, 27, 68, 158, 200; 46, 24, 70, 132, 181.—The Mounting of Coins for Public Collections. 21, 58.—The Newport Medal. 21, 13.

STORER, MALCOLM. Numismatic Periodicals. 46, 177.

STREETER, S. F. The Coinage of Lord Baltimore with a tabular view of the prices which it has recently (1869) commanded. 3, 85.

STROBRIDGE, WILLIAM H. Obituary. 33, 98.

STROEHLIN, PAUL-CHARLES. Obituary. 42, 88.

SULLIVAN, ALGERNON SYDNEY. See "Index to Proceedings."

Sultana. Coins of Sultana. 7, 85.

Sumatra. Sumatran Coin. 9, 91.

Sumner, Charles (Medal of). The Haytien Medal. 6, 71.

Sunken Treasure. Gold Poured into the Sea by Wrecks. 22, 13.

Svoronos, Jean N. Britomartis, the So-called Europa on the Plane Tree of Gortyna; a monograph on certain Cretan Coins, (Translation from Revue Belge de Numismatique). 29, 1, 33, 65.—On the Signification of Certain Ancient Monetary Types, (Translation from Bull. de Corr. Hellenique). 29, 76, 115; 30, 1.—The Origins of Coinage. 43, 33, 93, 141; 44, 14, 145.—Ulysses on a Coin of Mantinea. 30, 33, 101.

Sweden. The Copper Daler of Charles XII.

1, 94.—Lagerberg, Julius de. A Theory as to
the Origin of the Symbol of the Three
Crowns on Swedish Coins.

43, 52.—Shiells,
Robert. Swedish Copper-Plate Money.

32,
49.—The Swedish Mint.

8, 15. See also
"Index to Proceedings."

Medals. Andrée, Medal in Honor of. 40, 44.—(Charles XV, given to Capt. Willey). Swedish Gold Medal. 7, 32.—Gustavus Adolphus, Medal of. 13, 48.—(under "Notes and Queries"). 14, 106.

Switzerland. A New (1885) Swiss Coin. 20, 7.—An Old Swiss Medal. 19, 20.

Medals. Agassiz, Swiss Medal of. 9, 59; 10, 6.—Liebenau, Dr. Von, Medal in Honor of. 41, 66.—(Tolstoi). Swiss Medal of Tolstoi. 29, 9.—(Zwingli) Notes and Queries. 9, 21, 94; 10, 23.

Tokens. Warner, Thomas. The Rutli Pledge. 16, 11.

Symbolism on Greek Coins. By Agnes Baldwin. 49, 89.

Syracuse. (Byzantine Mint from sixth century until A.D. 726). 42, 30.

T

Tacoma Money. ———. 32, 23.

Tasker-Nugent, William. The Armada Medals. 24, 56.—Early Books Published on Numismatics. 21, 33, 61.—French Revolutionary Medals. 21, 66.—Gleanings: The British Museum Gold Room. 23, 19.—Gleanings. Defeat of the Spanish Armada, 1588: British Museum Exhibits Relating Thereto. 23, 88.—Gleanings. Shakespeare's Allusions to Coins. 22, 95; 23, 44, 69.—Medals Relating to St. Charles Borromeo. 23, 68.—Medical Medals. 22, 39.—"Not Worth a Rap." 24, 64.—The Varied Attractions of Numismatics. 20, 89.

TATMAN, CHARLES T. The Beginnings of United States Coinage. 29, 69.

TAUTENHAYN, Josef. Obituary. 45, 78.

TAYLOR, JAMES H. Obituary. 6, 99.

Thefts of Coins from Public Museums.

Theory of Currency. Paper vs. Gold. 17, 15.

—The Theory of Money. 16, 64. See also "Index to Proceedings."

THIEME, CHARLES GUSTAVE. Obituary. 28, 105.
THRUSTON, GATES P. Archaeological: The Ancient Peoples of America. 22, 14.—The Historic Versus the Merely Serial Idea in Numismatics. 18, 77. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Thurston, Herbert. The Arrhae or Tokens of Spousage. Reprint from the Messenger. 41, 31.

Tibet. The Coins of Thibet. 42, 9.—Wood, Howland. The Coinage of Tibet. 46, 164.— Wood, Howland. Some Remarks on a Series of Coins'issued in China based on the British-Indian Rupee. 41, 29.

Tokens. Thurston, Herbert. The Arrhae or Tokens of Spousage. Reprint from The Messenger. 41, 31. See also Medical Medals, and "Index to Proceedings."

Tolstoi, Leo. Swiss Medal of Tolstoi. 29, 9. Tonnele, Walter. See "Index to Proceedings." Tooker, William W. Indian Relics. 11, 71.

Touch Pieces. A Medieval Medal of St. Hubert. 32, 47, 103.

TRACY, JAMES E. The Coins of India as Witnesses to Her History. 25, 57, 85.

Transvaal. Boer Generals, Medals of. 37, 94. —(Kruger, Paul) Oom Paul at Lyons. 36, 30.—Transvaal Coinage. 32, 72.

Transylvania. See Austria-Transylvania.

Trebizond. Tokens of the Greek Church. 43, 156.

Trivulzio Thaler. — 25, 31.

TROWBRIDGE, C. O. and Wood, Howland. Sutlers' Checks: Used in the Federal Army during the Civil War. 38, 23, 56, 82.

ing the Civil War. 38, 23, 56, 82.
TRUMBULL, J. HAMMOND. The Cat on Pine Tree
Coppers—Design of "Fugios." 10, 35.

Tufnell, R. H. C. Oriental Coins with special reference to those of Southern India. 22, 56, 77; 23, 7, 25, 49, 73; 24, 1.

Turkey. The Devices on Turkish Coins. 37, 73.—Frey, Albert R. New Turkish Commemorative Coins. 44, 52.—A Recent Turkish Medal. (1894). 29, 65.—Turkish Currency. 13, 51.

Paper Money. ———. 20, 43.—

Turkish Paper Money. 20, 66.

U

United States. Coins and Medals in Lossing's Field Book of the Revolution. 5, 4.—Concerning the Eagles. 42, 41.—Copper Coinage; a paper communicated to the House of Representatives, April 15, 1790. **26**, 3.—Crosby, Sylvester S. U. S. Coinage for 1804. 25, 100.—Gold Coin, List of U. S. 3, 91.— Half-dollars of 1801-2-3 and 1805. 6, 30.— Issues of the Mint of the United States. 9, 86; 10, 7, 38, 55, 81; 17, 16.—The Minor Coins. 22, 13.—The New (1891) Designs for our Coinage. 26, 1.—The New (1891) Silver Coin. 26, 40.—The New (1892) Silver Coins. 26, 54.—The New (1908) Gold Coinage. 42, 36.—The New (1908) Gold Coins. 43, 12.— Our Rarest Coin. 36, 120.—Our Silver Currency. 11, 22.—Perkins, Jacob; an early New England Die Cutter. 27, 25.—Proclamation by Queen Anne (for settling . . . current rates of Foreign coins . . . in America). 7, 94.—The Stock of Gold in the United States. **42,** 13.—Tatman, Charles. The Beginnings of United States Coinage. **29,** 69.—Twenty-Cent Silver Pieces. 10, 18.—United States Coinage for 1906. 41, 69.—U. S. Five Cent Pieces of 1866. 10, 19.—U. S. Half Dollars of 1838. 9, 91.—Unusual Demand for Silver Coins, (1907). 42, 16.—Varieties of the Twenty-Dollar Gold Pieces. 30, 25.—See also Cob Money. Wampum.

- Cents and Half Cents. Alleged restrikes of '17, '18, '19 and '20 (cents). 4, 68, 80.—American Cents. 6, 75.—The Cents of 1793. 28, 81, (last paragraph); 30, 83; 31, 28, 59.—Cents of 1794. **4,** 97.—Cents of 1795, 1796, 1798, 1832. **5,** 63.—1799 Cent. **21,** 44.— Cent of 1839. 18, 44.—The Cent in California. 21, 34.—Correspondence (Weight of 1793 cents). 5, 16.—Crosby, Sylvester S. The Half Cents of 1793. 32, 1. Crosby, Sylvester S. The United States Cents of 1793. 3, 93; 4, 96; 31, 40, 61, 97.—Die-Varieties of U. S. Coins. 22, 18.—Early Heads of Liberty and Her Cap on our Coinage. 38, 30.-Franklin Cents. See U. S.—Colonial—Fugios.—Frossard, Edward. Die-Varieties of U. S. Coins. 22, 18.—Levick, Joseph N. T. A table exhibiting the prices paid for the five types of the 1793 cents of the U.S. selected from . . . coin-sales . . . 1855-1868. 3, 47, 84, 92.—The Lincoln Cents. 43, 132.—A New Cent of 1793. 23, 45.—Nickel Cents of 1883. 26. 46.—Re-struck Half-cents distinguishable from originals, hub of 1841, etc., etc. 5, 59.— Review of E. Maris's "Copper Issues of U.

S. Mint . . . 1794." 4, 22.—Rice, George W. The Unexplained Rarity of Certain U. S. Coins. 33, 58.—United States Cents (1793-1839). 7. 6, 43.—A Very Scarce Penny. (1799). 17, 46.—Washington Cents. See U. S.—Colonial—Washington Cents.—Where do the Pennies go? 26, 41.

- Colonial. An Account of Ancient Currency. 19, 71.—The British Stamp of 1765. 20, 20.—Continental Currency Dollar. 21, 89.—Crosby, Sylvester S. The First Coins of the United States. Reprint from Sylvester Crosby's work on "The Early Coins of America." 10, 1.—Cuttings from Old Newspapers. 14, 102.—Dusimitiere and Early Continental Medals. 29, 66.—Early Copper Currency in America. 7, 23.—Early English Attempts to Provide a Minor Coinage for America. 33, 79.—The Engravers of the Revolutionary Medals. 28, 1.—Impressions in copper of early American coins. 35, 19.-Maris, E. Two Coppers: Not New Jersey Cents. 17, 64.—(Paragraph beginning "From the London Chronicle"). 26, 45.-Proclamation by Queen Anne (For settling . . current rates of Foreign coins . . . in America). 7, 94.—A Provincial Note Raiser. 21, 10.—See "Valedictory." 4, 89.—The Spanish Milled Dollars. 26, 39.—State Currencies. 6, 62.—Tatman, Charles T. The Beginnings of the United States Coinage. 29, 69. See also America. Bermuda.

——— Colonial—Auctori Plebis. The Auctori Plebis Copper of 1787. 5, 73.

Colonial—Baltimore, Lord. The Baltimore Coinage. 20, 56.—Coins of Lord Baltimore. 5, 23.—Description and pedigree of the unique Lord Baltimore Copper. 2, 83.—Henfrey, Henry W. Lord Baltimore's Maryland Coinage. 9, 34.—McLachlan, R. W. Baltimore Shillings in Copper. 35, 88.—Maryland Money, 1681. 19, 71.—Medal of the First Lord Baltimore. 34, 36.—A Pattern Baltimore Piece in Copper. 35, 118.—Streeter, S. F. The Coinage of Lord Baltimore, with a tabular view of the prices which it has recently (1869) commanded. 3, 85.—Two American Medals. 20, 81.

—— Colonial — Bar Cent. Bar Cents in Silver. 22, 75.

—— Colonial—Barry, Standish. Notes on the Standish Barry Three Pence. 20, 59.— Standish Barry and His Three-pence. 15, 63.

- Colonial Brasher Dubl con. The Brasher Counterstamp. **25**, 70.—Brasher Doubloon. **21**, 44.—Ephraim Brasher. **27**, 20; 28, 26.
- Colonial-"Bungtown Coppers." See U. S.-Colonial-Counterfeit Half-Pence.
- Colonial-Castorland. The Castorland "Half Dollar": a misnomer. 2, 34, 56, 61; 5, 39; 8, 48.
- Colonial-Chalmer's Coinage. Chalmer's Pieces. 20, 47.
- Colonial-Clinton Coppers. The first
- "Clinton" Copper. 25, 53.
 —— Colonial Confederatio. "Inimica Tyrannis." 27, 29.
- Colonial Connecticut. Connecticut Counterfeiting and Coining Coppers. 8, 63. —Connecticut Currency in 1704. 9, 63.
- Colonial Counterfeit Half-Pence. American Mules. 5, 75.—"Bung-British town." 36, 94.—Bungtown Coppers: Origin of the Name. 33, 67. Connecticut Counterfeiting and Coining Coppers. 8, 63.—"Gloriuvs III. Vis," The. 5, 41, 68, 75; 7, 95.—Under Queries. 6, 31.
- Colonial Fugio. Crosby, Sylvester S. Notes on an Undescribed Trial-piece bearing impressions of two hubs for a Fugio pattern. 36, 76.—The "Franklin Cent." 4, 86.—"Mind Your Business": Ring, or Franklin Cent. 7, 72.—Silver Chain Dollar, 1776. 21, 72.—Trumbull, J. Hammond. The Cat on Pine Tree Coppers: Design of "Fugios." 10,
- Colonial-Immunis Columbia. Immunis Columbia. 12, 106, 108.—"Immunis Columbia" of 1786, with eagle reverse. 36, 12.-Maris, E. Immunis Columbia. A new Variety. 20, 40.
- Colonial-Kentucky. Kentucky Coppers. 28, 102, 105.
- Colonial Massachusetts. 18, 48.—Colonial Stamp. 9, 91.—Counterfeit "N. E." and Pine Tree Money. 7, 4; 9, 43.— Early Coinage of Massachusetts. 8, 25.— Early Copper Coin for Massachusetts, (from the records of the Council of the Province, 1703). 8, 60.—John Hull, the Coiner of the Pine Tree Shilling. 27, 49.—A Large Payment in Silver and Copper. 13, 93.—Massachusetts Cents. 8, 46; 10, 87.—Massachusetts Mint. 5, 17.—Massachusetts Mint (1788). 5, 83.—The N. E. vs. The Pine Tree Three Pence. 19, 90.—New Hampshire and Massachusetts coppers of 1776, (extract from letter of M. A. Stickney). 3, 36.—Pine Tree Money. 5, 40; 25, 105.—Pine-Tree Shilling Mint. 28, 46, 102.—Sewall, Hannah (Hull), Weight of. 6, 21; 7, 15.—Transactions of Societies. Boston Numismatic Society. (See cut at foot of

page). 9, 92.—Trumbull, J. Hammond. The Cat on Pine Tree Coppers: Design of "Fugios." 10, 35.—Under Editorial (Good Samaritan Piece in Gold). 9, 47.

Colonial—New, England. New England Shillings. 17, 90.—The N. E. vs. The

Pine Tree Three Pence. 19, 90.

Colonial — New Hampshire. New Hampshire and Massachusetts coppers of 1776, (extract from letter of M. A. Stickney). 3, 36.

- Colonial New Jersey. Appleton, William S. Carthage or New Jersey? 27, 73.—Correspondence in regard to the Jersey Cent of 1787. 4, 46.—Indian War Medal of New Jersey. 10, 16.—Maris, Edward. A New Jersey Cent. 4, 39.—New Jersey Cent of 1787: see letter signed "S.S.C." (Crosby). 4, 55.—Under Early State Copper Coinage. 28, 105.—See also U. S.—Colonial—Newby Coppers, and "Index to Proceedings."
- Colonial New York. New York Coinage. 6, 71.—New York Copper Coinage. 7, 23.—See also U.S.—Colonial—Castorland.
- Colonial-Newby Coppers. Coppers. 2, 91; 3, 44.—Smith, Aquilla. The Origin of Mark Newby Coppers. 7, 9, 25.-Whitehead, W. A. Mark Newby and his copper coin. 7, 30.

Colonial - North Carolina. North

- Carolina Money. 17, 71.

 Colonial—Nova Constellatio. Crosby. Sylvester S. The "Nova Constellatios." Reprint from Sylvester S. Crosby's work on "The Early Coins of America." 10, 25.— Discovery of a New Piece in the Nova Constellatio Series. 19, 81.—Nova Constellatio.
- 4, 44.—Nova Constellatio Coins. 7, 19, 20.

 —— Colonial—Paper Money. See "Index to Proceedings."

- Colonial-Pennsylvania. Pennsylvania Seal. Under "Notes and Queries." 10, 94.—See also U. S.—Colonial—Counterfeit Half Pence.
- Colonial-Rhode Island. The Medals and Tokens of Rhode Island by H. R. Storer. 30, 26, 41.—The Rhode Island Medal. 2, 53,
- Colonial-Rosa Americana. A New Rosa Americana Two-Pence. 38, 42.—Rosa Americana, The. 5, 16, 74.
- Colonial.-South Carolina. Coins for South Carolina. 7, 42.—The Elephant Halfpenny. 11, 94.
- Colonial-Vermont. The Coinage of Vermont. 5, 41.—Crosby, Sylvester S. Vermont Coinage. Reprint from Sylvester S. Crosby's work on "The Early Coins of America." 9, 49.—The First Vermont Coinage. 42, 148.

Colonial-Virginia. Coins Suggested for Virwing 1750019092 CEngraved Virginian Medal. **24,** 64.—Virginia Colonial Money. 1700-1800. **12,** 55.—(Virginia Colonial Money.) **8,** 47 (2nd Paragraph).

- Colonial-Vox Populi. Voce Populi Half-pence. 7, 17. - "Vox Populi" Half

penny. 4, 12.

Colonial - Washington Cents. The Dies of the Washington Cents. 2, 96.— Washington Confederatio. 23, 87.—Washington Cents So-called. 21, 44.—A Washington Penny. 29, 42.—The Washington Penny. 19, 44.

- Colonial-Wood's Coinage. Wood's

Halfpence. 20, 46.

- Commemorative Issues. The Columbian Half Dollar. 27, 65.—Columbian Half Dollars. 28, 64.—Comparette, T. L. Coins and Medals produced in the United States of America during the year 1915. **49**, 199.— "Isabella Coins." **27**, 80.—The "Isabella" "Isabella Coins." 27, 80.—The "Isabella" Quarter Dollar. 28, 40.—Paragraph, (1st). 28, 52.—Souvenir Centennial Coil. 27, 35. -The Souvenir Gold Dollar (Lewis and Clark Exposition). 39, 26.—Special Coinage for the Columbian Exposition. 26, 90.—Under "Editorial." 27, 47.—Under "Notes and Queries" (Lafayette Dollar). 33, 129.—The U. S. Washington-Lafayette Dollar of 1900, by Edmund J. Cleveland. 34, 82.

Confederate Coinage. Coinage of the Confederate States with U. S. Dies. 31, 11. -Confederate Coinage. 13, 93; 14, 4.—Confederate Silver Pieces. 12, 99.—Dubois, Patterson. Some of the Issues of War. 17, 14. —Under "Editorial." 14, 56.—How the Gold of the Confederate Treasury was taken South. 39, 80.—The So-called Confederate

Half Dime. 21, 93

- Currency System. American Coinage. 7, 8.—The Coinage Bill. 11, 70.

Devices. The Depravity of the American Coinage. 1, 41, 57, 75.—The Eagle on Our Coins. 29, 32.—Early Heads of Liberty and Her Cap on our Coinage. 38, 30.— The "Emblem of Liberty" on our coins. 41, 75.—The Liberty Cap on American Coins. By Robert Morris. 13, 52.—The Movement to Improve the Designs on our Coinage. 29, 98.—Pollock, James. Devices on Coins of the United States. 6, 55.—Star Points on United States Coins. 41, 53, 55. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Dollar. Dollar of 1794. 9, 9.—Dollars of 1895, (1898 of title a misprint.) 33, 130.— The Last of the Dollars. 38, 114.-More about the Dollar Mark. 9, 57.—New (1878) Dollar. 12, 106, 109.—A New Use for Silver Dollars. 23, 23.—Origin of the Dollar Mark.

9, 33.—Origin of the Name "Dollar." 9, 61; 6, 56.—The Original Dollar. 2, 16.—A Precious Trio. 38, 29.-Shiells, Robert. The Biography of the Dollar. 35, 46.—"Sprinkle" Dollars. 30, 84.—Why no 1806 Dollars are Found. 13, 15.

-- Dollar-1804. Another 1804 Dollar. 25, 20; 28, 63.—Another "1804 Dollar" Found. 36, 29.—Another Dollar of 1804? 27, 93.— Another "Four" Dollar. 3, 7. — Crosby, Sylvester, S. U. S. Coinage for 1804. 25, 100.—The 1804 Dollar. 21, 87; 22, 20, 48, 89.—The 1804 Dollar again. 38, 92.— An 1804 Dollar in the H. G. Brown Collection. **39**, 28.—Dollar of 1804. **28**, 23, 92; **32**, 10, 70.—Dollar of 1804. (Under "Notes and (Queries"). 16, 41.—Dollars of 1804. 17, 72. -See under "Editorial." 12, 80 .- An Item for Numismatologists (and the material on the following page). 2, 23, 24, 41, 55, 111. -Nexsen, John A. The 1804 Dollar. 25, 98; 39, 102.—Numismatic Myths: 1804 Dollars. 34, 30.--A Reporter's Story of an 1804 Dollar. 36, 116.—The Restrikes of 1804 Dollars. 36, 122.—Stickney, Matthew A. More about the Dollar of 1804. 2, 41.—Still another 1804 Dollar. 21, 47.

- Dollar-Trade. The American Trade Dollar. 9, 26.—The End of the Trade Dollars. 26, 17.-A Light-Weight Silver Coin. 11, 8, 48.—A Rival Trade Dollar. 11, 89.— The Trade Dollar. 8, 32; 9, 62, 90.—The Trade Dollar a Drug in the Market. 10, 87. -The Trade Dollar the Standard in China. 8, 92.—United States Trade Dollars. (A Bit of History). 36, 119.—What Becomes of Trade Dollars. 10, 66.

- Encased Postage Stamps. Cunningham, Thomas. Postal and Fractional Currency. 27, 75.

- Medals.

Adams, John, Medal. 13, 92.

(Adams, John, Presented to). Diplomatic Medal of the Netherlands. 14, 85.

(Agassiz) The Agassiz Medal. 9, 42.

(Albemarle) The Phipps-Albemarle Medals. 46, 117.

(American Church Missionary Society). Church Medal. 8, 82.

American Historical Medals. (A. N. & A. S.) 37, 96.

American Numismatic and Archaeological Society Member's Medal. 14, 17.

Ancient and Honorable Artillery Company, Medal of. 10, 35.

André Medal, An. **26**, 20. (André) New Medals. **14**, 52.

Art Club Medal. 26, 55.

(Assay Medals) See U. S .- Mints.

Atlanta (Exposition) Medal, The. 30, 26.

Benham, Medawwolibtoobleogo,ca

(Bennington Centennial). 13, 44 Bennington Medal, The. 14, 20.

(Blue, Lieut.) The Lieutenant Victor Blue Medal, issued by the U.S. Mint, 1900. 35, 56.

Blue, Victor, U.S.N. 34, 105.

Bolen, J. A., of Springfield, Mass., Medal Dies cut by. 1, 31; 3, 15, 32; 4, 32. 80.

Boston Anniversary Medal, 1908 (establishing Roman Catholic Diocese; centennial). 43. 21.

(Boston) An Early Boston Medal. 6, 33, 63; 7, 7.

Boston Latin School, The Derby Medal of. 26, 27.

(Boston Elm) Medal on Great Elm, Boston. 18, 67

(Boston Numismatic Society.) 8, 72.

Boston (Old North Church) Medal, A. 32, 88.

Boston Porters' Medal. 18, 68.

Bowdoin and Boylston Medals of Harvard

University, The. 33, 66. Boylston.) The Bowdoin and Boylston (Boylston.) Medals of Harvard University.

Bramhall Medalets, The. 2, 40.

(Brown, John). The French Tribute to the Memory of. 10, 28.

(Brown, John) Medal. 10, 76.

Bruce Gold Medal for Astronomers, The. 32, 67.

(Bull's Run, 1861) A Memento of Bull's Run.

(Bunker Hill Centennial) Under "Editorial." 10, 48

California, University of, Medal. 46, 73.

California Volunteers, Medal to be Presented to, for Services in Spanish-American War. **34**, 28. (Cambridge) A Local Medal of the Civil

War. 43, 7.

Carolina Medal, The. 2, 99; 3, 68; 5, 69.

Carroll, Charles, Medal of. 15, 45.

Carroll Medal, the Charles. 5, 8.

Centennial, (1776-1876), Under "Editorial." **10**, 96.

Centennial Award Medals. 11, 65.

Centennial Commission Medals, The. 9, 64,

(Centennial). Holland, H. W. Centennial Medals (1776-1876). 10, 62; 11, 14; 12, 71, 100; 13, 31.

Centennial Medal (Dutch?). 25, 35.

Centennial Medals. 9, 20.

Charleston, S. C., Centennial Medal. 18, 11. Charleston, Medal of the Social Club. 5, 7.

Cleveland Plaquette, The. 44, 49.

(Clinton) See Clinton, George, Coppers. (Coffin) Lost and Found. 21, 44.

Cosfin Medal, The (Tristam Cosfin the first of the race that settled in America, 1642). **5,** 40.

(Coffin, Tristam). Tristam Coffin Medal. 10, 80.

Coins and Medals in Lossing's Field Book of the Revolution. 5, 4.

(Columbia Univ.) Medal of Columbia University, New York. (1900). 35, 45.

(Columbia and Washington) An Early Boston Medal. 6, 33, 63; 7, 7.

Columbian Exposition Medal, The. 29, 18; 30, 119.

(Columbian Order 1789) Silver Medal in the John Allan Sale, 1864. 13, 102; 14, 24; 18, 96.

(Columbus) See Columbus, Christopher, Medals of.

Columbus Day Medal, A. 45, 207.

Commemoration Medal of the College of the City of New York, The. 2, 57.

Confederacy, Gold Medalet of the. 14, 40. (Confederate). Belden, Bauman L. Medals of the Confederacy. 48, 195.

(Confederate) Medal for Confederates. 37,

Confederate Medals, Remarkable. 2, 95.

Congressional Medal of Honor. 28, 45.

(Connecticut Historical Society). courage Historical Study. 28, 86.

Converse Medal for Painters and Sculptors, The. 28, 100.

Cornplanter Medal, The, by Frederick Starr. 40, 10.

"Crystal Palace" Medal. 36, 121.

(Curtis). George William Curtis's Medal. 20, 8.

(Curtis-Phillips) Curtis-Phillips Medal. 31, 119; 32, 87.

Davis, Gov. John, A Medal in Memory of. 29, 128.

Gold Medalet of the (Davis, Jefferson). Confederacy. 14, 40.

Derby Medal of the Boston Latin School, The. 26, 27

(Dewey) The New York Dewey Medal. 34, 53.

(Dewey, Admiral). "Numismatic ments." **33,** 99. Monu-

(Diplomatic Medal). 17, 24, 70; 28, 28. Medal commemorative of American Independence, or, Diplomatic Medal. By Jules

Marcou. 9, 65, 78. (Drake). Hague, James D. The Drake Medal. 42, 134.

Draper Medal, The. 28, 77

(Eliot) Medal for the President of Harvard University. 28, 101; 29, 18.

(Eliot, Jared). The Eliot Anglo-American Medal of 1762. 31, 6; 32, 68.

(Ericsson) Medal of Ericsson. 29, 42.

(Erie Canal) W. Abronimister of the Opening of the Erie Canal. 7, 87.

Eric Canal Medal, The, by Edgar H. Adams. 44, 66.

Erie Canal Medals. 17, 71.

Exposition (Columbian) Medal. 28, 58.

Fire Department Medal. 4, 6.

(Florida) An Early "Florida" Medal. 38, 9, 51.

(Fort Ridgeley, Minn.) Medal for the Defense of Fort Ridgeley, Minn. 35, 21.

Fort Sumter Medal. 11, 44.

(France, Medals relating to America struck in). Medals to be obtained at the Paris Mint, in gold, silver or bronze. 5, 11.

(Frangimur si Collidimur). Dusimitiere and Early Continental Medals. **29**, 66.

Franklin, Benjamin (Medals of) Boston School Medals, 9, 25.

(Franklin, Benjamin). See Franklin, Benjamin, Medals of.

Fulton, Robert, Medals of. 23, 93.

Garfield Medals. 16, 41.

(Georgia Centennial). 19, 48.

Germantown: Bi-Centennial Medal. 18, 39. Gettysburg Medal, A. 28, 46.

(Gettysburg, N. Y. Day). The New York-Gettysburg Monument. 35, 59.
Grand Army, Medals of the, and Allied

Grand Army, Medals of the, and Allied Bodies. **34**, 116; **35**, 26, 52, 84, 112; **36**, 21, 53, 85, 113; **37**, 22, 48, 82, 119; **38**, 18, 49, 90, 112; **39**, 23, 48, 67, 110; **40**, 18.

Grant Medals. See Grant, Ulysses S., Medals of.

(Graves, Collins et al.) Medals for the Heroes of Mill River. 9, 46.

Gunboat Nashville Medal, by Edgar H. Adams. 44, 65.

(Gutenberg). Recent Medals commemmorating the invention of printing. 35, 11,

(Hale, Nathan) Medal of Capt. Nathan Hale.

(Harvard). The Bowdoin and Boylston Medals of Harvard University. 33, 66.

Honors to the First Defenders. 26, 38.

"Honos et Virtus" Medal, The. (Betts 160). 29, 93, 120.

Howard, J. Eager, Silver Medal Presented to. 12, 14.

(Hudson-Fulton) Hudson Tercentennial Medal. 43, 76, 133.

Hudson-Fulton Medal. Designed under direction of The American Numismatic Society, adopted as its one Official Medal by the Hudson-Fulton Celebration Commission, and issued under the Seals of both Organizations. 43, 149.

Humane Society, Medal of. 15, 25. Inauguration Medal (1909). 43, 60. (Indian Peace Medals.) See Indian Peace Medals.

(Inness, Geo.) The George Innes Memorial Medal. 35, 77.

Jackson Medalets. 13, 16.

Jefferson Medal, A New. 37, 109.

Jernegan Cistern Medal. See England—Medals, Jernegan.

Jones, John Paul, and his medal. 39, 78.

Jones, John Paul, Medal, The Second Issue of the. 31, 58.

Jones, Medal of John Paul. 41, 46.

Kittaning Medal, A. 6, 17.

(Kittanning) Old Medals found in Philadelphia. 14, 91.

"Laetare" Medal, The. 30, 107.

Lafayette Medals. See Lafayette (Medals of).

Law, John, and his Medals. 40, 81; 41, 1, 37, 59, 89.

Lenox Lyceum Medal. 29, 58.

(Lexington) Local Centennial Medals. 10, 18.

Life Saving Medal, The New (1875). 10, 34. Lincoln Medals. See Lincoln, Abraham (Medals of).

(Lind, Jenny). Betts, Benjamin. Some Local New York Tokens. 32, 7, 37.

Louisburg Medal of the Society of Colonial Wars, The. 30, 92.

McCall Medal, The. 28, 79.

McKinley Inauguration Medal. 32, 51.

McKinley Medal, The. 37, 93.

(McKinley). Medal of Tin, A. 26, 68.

(Maine Legislature Reunion, 1866). 20, 72. (Massachusetts Charitable Mechanic Association). 16, 72.

Massachusetts Society for Promoting Agriculture, Medal of. 16, 41.

(Mecklenburg) Local Centennial Medals. 10, 18.

Mexican Campaign Medals. 11, 41.

(Mexican War, Survivors, 1st Regiment, New York Volunteers). Presentation of the Mexican War Medals. 9, 54.

Mickley Medal, The. By W. E. DuBois, 13, 87, 104.

(Mill River Dam). Medals for the Heroes of Mill River. 9, 46.

(Miscellaneous, 1911). 45, 96.

(Miscellaneous, 1913). Comparette, T. L. Coins and Medals in the United States in 1913. 47, 142.

(Miscellaneous, 1914). Comparette, T. L. Medals Engraved in the United States of America in the year 1914. 48, 205.

(Miscellaneous, 1915). Comparette, T. L. Coins and medals produced in the United States of America during the year 1915. 49, 199.

(Monroe, Jawes Whitian of edun of President Monroe. 10, 36.

Morgan Memorial Medal. 47, frontispiece and xxvii, xl.

(Morro Castle, Havana, Capture of, 1763). Forgotten History. 4, 49.

(National Academy of Design: classes in the art of the medal begun at). **35**, 91; **36**, 64.

(National Jubilee) See under Notes and Queries. 10, 23.

Naval Medals for Meritorious Services. 35, 90.

(Naval). Preble, George Henry. Nava Medals. United States. 9, 5.

(New London, Centennial Medal). 16, 72. New Memorial Series (of Isaac F. Wood); Norwalk medal and Johnson's N. Y. entry medalet, (1869). 3, 83; 4, 56.

(New York Centennial of the establishment of the Diocese of the Roman Catholic Church). The Centennial Medal of the Establishment of the Diocese of New York of the Roman Catholic Church. 44, 25.

New York Historical Society, Centennial Medal of the. 39, 58.

Tarre Vanla Madal for

New York Medal for Charter Day, (A. N. & A. S.). 32, 101.

New York Stock Exchange Medal. 37, 111. Newburgh Hudson-Fulton Celebration, Official Medal of the. 44, 23.

Newport Medal, The, by Horatio R. Storer 21, 13.

O'Connell, Anniversary Medal of Cardinal. **46**, 183.

Ohio Centennial. 23, 81.

Omaha Exposition Medal, The. 33, 33. Orange, N. J., Centennial Medal. 42, 74.

Pacific Railway Medal, The. 4, 87.

Pan-American (Buffalo) Medal, The. 36, 40. (Peabody Medal) A Pseudo-medal. 3, 12. (Peace and Commerce, 1776) Medal Commemorative of American Independence. 9, 65, 78.

"Peace and Plenty." Early Award Medals. 22, 51.

"Peace Jubilee" Medal, 1898. 34, 6.

Peace Medals, Revolutionary. 2, 63: 4, 19. Peary, A Medal for Commander. 39, 52.

(Perry, Commodore M. C.). A Boston Naval Medal. 32, 114.

(Philippine War Medals). Medal of the War in the Philippine Islands. 36, 27.

Phillips Exeter Academy, A Gold Medal for. 21, 16.

(Phipps) The Phipps-Albemarle Medal. 20, 81; 46, 117.

(Pitt, William: Betts 517). Notes on Some Medals Described by Mr. Betts. 29, 61.
(Pittsburgh Exposition Medal). 14, 56.
Political Pieces, Neglect of. 15, 27. Porto Rico Medal. 36, 26, 92.

(Preble, Commodore). Correspondence relating to the Preble Medal. **6**, 49, 96.

(Prices of, at Mint) American Medals and Cabinet Coins. 9, 38.

(Prince Henry of Prussia) Medal for Prince Henry, Issued by American Numismatic and Archaeological Society, 1902. 36, 105.
(Princeton). Three College Medals. 33, 14.

(Raleigh's Plantation: Betts 15). Notes on Some Medals Described by Mr. Betts. 29,

61, 91.

Randolph-Macon College Medals. 33, 30. Recent (1868) Work of Numismatic Artists. 2, 87, 96; 3, 8.

(Red Jacket) The Red Jacket Medal. 25, 102.

(Revolutionary) Centennial Medals. 10, 34. Revolutionary Medals, The Engravers of the. 28, 1.

Revolutionary Peace Medal, A. 26, 18. Revolutionary Peace Medal, by W. S. Appleton. 25, 89.

(Rhode Island Medal). See U. S.—Colonial—Rhode Island.

Rhode Island, The Medals and Tokens of, by H. R. Storer. **30**, 26, 41.

Roosevelt, Medal of President. 39, 112. (Sacred Heart, Brooklyn) New Medalet (by

F. D. Smith). 4, 87.
(St. Louis Exposition Medals). Were St.

Louis Exposition Medals Sold? **39**, 89, 92. St. Louis Exposition, Souvenir Medals of the. **39**, 87.

St. Luke's Hospital, New York, Medal of. 31, 90.

St. Vincent de Paul Medal. 45, 152.

Salem Cadet Medals. 10, 35.

(Samson Cordage Works). Anniversary Medal. **43**, 51.

(Savannah Benevolent Association) Under "Notes and Queries." 12, 23.

Sawyer, Edward W. My Work among the Indians. 47, 159.

(Schley). 33, 125.

School Medal, Boston. 9, 25.

(School Medal) An Old Latin School Medal. 11, 89; 12, 15.

(Schurz Memorial Medal.) 42, 156.

(Seal of U. S., Medal for Centennial Anniversary of Great) Marvin, W. T. R. Centenary Commemorative Medal. 17, 27.

(Sequoyah). Literary Medal Given to an American Indian. 31, 51.

Service Medals Suggested for U. S. Soldiers. 20, 29.

(Seward). The Seward Medal. 8, 63.

Shreveport (La.) Medals. 8, 94.

Smithsonian-Hodgkins Medal, The. 34, 44. Smuggler Medal, The. 9, 68.

(South American Merchants). Pan-American Waxanhibtoblelabm32n30.

South Carolina Agricultural Society, Medal of. 10, 19.

Spanish-American War Medal. 34, 118: 37, 117; 38, 12, 54.

Spanish War Medals. 36, 25; 37, 40.

Springfield, Mass., Jubilee Medal, The. 36, 106, 121.

(Sumner, Charles) Sumner Medalet. 10, 42. (Taft and Sherman) Inauguration Medal. 43, 60.

Taft-Diaz Medal, A. 45, 156.

Taft-Diaz Medals by Samuel R. Betts. 46, 21, 78.

Tammany Medal. 13, 102; 14, 24; 18, 96. Taylor, Zachary, War Medals 25, 52.

Taylor, Zachary, War Medals 25, 52. Thirteen Club, Medal of the. 32, 23.

(Tin Mining Co., Pittsburg & Mexican). Another American Tin Medal. 26, 89.

Trenton Battle Monument Association Medal, The. 29, 85.

(Valley Forge Centennial). 13, 24.

Vernon, Admiral, Medals. See Vernon, Admiral, Medals,

Virginian, Engraved Medal. 24, 64.

Washington Medals. See Washington, George (Medals of).

(War Medals) Belden, Bauman L. United States War Medals. 49, 1.

Washington Monument Medal. 33, 29, 117. Webster Medals, The. 9, 8.

(Weir, Capt. James). A Medal of 1760. 14, 24.

(Wesley, John). An Old New York Medal. **28**, 69, 101; **29**, 30.

(Whitefield) An Undescribed Whitefield Medal. 28, 101.

(Whitefield, George) An Unnoticed Medal of Whitefield. **42**, 84.

(William and Mary, Betts, 528). The Botetours Medal of William and Mary College, 30, 17, 51, 114.

William and Mary College, Virginia, Medal of. By Isaac F. Wood. 13, 47.

(Williams College). Three College Medals. 33, 14.

(Wood, Isaac F.: No. 4 of his series; Boston Numismatic Society). 8, 72.

(Wyoming Centennial) Medal. Under "Editorial." 13, 48.

Yale Bicentennial, Oct. 21-23, 1901, Medal of the. 36, 63.

(Yale) Three College Medals. 33, 14.

(Yorktown) Franklin's Suggestion of the Medal on the Surrender of the British at Yorktown, 1781. 15, 76.

See also "Index to Proceedings."

United States Mints. The Annual Assay at the Mint, of the Coinage of the United States. 6, 95.—Annual Assay Medals of the

United States Mints, by Edmund J. Cleveland. 32, 18; 33, 30, 88, 129; 34, 115; 35, 117; 36, 92.—Assay at the Philadelphia Mint. 12, 99.—Assay Medal for 1909, United States. 43, 60.—Assay Medal of 1910. 44, 131.—Assay Medals of the U. S. Mint, The Annual. 40, 78.—A Bit of U. S. Mint History. 43, 45.—(Carson City.) Coinage at Carson City Mint Suspended. 28, 40.—Changes in Mint Officials. 29, 22.—Coinage of the Mint. 14, 65.—Crosby, Sylvester S. U. S. Coinage for 1804. 25, 100.—Demand for Pennies at the Mint. 14, 70.—The Denver Mint. 42, 85.— (Denver) Wealth in Tiny Particles of Gold. 39, 55.—Destruction of the Dies of 1899. 34, 88.—Du Bois, Patterson. Our Mint Engravers. 18, 12.—Du Bois, W. E. Assay of U. S. Coins, The Annual. 14, 92.—The Early Days of the Mint. 26, 28, 60, 82; 27, 13, 39.—"Editorial" (Confiscation of dies and hubs of the Mickley Sale). 13, 76.—Establishment of the United States Mint. 5, 6, 43, 57, 76.—The First Assayer of the United States Mint. 16, 79.—First United States Mint. 3, 52, 64.—See "Here and There."—Nevada Coinage. 4, 88.—How it seemed in 1849. 29, 86.—How Money is Coined. 10, 59.-How Nickels and Pennies are Made. 24, 95.—Issues of the Mint. 15, 41.—Issues of the Mint of the United States. 9, 86; 10, 7, 38, 55, 81; 17, 16.—Massachusetts Mint. (1788). 5, 83.—Mint Coins for 1883. 17, 83. -Mint of the United States. 12, 54.-Mint Reports and Early Coin Dates. 25, 101.— The Mint Standard Weight. 14, 2.—A New (1910) Director of the Mint. 44, 27.—(New Orleans). Coinage of the Confederate States with U. S. Dies. 31, 11.—(New Orleans) Confederate Coinage. 13, 93.—(New Orleans) Issues of the Mint at New Orleans. 11. 11.—New Orleans Mint. 20, 17.—The New (1902) U. S. Mint and its Predecessors. 37, 9.—(Philadelphia). 20, 48.—(Philadelphia). Changes at the Mint. 21, 65.— (Philadelphia: Coinage for Venezuela). See under "Editorial." 11, 48.—(Philadelphia) Dn Bois, William E. Recent Additions to the Mint Cabinet. 11, 86.—(Philadelphia) Exhibition Room of the Mint Cabinet. 45, 45.—(Philadelphia). Historical Notes on the United States Mint. 27, 10.—Philadelphia. (Melting of one million one dollar gold pieces for recoinage). 7, 71 (small paragraph near bottom of page).—(Philadelphia). The New (1904) Panama Currency. 39, 27.—(Philadelphia). Peter, the "Mint Bird." 27, 85.— (Philadelphia) Sparks from the Mint Fire. 21, 11. (Philadelphia). Work at the Philadelphia Mint. 26, 17.—(Philadelphia Cabinet). 21, 48.—(Philadelphia Cabinet) The

Coin Cabinet at the Philadelphia Mint. 29, 20.—(Philadelphia Cabonet COCompte Rendu. 18, 89.—(Philadelphia Cabinet: recent additions). 45, 25.—Philadelphia Mint Cabinet: A Visit to. 10, 51.—(Philadelphia Cabinet) The Mint Cabinet. 20, 63; 26, 16.—(Philadelphia Cabinet) Recent Accessions to the Mint Cabinet. 23, 92; 24, 32.—(Philadelphia Cabinet). The United States Mint Cabinet. 8, 65.—(Prices of Medals and Proof Pieces). American Medals and Cabinet Coins. 9, 38.— Report of the Director of the Mint. 16, 76. (San Francisco). Heavy Coinage in San Francisco. 32, 72.—(San Francisco). King Kalakaua's Coins. 18, 24.—San Francisco Mint. 9, 37.—(San Francisco). A Mint Carpet. 20, 22.—Wilder, Lyman. New Orleans Mint, Issues of. 11, 47.—Work at the Mint. 8, 91.

Paper Money. About Greenbacks. 20, 18.—Double Denomination Bill. 26, 19.—An Incident in the Early History of Greenbacks. 12, 97.—A New Series of Greenbacks (1898). 32, 79.—Paper Currency. 7, 87.— Paper Money. 13, 30.—Specie Payments and Honest Money. 14, 5. — Washed Paper Money. 26, 20. See also "Index to Proceedings.

- Paper Money-Broken Banks. (Hudson, N. Y.) A Two-cent Bill. 41, 27.

- Paper Money — Colonial. Connecticut Counterfeiting and Coining Coppers. 8, 63.—Continental Paper Money. 6, 18.—Devices on the Continental Bills of Credit with Conjectures of their Meaning. 6, 36.—Early Massachusetts Paper Currency. 5, 78; 6, 4; 7, 91.—Facts about old Paper Money. 23, 20. -Masonic Emblems on Continental Money. 26, 64.—Provincial Paper Issues. 21, 36.— Rapid Depreciation. 11, 42.

- Paper Money-Confederate. Confederate Notes. 13, 36.—Confederate Paper Money and How it was Printed. 39, 84.— Currency of the Confederate States. 12, 1.-Table of Confederate Currency. 2, 12, 36,

- Paper Money - Fractional Currency. Another Issue of Postal Currency Proposed. 28, 39.—Cunningham, Thomas. Postal and Fractional Currency. 27, 75.—Drowne, Henry Russell. Fractional Currency. 23, 55 .-The Fractional Currency. 12, 59, 87.—Fractional Currency Issued by the Government. 20, 12. - Perforated Fractional Currency. 15, 42.—Postal and Fractional Currency, by Thomas Cunningham. 27, 75. See also "Index to Proceedings."

- Paper Money -- Sutlers'. Wood, Howland. Paper Money Issued by Sutlers in the Federal Armies during the Civil War.

47, 164.

- Pattern and Experimental, "Cometal" Coins. 20, 93.—Concerning the Eagles. 42, 41.—Crosby, Sylvester S. Notes on an Undescribed Trial-piece bearing impressions of two hubs for a Fugio pattern. 36, 76.—Devices on U. S. Coins and Pattern Pieces. 4, 25.—Du Bois, Patterson. The Pattern Piece. 17, 56.—Earliest Proof Coins. 34, 119.—"Editorial" (Confiscation of dies and hubs of the Mickley sale). 13, 76.—First Steps towards American Coinage. 6, 35.—Goloid Dollar. 13, 10.—Lost Possibilities of American Coinage. (Suggestions for devices on our coins). 8, 88.—Mint Notes, (Philippine Coinage). 36, 58, 80, 96.—New (1906) Designs for U. S. Coins. 41, 65.—The New Gold Coin, "Stella" 13, 94.—New Pattern Pieces for Fractional Currency. 4, 71.—Our Rarest Coin. 36, 120. -(Paragraph headed "Goloid Dollar"). 12, 108.—Pattern Half Dollars of 1838. 4, 95.— Pattern Pieces. 22, 76; 35, 29.—Pattern Pieces and the Government. 22, 41.—Pattern Question Settled. 45, 10.—Patterns vs. Falsities. 20, 87.—Proposed Issue of a Twenty-Cent Coin. 9, 82.—The Proposed New Coinage. 15, 19.—Proposed New Coins. 16, 84.—A Quarter Dime Proposed. 39, 22. -"Sil-Nic-Cop." 10, 53.—The Status of Pattern Pieces. 44, 172.—What becomes of all the Pattern Coins. 13, 55.

- Philippine Issues. Coins for the Philippines. 37, 7.—The Philippine Coinage. 37, 118.—The Proposed United States-Philip-

pine Dollars. 36, 58, 80, 96.

Private Gold. Adams, Edgar H.
Private Gold Coinage. 45, 11, 46, 129, 173; 46, 1, 57, 135, 168.—Bennett, A. J. Private Issues of Gold. 26, 85.—California Gold. 17, 63. Cincinnati Mining and Trading Company, and other Private Gold Pieces. 42, 147.— Dealers: United States Mint, by Henry Russell Drowne. 43, 163.—The Deseret Gold Coinage. 33, 56.—Lettered Legends on the Private Issues of Gold Coins. 39, 119.—A Mormon Coin. 22, 66.—"N. G. & N." (Norris, Grigg & Norris, San Francisco). 37, 111. -Private Issues of Gold Coins in the United States. 26, 35.—Private 1ssues of Gold in America. 30, 53.—A Private Mint for California. 32, 91.

Rosa Americana, Cleveland, Edmund J. Another Vernon medal, Rosa Americana two-pence, Mormon currency. 5, 74.

— Seals. Colonial Stamp. 9, 91.—Pennsylvania Seal. Under "Notes and Queries." 10, 94.

- Tokens. Betts, Benjamin. Some Local New York Tokens. 32, 7.— A Boston Hard Times (?) Token. 35, 105.—Boston Tokens, Some Undescribed. 40, 27.—The Bramhall Medalets. 2, 40.—Cleveland, Edmund J. Political Tokens of Presidential Campaigns. 31, 112 (Clinton, George). See Clinton, George, Coppers. Coins as Advertising Mediums. 42, 16.—Communion Medals and Tokens. See Communion Tokens.— (Confederate, 1861) See under "Editorial". 12, 80.—"Copperheads." A descriptive catalogue of the copper and base metallic currency issued in the several States of the United States, commencing 1862 and ending in 1864. 1, 13, 23, 29, 38, 46, 52, 63, 72, 79, 87; 2, 52; 3, 24, 66; 4, 16, 24.—A Franklin Token. 36, 14.—Groh, Edward. The Belleville, N. J., store cards: correspondence concerning. 2, 43.—Hard Times Tokens. 39, 64.—Jackson Medalets. 13, 16.—Levick, J. N. T. Description of the "Hard Times Tokens" of '37, furnished by J. N. T. Levick, from his own collection. 4, 91.-Low, Lyman H. Hard Times Tokens. 33, 15, 48, 81, 118; 34, 17, 47; 35, 13.—Low, Lyman H. Hard Times Tokens: A Supplementary Chapter. 40, 103.— Metal Trading Checks. 33, 116.—The Neglect of Political Pieces. 15, 27.—A New Application of Token Money. 39, 26.—An Old-time Theatre Ticket. 14, 24.—"Politicals" and the like. 36, 50.—"Rickett's Circus" Tokens. 3, 14; 12, 53.—Storer, H. R. The Medals and Tokens of Rhode Island. 30, 26, 41.—Tacoma Money. 32, 23.—The "Talisman of Slavery." ("Am I not a woman and a sister.") 35, 22.—Trowbridge, C. O. and Wood, Howland. Sutlers' Checks; used in the Federal Army during the Civil War. 38, 23, 56, 82.—An Undescribed Hard Times Token. 35, 27. See also "Index to Proceedings."

UPTON, GEORGE P. Chinese Coinage. 1, 17, 25; 7, 17.

Vaillant

Vernon

V

Vallant, Jean Foy. (Biographical Sketch.) 12, 38.

VAN DEN BROECK, CHARLES EDOUARD, Obituary, 46, 188.

VAUX, WILLIAM S. Obituary. 17, 24.

VAUX, WILLIAM SANDYS WRIGHT. Obituary. 20, 47.

Venezuela. (Coinage for Venezuela at Philadelphia). 11, 48. See also Latin-America, Proclamation Pieces.

— Medals. Columbian Medal, The Venezuelan. 29, 17.

Vermont Numismatic Society. (First meeting). 12, 28.

Vernon, Admiral, Medals. Admiral Vernon and His Medals. 29, 52.—The "Admiral Vernon" Medal. 2, 46, 55, 71, 86; 3, 67; 5, 64, 74.—Another Vernon Medal. 33, 90.—Betts, Benjamin. Undescribed Vernons. 39, 100.—Cleveland, Edmund J. Another Vernon medal, Rosa Americana two-pence, Mormon Currency. 5, 74.—Newly Discovered Vernons, by Edmund J. Cleveland. 34, 45.—Some Undescribed American Medals; the Ulex Cabinet. 42, 68.—An Undescribed Vernon. 36, 10.—An Unpublished Vernon. 32, 81.—Vernon Medals. 30, 121.—Vernon's Capture of Porto Bello. 40, 14.

WADDINGTON, WILLIAM HENRY. Obituary. 28, 75.

WALKER, R. K. English War Medals of the Peninsular. 19, 79.

WALTER, DAVID LEON. Alchemical Coins and Medals. 24, 5.—Essay on the disappearance of Coinages and the uses, other than as a circulating medium, of coined money. 3, 81. -Medallic Memorials of the Great Comets. and the Popular Superstitutions Connected with their Appearance. 24, 25, 49, 84; 25, 19, 42, 96; 26, 51; 27, 86.—Obituary. 27, 23. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Wampum. Indian Currency in New Jersey. 1672. 7, 7.—Indian Money. 6, 17.—Natural History Society of Montreal: Address of the President. 6, 12.—An Old Wampum Belt. 28, 81.—Our Aboriginal Coinage. 4, 42; 18, 82.—Shell Money. 9, 10.—Wampum. 18, 40. -Wampum "Moons." 40, 53.—Williams, Roger. Indian Coinage, 1620. (A reprint from his "Key into the Language of America.). **5,** 2.

WARNER, THOMAS. Communion Tokens. 22, 1, 34, 62, 84.—Obituary. 25, 55.—The Rutli Pledge. 16, 11.

Washington, George, (Medals of). Appleton, William S. The Medals of Washington. 7, 73; 8, 1, 33.—An Austrian Washington. 33, 32.—Boston Washington Medal. 5, 62.—Boston Washington Medal, the Evolution of the. 36, 59, 72.—Eccleston Medal Exhumed. 10, 62.—Medal of Washington ("unique profile."). 6, 77.—Medals of Washington. 11, 34.—Memorial Medal of Washington. 11, 18. —New (1879) Medals.14, 52.—A New Washington Medal.23, 92.—Recent (1904) Washington Medals. 39, 36.—See under "Notes and Queries". 9, 21, 40.—Under Numismatic Items. 10, 43.—An Undescribed Washington. 32, 110; 36, 20.—Washington Buttons. 37, 95.—A Washington Centennial Medal. 28, 99.—The Washington Evacuation 15, 1, 38.—A Washington Masonic. Medal. 24, 21.—Washington Medal. 20, 95; 21, 22.— Washington Medal by Halliday. 9, 12.—The Washington Medals. 9, 27; 24, 35, 62.—Washington Pieces. 12, 23.—Wood, Isaac F. Under "Editorial". 10, 48. See also U. S. Colonial-Washington Cents, and "Index to Proceedings.

WAY, ALBERT. Obituary. 9, 21.

Weber, F. Parkes. Analogy between "Pieforts" and Roman Bronze Medallions. 31, 4.— Medals of Centenarians. 38, 1, 40.—Notes on forgeries of the (modern) period. 34, 103.— Platinum Forgeries of Gold Coins. 42, 18.

WEEKS, WILLIAM R. Numismatic Pamphlets.

Weights and Measures. Coin Scales. 22, 97.— The Mint Standard Weight. 14, 2.—Morris, Robert. The Various Coin-Metres. 11, 53. —A New Scale for Measuring Coins. 13, 18.—Svoronos, Jean N. The Origins of Coinage. 43, 33, 93, 141; 44, 14, 145.—Under Foreign Notes, 1st paragraph. 43, 134.— Wood, H. G. Fundamental Ideals in Metrology, exemplified in Ancient Coins. 43, 8. -Wood, H. G. Hebrew Influence on Ancient Coinage. 42, 47.

WEINMAN, ADOLPH ALEXANDER. The Designers of the new silver coinage. 49, 210.

Wesley, John, Medal of. An Old New York Medal. 28, 69; 101; 29, 30.

West India Company. A Scheme of Coinage for the French Colonies in America in 1665. 33, 89.

West Indies. Breevort, J. C. Early Spanish and Portuguese Coinage in America. 16, 1, 25, 49, 73; 17, 25; 18, 82; 19, 65.—British Bronze Coinage in 1892. 28, 9.—Wood, Howland. The Coinage of the West Indies, with Especial Reference to the Cut and Counterstamped Pieces. List of Counterstamps. 48, 89.—Wood, Howland. The Sou Marqué. 48, 129. See also Latin-America, Proclamation Pieces.

WESTERVELT, JAMES LAWRENCE. Obituary. 15, 72.

WETMORE, WILLIAM BOERUM. A New Scale for Measuring Coins. 13, 18.

WHITEHEAD, W. A. Mark Newby and His Copper Coin. 7, 30.

WIENER, KAREL. Obituary. 22, 51.

WIGGIN, JOHN KIMBALL. Obituary. 10, 71. WILDER, LYMAN. New Orleans Mint, Issues of. 11, 47.

WILLIAMS, HARRY F. The Gold Coinage of Latin America. 48, 73.

WILLIAMS, ROGER. Indian Coinage, 1620. (A reprint from his "Key into the Language of America.") 5, 2.

WILLIAMS, TALCOTT. "Mohammedan Dynasties." (A Review.). 29, 27.

WILLIS, WILLIAM. Coins found off Richmond

Island, Maine 15, 33; 8, 42. Wood, ELMART HILLO MARTS. William T. R. Marvin, L.H.D. Biography. 47, 167.

Woon, H. G. Fundamental Ideals in Metrology, exemplified in Ancient Coins. 43, 8.—Hebrew Influence on Ancient Coinage. 42, 47.

Wood, Howland. Chinese and Japanese Collectors. 44, 35.—A Coin of the Mahdi. 39, 25 -The Coinage of Muscat and Oman. 46, 130.—The Coinage of Siam and its Dependencies. 38, 71, 93; 39, 8.—The Coinage of Thibet. 46, 164.—The Coinage of the West Indies, with Especial Reference to the Cut and Counterstamped Pieces. List of Counterstamps. 48, 89.—Japanese Medal on the Annexation of Corea. 45, 193.—Modern Japanese War Medals and Badges. 45, 125 .--Paper Money Issued by Sutlers in the Federal Armies during the Civil War. 47, 164.-Some Curious Counterstamps. 37, 25.—Some Notes on a Set of Zodiacal Mohurs. 44, 122. -Some Remarks on a Series of Coins Issued in China based on the British-Indian Rupee. 41, 29.—The Sou Marqué. 48, 129.— Three Japanese Medals. 44, 168.-Two Recent Chinese Dollars. 46, 184.

WOOD, ISAAC FRANCIS. Medal of William and Mary College, Virginia. 13, 47.—New Memorial Series; (Norwalk medal and Johnson's N. Y. entry medalet). 3, 83; 4, 56.—Obituary. 30, 61. See also "Index to Proceedings."

Wooden Medals. (Black Walnut Medal). Under "Editorial". 10, 72.—Wooden Medallion. 14, 87.

WOODWARD, WILLIAM ELLIOT. Coin Sales, Woodward's. 15, 9.—Obituary. 26, 70.

Woolf, Prof. Solomon. See "Index to Proceed-

WORMSER, MORITZ. Coins and Medals of Transylvania in New York Collections. 48, 147.

WRIGHT, CHARLES C. See "Index to Proceedings."

WRIGHT, CHARLES HENRY. Obituary. 31. 58. See also "Index to Proceedings."

WROTH, WARWICK WILLIAM. Obituary, and Bibliography. **45**, 209.

Wyon. The Wyon Family. 4, 70.

Yap

Yap

Yap. Stone Money. 27, 17.—(Stone Money) Numismata Solida. 21, 86.

Zabriskie

Zodiacal

Z

ings."

ZEARING, H. H. The Zearing Columbus and Lincoln Medals. 28, 89.

ZABRISKIE, ANDREW C. See "Index to Proceed- Zodiacal. Wood, Howland. Some Notes on a Set of Zodiacal Mohurs. 44, 122.—A Zodiacal Contortionate. 34, 88.—The Zodiacal Rupees. 10, 83.

INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS

A

Aesthetics. Brenner, Victor D. Art and the medal. 1896-1897. 52.

America. Oliver, James. British War-medals relating to America. 1887, 51.

American Numismatic Society. Centennial of the Catholic Diocese of New York, 1909. (Report of the Committee on the Publication of Medals). 1911, 14.—The Charities and Correction Medal. 1898-1899, 6.—Cleveland, Grover (Medal). (Report of the Committee on the Publication of Medals). 1909, 14; 1910, 15; 1911, 15.—Drake, Sir Francis. (Medal commemorating landing upon the Pacific Coast. Report of Committee on the Publication of Medals). 1908, 15.—The Greater New York medal. 1898-1899, 6 .--History of the Grant Monument Medal. 1897-1898, 55.—See also p. 7 of same volume of Proceedings, and p. 59 of Proceedings for 1898-1899.—Hudson-Fulton Celebration Medal. (Report of the Committee on the Publication of Medals). 1909, 13: 1910, 13.—Huntington, Archer Milton, Medal. (Medal commemorating the fiftieth anniversary of the First Meeting of the Society. Report of the Committee on the Publication of Medals.)

1909, 12.—Jones, John Paul. (Medal commemorating the Valor and Brilliant Services of: in Report of the Committee on the Publication of Medals.) 1907, 19.—Morgan, John Pierpont (Medal). (Report of the Committee on the Publication of Medals.) 1914, 12.—The Muhlenberg Medal. 1896-1897, 46.—Report of the Grant Monument Medal Committee. 1896-1897, 9.—School for Die-cutting. Report of the Committee on. 1900-1901, 8.—Tiffany medal (and other medals of Columbus). 1894, 22, 23.—Vespucius, Americus. The Medal (commemorating the Quadricentenary of: Report of Committee on Medals). 1904, 14.—Washington Medal Committee report. 1885, 8.

Annam. Belden, Bauman L. The Gold and Silver Coins of Annam. 1886-1892, 15. (of "Papers.")

Anthon, Charles E. Gold membership medal presented by members of the Society. 1878, 7.—A morning in the numismatic alcove of Astor Library. 1878, 4.—Presentation of silver vase. (In President's annual address.) 1879, 15.—Siege-pieces of Haarlem. (In President's annual address.) 1881, 14.

B

Belden, Bauman Lowe. American Insignia. 1904, 46.—Department Badges of the Grand Army of the Republic. 1901-1902, 42.—The Gold and Silver Coins of Annam. 1886-1892, 15. (of "Papers").—Insignia of American Military Societies since the War of 1812. 1900-1901, 40.—The Insignia of the Patriotic Hereditary Societies of the United States. 1893-1896, 87.—Queer-shaped coins of Asia. 1886-1892, 25. (of "Papers").

Bibliography. Anthon, Charles E. A morning in the numismatic alcove of Astor Library, 1878, 4.

Bird, Prof. Frederick M. Portraits on the laterBronze. 1884, 14.—Recollections of Mr.Mickley. 1886, 16.

BLAKE, GEORGE H. and HENRY RUSSELL DROWNE. Our paper money: "Greenbacks". 1907, 59. Brenner, Victor D. Art and the Medal. 1896-

Brenner, Victor D. Art and the Medal. 1896-1897, 52.—Various Methods of making a Medal. 1904, 37.

C

Canada—Tokens. McLachlan, Robert Wallace. The real Date of the Canadian 1820 Harp Tokens. 1907, 51.

China. Belden, Bauman L. Queer-shaped Coins of Asia. 1886-1892, 25 (of "Papers").

Cochití, The stone lions of. By L. Bradford Prince. 1899-1900, 46.

Collecting—Methods and Advantages. Thurston, Gates P. "The historic, versus the merely serial Idea, in numismatics." 1883, 15.

Collections. Kunze, John C. Parish, Daniel, Jr. Description of a collection of ancient and modern will the the wher, the Reverend Doctor John C. Kunze, 1800. 1907, 45.

Columbus, Christopher, Medals of. Tiffany Medal (and other Medals of Columbus). 1894, 22, 23.

Counterfeits. Drowne, Henry Russell. An unrecorded Coinage. 1892-1893, 41.—Lee, Francis Bazley. Paper Money and Counterfeiting in the Colony of New Jersey. 1893-1896, 103.

DARLING, CHARLES W. Coin and Currency. 1886-1892, 34.

Decorations. Belden, Bauman Lowe. American Insignia. 1904, 46.—Belden, Bauman Lowe. Department Badges of the Grand Army of the Republic. 1901-1902, 42.—Belden, Bauman Lowe. Insignia of American Military Societies since the War of 1812. 1900-1901, 40.—Belden, Bauman Lowe. The Insignia of the Patriotic Hereditary Societies of the United States. 1893-1896, 87.—Oliver. James. British War Medals relating to America. 1887, 51.—Saltus, J. Sanford. European Orders and Decorations. 1899-1900, 33.—Saltus, J. Sanford. Flags and Insignia of the Confederate States of America. 1898-1899, 41.—Saltus, John Sanford. The Legion of Honor. 1896, 36.

De Morgan, Henri. On certain funerary Vases from Alexandria. 1886, 50.—On some Frank Sepultures of the sixth, seventh and eighth Centuries. 1879, 16. - Pre-historic Egypt. 1897-1898, 42.—Royal Jewelry discovered at Dashur, Egypt. 1898-1899, 48.

Doughty, Frank W. A neglected Series. 1885. 33.—Some Historic Tokens of the City of New York. 1887, 32.—Totemism, or the animal Symbolism of Greek Coins. 1893-

1896, 70.

Drowne, Henry Russell. Fractional currency. 1886, 45.—Presentation of loving cup to Mr. Edward Groh. 1900-1901, 39.—Tallmadge-Fraunces Tavern Medal. 1907, 41.-An unrecorded Coinage. 1892-1893, 41.

Drowne, Henry Russell and George H. Blake. Our paper money: "Greenbacks". 1907, 59. Du Bois, Patterson. Moneta. 1884, 16.

E

England—James II. Pryer, Charles. money of James II and the causes of its Issue. 1893-1896, 97.

Medals. (Jernegan.) Marvin, Wil-

liam T. R. Henry Jernegan's Silver Cistern. 1884, 21.

Europe-Middle Ages. Doughty, Frank W. A neglected Series. 1886, 33.

F

FEUARDENT, GASTON L. Government as an Art Educator. 1880, 16 .- The masculo-feminine Demiurgos of the Egyptians. 1879, 19.-(Obelisks). 1881, 15.—A rare first Brass of Alexander Severus. 1883, 26.—Some ancient Coins. 1881, 19.

Finds—United States. Pryer, Charles. Numismatic Gleanings from the Meadows. Roads and Beaches of our Atlantic Coast. 1886-1892, 10, (of "Papers").

France-Louis XVII. Saltus, J. Sanford. The "Dauphin's Grave" and Louis XVII Medals. 1904, 65.

G

Glass Weights, Arabic. Khayat, Azeez. Discoveries of Coins, Glass, and other Antiquities in ancient Syria. 1899-1900, 50.-Nies, James B. Kufic Glass Weights and Bottle Stamps. 1901-1902, 48.

Greek. Feuardent, Gaston L. Some ancient coins. 1881, 19. - Pryer, Charles. Early Greek History, as illustrated by its Coins. 1900-1901, 62.

- Types. Doughty, Francis Worcester. Totemism, or the animal Symbolism of Greek Coins. 1893-1896, 70.

GROH, EDWARD. A counterfeit Pitt Medal. 1900-1901, 68.—Presentation of Loving Cup to. By Henry Russell Drowne. 1900-1901, 39.-A rare Medal. 1900-1901, 69.

Gun money of James II and the causes of its issue. By Charles Pryer. 1893-1896, 97.

H

HOLLINGSWORTH, W. WHARTON, A forgotten Treasure-house. 1896-1897, 47.

I

Queer-shaped India. Belden, Bauman L. coins of Asia. 1886-1892, 25, (of "Papers"). Indian Peace Medals. McLachlan, Robert W. The Montreal Indian Medal. 1883, 17.—Pa-

rish, Daniel, Jr. Some new Light on the Washington Season Medals. 1893-1896, 82. Introductions. Darling, Charles W. Coin and Currency. 1886-1892, 34.

Japan. Belden. Bauman L. Queer-shaped Java. coins of Asia. 1886-1892, 25, (of "Papers").

Belden, Bauman L. Queer-shaped coins of Asia. 1886-1892, 25, (of "Papers").

K

KHAYAT, AZEEZ. Discoveries of coins, glass, and other antiquities in ancient Syria. 1899-1900, 50.

Kunz, George F. International Congress of Numismatists, Paris, June, 1900. 1901-1902, 56.

Ladd's Doubloon Coinage. Drowne, Henry Russell. An unrecorded Coinage. 1892-1893,

LAWRENCE, RICHARD HOE. Memorial of Francois Lenormant. 1884, 11.

LEE, FRANCIS BAZLEY. The Colonial Jersey Coinage, from an Historical Standpoint. 1892-1893, 30.—Paper Money and Counter-

feiting in the colony of New Jersey. 1893-1896, 103.

LILLARD, JOHN B. The small stone Graves in White County, Tenn. 1884, 28.

Lincoln, Abraham, Medals of. Zabriskie, Andrew C. The medallic History of Abraham Lincoln. 1900-1901, 33.

McLachlan, Robert Wallace. The Montreal Indian Medal. 1883, 17.—The real Date of the Canadian 1820 Harp Tokens. 1907, 51.

MARVIN, WILLIAM T. R. Henry Jernegan's Silver Cistern. 1884, 21.—Schleswig-Holstein Medal. 1880, 14. Masonic. Wood, Isaac F. Washington Initia-

tion Masonic Medal. 1880, 13.

Medals. Brenner, Victor D. Art and the Medal. 1896-1897, 52.—Brenner, Victor D.

Various Methods of making a Medal. 1904, 37.-Tonnele, Walter. The modern Renaissance of the medallic Art. 1896-1897, 40.-Walter, David L. Medallic Amulets and Talismans. 1886, 38.

Mickley (Joseph J.), Recollections of. By Frederick M. Bird. 1886, 16.

Mint Methods and Processes. Brenner, Victor D. Various Methods of making a Medal. 1904, 37.

Netherlands. Anthon, Charles E. Siege pieces of Haarlem. (In President's annual address). 1881. 14.

— Tokens. Parish, Daniel, Jr. Dutch Jetons of the sixteenth century. 1887, 41. Nies, James B. Kufic Glass Weights and Bottle Stamps. 1901-1902, 48.



Obituaries (in Historiographer's repo	rts).	Lenormant, François,	1884, 11
Abbott, Frank,	1898, 12	Levy, Charles Henry,	1889, 14
Adams, Ernest Kempton,	1905, 12	Linderman, H. R.,	1879 , 6
Adams, William,	1897, 15	Livingston, Robert E.,	1890, 11
Ahlborn, Lea,	1898 , 13	Lossing, Benson J.,	1892 , 13
Applegate, J. Henry,	1898 , 13	Lovett, George Hampden,	1894, 18
Appleton, William Sumner,	1905, 14	McArthur, George,	1907 , 18
Aspinwall, Lloyd,	1887, 12	Massamore, George W.,	1899, 14
Athole, George C.	1885, 17	Mitchell, John Murray,	1906 , 18
Attinelli, Emmanuel Joseph,	1895 , <i>35</i>	Mitchell, Roland Greene,	1907, 17
Avery, Samuel Putnam,	1905, 12	Mommsen, Professor Theodor,	1905, 14
Ayerigg, Charles, Jr.,	1890, 11	Montayne, Lewis Foster,	1898, 13
Bailey, James Muhlenberg,	1897, 15	Moore, Michael,	1892, 14
Baker, William Spohn,	1898, 12	Morris, Robert,	1889, 13
Ballentine, Robert F.,	1906 , 16	Munoz, Jose Maria,	1894, 18
Betts, C. Wyllys,	1888, 14	Nichols, Charles Porter,	1906, 20
Bolen, John Adams,	1907, 18	Oliver, James,	1891, 9
Bowne, John,	1894, 20	Pfund, Anthony,	1899, 12
Brinton, Daniel Garrison,	1900, 15	Pollock, James,	1891, 11
Brooks, Rev. Arthur,	1896, 53	Price, Hon. Eli K.,	1885, 17
Brower, John Lovett,	1907, 17	Ramsay, Charles G.,	1894, 20
Barhydt, Parish Hackley,	1898, 13	Reed, Eyron,	1892, 13
Carter, Henry Clinton,	1906, 16	Rheaume, Anselm Napoleon,	1905, 15
Castree, John W.,	1906, 16	Rice, John A.,	1894, 21
Christern, Frederick W.,	1892, 13	Rode, George W.,	1907, 18
Chubbuck, S. W.,	1894, 20	Sallet, Alfred Von,	1899, 13
Cogan, Edward,	1885, 13	Sanford, E. Harrison,	1893, 13
Colburn, Jeremiah,	1892, 13	Schaick, Jenkins Van,	1900, 14
	1906, 19	Schliemann. Heinrich,	1891, 10
Darling, Charles W.,	1892, 14	and the second s	1894, 16
Davis, Robert Coulton,	1892, 12	Shepard, Elliot Fitch,	1899, 13
Defendorf, Wilson,		Shoppee, Charles John,	
De Peyster, Frederick J.,	1906, 16 1889, 14	Spoffard, Eugene W., Squier, E. George,	1888, 14 1889, 13
Elmer, Richard Allison,	1894, 19		
Ely, Richard Sheldon,		Stark, Lucius N.,	1889, 14
Feuardent, Gaston L.,	1894, 17	Steers, Edward Paulet,	1900, 14
Fewsmith, William,	1895, 38	Stickney, Matthew Adams,	1895, 37
Flake, Albert,	1906, 17	Stone, Charles Pomroy,	1887, 13
Frossard, Edouard,	1900, 13	Storrs, Charles,	1885, 17
Garrett, T. Harrison,	1889, 14	Strowbridge, William Harvey,	1899, 15
Gorringe, Henry M.,	1886, 12	Sullivan, Algernon Sydney,	1888, 14
Gray, John Alexander Clinton,	1899, 14	Tiemann, Edward Leslie,	1897, 12
Grinnell, William Morton,	1907, 16	Trumbull, Walter,	1894, 21
Groh, Edward,	1905, 13	Turnure, Lawrence,	1900, 14
Hadden, John Aspinwall,	1907, 16	Valentine, Herbert,	1906, 18
Hammond, Dr. William A.,	1900, 16	Vanderbilt, Cornelius.	1900, 15
Harris, Robert,	1895, 36	Walter, David Leon,	1893, 14
Hatfield, Oliver P.,	1892, 12	Warner, Thomas,	1891, 10
Hildebrand, Bror Emil,	1885, 14	Waterbury, Leander,	1890, 11
Holden, Edwin P.,	1907, 17	Willis, Benjamin,	1887, 13
Holmes, George Augustus,	1886, 12	Winkle, Elizabeth S. Van,	1905, 11
Hyatt, Stephen Burdett,	1897, 12	Wood, Isaac F.,	1896, 52
llsley, Ferdinand,	1891, 10	Woodward, William Elliot,	1892, 13
Iselin, Adrian,	1906, 17	Wright, Charles Henry,	1897, 13
James, Frederick Hannum,	1899, 12	Wright, Harrison,	1885, 17
Johnston, John Taylor,	1894, 15	Young, William Henry,	1900, 16
Ketchum, Alexander P.,	1906, 18	Oliver, James. British War Medals	relating
Knox, John Jay,	1892, 14	to America. 1887, 51.	

PARISH, DANIEL, IR. Description of a collection of ancient and modern coins, by the owner, the Reverend Doctor John C. Kunze, in 1800. 1907, 45.—Dutch Jetons of the sixteenth Century. 1887, 41.—Presentation of Resolutions to Mr. Daniel Parish, Jr. 1896-1897, 44.—Some new Light on the Washington Season Medals. 1893-1896, 82.

PEARSON, NELSON P. The Polleten of the City of Stockholm. 1887, 30.

PETERS, JOHN P. Gleanings of a Summer in the East: Palestine and Crete. 1904, 41.

PRINCE, L. BRADFORD. The Preservation of the Antiquities of the Southwest. 1901-1902, 33. —The Stone Lions of Cochiti. 1899-1900,

PRYER, CHARLES. Early Greek History, as illustrated by its Coins. 1900-1901, 62.—Gun Money of James II and Causes of its Issue. 1893-1896, 97.—Jottings from my Note-book. 1886-1892, 21, (of "Papers").-Numismatic Gleanings from the Meadows, Roads and Beaches of our Atiantic Coast. 1886-1892, 10, (of "Papers").—The old historic Buildings of Westchester County. 1898-1899, 33.

R

Rome-Alexander Severus. Feuardent, Gaston L. A rare First Brass of Alexander Severus. 1883, 26.

— Imperial. Bird, Prof. Frederick M Portraits on the Later Bronze. 1884, 14. Types-Moneta. Du Bois, Patterson. Moneta. 1884, 16.

S

Saltus, J. Sanford. The "Dauphin's Grave" and Louis XVII medals. 1904, 65.—European Orders and Decorations. 1899-1900, 33 .-Flags and Insignia of the Confederate States of America. 1898-1899, 41.—The Legion of Honor. 1896-1897, 36.

Schleswig-Holstein medal. By William T. R Marvin. 1880, 14.

School for Die-cutting, Report of the Committee on. 1900-1901, 8.

Siam. Belden, Bauman L. Queer-shaped

Coins of Asia. 1886-1892, 25, (of "Papers"). Siege and Necessity Pieces. Anthon, Charles E. Siege-pieces of Haarlem. (In President's Annual Address.) 1881, 14.

Smith, F. B. Zabriskie, Andrew C. Reminiscences of some old New York Die-sinkers. 1887, 47.

SULLIVAN, ALGERNON SYDNEY. Address on the 25th Anniversary. 1883, 11. Sweden. Pearson, Nelson P. The Polleten of

the City of Stockholm. 1887, 30.

Theory of Currency. Darling, Charles W. Coin and Currency. 1886-1892, 34.
Thurston, Gates P. "The historic, versus the

merely Serial idea, in numismatics." 1883, 15.

Tokens. Walter, David L. Medallic Amulets and Talismans. 1886, 38.—Wright, Charles H. A Contribution to our Knowledge of Tokens, etc. 1886, 53.

TONNELE, WALTER. The modern Renaissance of the medallic Art. 1896-1897, 40.

United States-Colonial-New Jersey. Lee. Francis B. The Colonial Jersey Coinage, from an historical Standpoint. 1892-1893, 30. -Lee, Francis Bazley. Paper Money and Counterfeiting in the Colony of New Jersey. 1893-1896, 103.

- Colonial-Paper Money. Lee, Francis Bazley. Paper Money and Counterfeiting in the Colony of New Jersey. 1893-1896, 103.

Devices. Feuardent, Gaston L. Government as an art educator. 1880, 16.

Medals. Americus Vespucius. The Medal (commenorating) the quadri-century of); Report of Committee on Medals. 1904, 14.

Anthon Memorial Medal. 1885, 8.

Charities and Correction Medal, The. 1898-1899. 6.

Cleveland, Grover (Medal) (Report of the Committee on the Publication of Medals). 1909, 14; 1910, 15; 1911, 15.

Drake, Sir Francis. (Medal Commemorating Landing upon the Pacific Coast. Report of Committee on the Publication of Medals.) 1908, 15.

Grant Monument Medal. History of the Grant Monument Medal. 1897-1898, 55. See also p. 7 of same volume of Proceedings, and p. 59 of Proceedings for 1898-1899.

Greater New York Medal, The. 1898-1899, 6.

Jones, John Paul. (Medal commemorating the Valor and Brilliant services of: in Report of the Committee on the Publication of Medals.) 1907, 19.

Hudson-Fulton Celebration Medal. (Report of the Committee on the Publication of Medals.) 1909, 13; 1910, 13.

Huntington, Archer Milton, Medal. (Medal commemorating the fiftieth Anniversary

of the First Meeting of the Society. Report of the Committee on the Publication of Medals.) 1909, 12.

(Miscellaneous.) Zabriskie, Andrew C. United States History as illustrated by its Political Medals. 1897-1898, 34.

Morgan, John Pierpont, (Medal). (Report of the Committee on the Publication of Medals.) 1914, 12.

Muhlenberg Medal. 1896-1897, 46.

New York Diocese Centennial. Centennial of the Catholic Diocese of New York, 1909. (Report of the Committee on the Publication of Medals.) 1911, 14.

(Pitt. Betts 515). Groh, Edward. A counterfeit Pitt Medal. 1900-1901, 68.

Tallmadge-Fraunces Tavern Medal. By Henry Russell Drowne. 1907, 41.

Paper Money. Blake, George H. and Henry Russell Drowne. Our Paper Money: "Greenbacks." 1907, 59.

Drowne, Henry Russell. Fractional Currency. cy. 1886, 45.

Tokens. Doughty, Frank W. Some historic Tokens of the City of New York. 1887, 32.—A rare New York Store Card. (Columbia Garden.) 1900-1901, 70.

W

Walter, David L. Medallic Amulets and Talismans. 1886, 38.

Washington, George, Medals of. Washington Medal Committee Report. 1885, 8.

Woop, Isaac F. Washington Initiation Masonic Medal. 1880, 13.

Woolf, Prof. Solomon. Presentation of Mcdal-

lion of President Charles E. Anthon. 1882,

Wright, Charles C. Reminiscences of some old New York Die-sinkers, by Andrew C. Zabriskie. 1887, 47.

Wright, Charles H. A Contribution to our Knowledge of Tokens, etc. 1886, 53.

Z

ZABRISKIE, ANDREW C. Echoes from the Wheels of the Broadway Omnibus. 1886-1892, 5, (of "Papers"). The medallic History of Abraham Lincoln. 1900-1901, 33.—Reminiscences

of some old New York Die-sinkers. 1887, 47.—United States History as illustrated by its Political Medals. 1897-1898, 34.



