

# special collections DOUGLAS Library



queen's university At kingston

**kingston ontario canada** 

ANCIENT and MODERN

Heren, Inter - , we

## LIBERTY www.libtool.com.cn

## Stated and Compar'd.


Libertas & speciosa nomina prætexuntur; sed Libertatem preferunt, ut Imperium evertant; nec Imperii Inimici sunt, nisi quod mutatus Princeps Licentiam turbarum, & ex civili bello spem præmiorum ostendit.

TACITUS.



#### LONDON:

Printed for J. ROBERTS, near the Oxford-Arms in Warwick-Lane. MDCCXXXIV.





# ANCIENT and MODERN

# LIBERTY

Stated and Compared.



HE Liberty of this Country is fo effential, both to our Grandeur as a Nation, and our Happiness as a People, that I do not

wonder thofe, who would be glad to caft any Odium on the prefent Government, are always endeavouring to alarm the People from that Quarter, and trying to infuse Jealoussies on a Point, where, from the Importance of it, the People must be most susceptible of such Impressions, and most apprehensive of Danger.

B

105-00

But

But when I reflect on the Manner in which the Anti-ministerial Writers have handled this Subject, I cannot help thinking they have as often proflituted the Name of Liberty, as they have abused the Enjoyment of it.

They always talk both of Liberty and Frugality in Government; as if any Society could be form'd without fome Restraint on natural Liberty, or any Government supported without fome Expence: whereas both those things are imposiible; and their being fo, is a fhort Anfwer to all the boafted Reasoning of that elaborate Treatife, call'd The Sequel to Politicks on both Sides ; a Scheme of Oeconomy, which amounts to no more, than if, in private Life, one Man should tell another, who owes twenty times the annual Income of his Estate; that if he would but fast, and go naked, and live upon nothing for twenty Years together, at the End of those twenty Years his Debt would be discharg'd. But this being a Confideration that comes

comes not within my prefent Defign, I recur to the Point of Liberty : And as it must be granted, that all Peace, all Order in Society is maintain'd by fome Restrictions on natural Liberty, and that the Anarchy of natural Liberty wholly unreftrain'd, would be as great an Evil as the Slavery of no Liberty at all allow'd; fo the beft regulated and best concerted Form of Government must be that which avoids the Inconveniencies of both these Extremes, and at once preferves Mankind from the Oppressions confequent to an absolute Submission to the Will of another, and from the Confusion that would refult from an unlimited Indulgence of their own.

But as there never was, nor perhaps ever will be, any Form of Government where this Medium was fo exactly hit, as to be unliable to Objection, altho' from blended Confiderations, and the Imperfection of every thing, it may be incapable of Improvement; fo it is impoffible to judge of B 2 any any human Inftitution, any more than of any human Virtue, but by Comparifon. And as the modern Authors on this Subject have often infifted on the Faults of the prefent Government, by extolling the Felicity of Times paft, and particularly on the Chapter of Liberty; fo I shall endeavour to set that Comparison of ancient and modern Liberty in as short, as clear, and as true a Light, as the little Knowledge I have in the English History will permit me, and the Nature of fuch a Disquisition will allow.

And when People talk of the Liberty of Old England in Comparison with, or Opposition to That now subsisting in this Country; I cannot help thinking they either talk of what they do not understand themselves, or to those by whom they hope they are not understood: For let Men make ever so flight Reflexions on the Manner in which this Kingdom has been govern'd from the Conquest to this Day, let them but run through the Dynastie of the the English Kings, and fum up the Account of the Annals of each Reign; and then let any candid and judicious Commentatory fayool whether Liberty was ever at any former Period of time more amply exercised and enjoy'd than at the present Hour.

'Till the *Reftoration* there was no fuch thing as Liberty; *That* after the *Reftoration* was nothing compared to the Strength it gain'd at the *Revoluti*on; and the Strength it then acquired, is fo far, in my Opinion of Things, from being now impair'd, that it never flourifh'd in fuch full Vigor as in the happy and profperous *Reign* of his *prefent Majefty*.

This, not only the Friends to the prefent Government affert, but the Enemies to it prove, by annexing to their Complaints for the Lofs of *Liberty*, fuch licentious Invectives againft the *pretended* Deftroyers, and *real* Guardians of it; that the ftrongeft Demonstration that can be given of the Fallhood of fuch Accufations, is their

### [6]

their being utter'd every Day with Impunity. Non modo Libertas, etiam Libido impunita i gut si quis advertit, dictis dicta ultus est.

From the Restoration let any one caft their Eye backward to the Conquest, and they will find whatever Changes happen'd in the Government, those Changes were nothing more than from one Tyrant, or one Kind of Tyranny, to another. With Regard to the Perfons of Tyrants, there were glorious and inglorious, flothful and active, fuccessful and unfuccessful, Tyrants; but there never was a Ceffation or Relief from one fort or other. With Regard to the Form of Tyranny, there was only the fame melancholy Viciffitude in the manner of oppreffing the People, without any Sufpenfion of the Thing itself; fometimes it was the regal Tyranny of the Prince; fometimes the aristocratic Tyranny of the Barons; fometimes (as in the Reigns of Harry the Second, and Queen Mary the First) the Ecclefiastical Tyranny of of the Clergy; and fometimes all together, in their different Jurisdictions. By which means, between Monarchical, Oligarchical, or Hierarchical Oppreffion, there but from this Tyrant to that, or from one to many; and fo little Appearance of Liberty of any kind among the People, that to recur to what I have already faid, I never hear any body harangue with enthusiaftic Encomiums on the Liberty of Old England, that I am not either ashamed of my Anceftors for deferving those Encomiums fo little, or of my Cotemporaries for beftowing them fo ignorantly.

[7]

As to the Liberty of this Country during the Domination of the Norman Line, every body knows it was none; the Right of Conqueft, the Apprehensions of the Conqueror, and the Depression of the Conquer'd, made the one cager to secure and fix his Title, and the other too much weaken'd with intestine Disputes not to submit to any thing rather than reexpose expose themselves to the recent Mifchiefs of struggling: this Disposition of both made Tyranny easy to be exercised by the Masters, and hard to be refisted by the Slaves; their Purses were drain'd, their Numbers thinn'd, and their Spirits broken: foreign Families were call'd in to lord it over the Natives; and whatever was imposed or required, the People were unable to dispute, or afraid to refuse.

From William the first to Harry the fecond the Crown was worn by three fucceffive Kings, who had no other Pretence to the Crown, than the having a Mind to wear it, and finding means to obtain it: during these Reigns, it was Force only prevail'd, and the People enjoy'd their Right, no more than the lawful Prince did bis.

It is true that every one of thefe three Princes did fucceffively promife the People, in order to make them acquiefce under this Ufurpation, that they would re-eftablish the Saxon Form of Government, and restore all those PrivilePrivileges and Immunities to the People, which were enjoy'd before the *Conquest*; but as they all Three made this Promife to facilitate and plane their Way to the Throne, fo they all Three broke it when they were feated

and fix'd there.

3.4

[9]

During the Reign of *Henry* the Second, (the first King of the *Planta*genet Line) it is true the Crown was lefs powerful, but the People were not more free; their Condition was not better, for the King's being worfe; Ecclefiastical Disputes and Family Quarrels broke and bounded his Power, without enlarging his Subjects Privileges; and whils he mourn'd the Loss of the one, they still groan'd under the Privation of the other.

To him that Royal Don Quixote, and warlike Enthuliaft, Richard the First fucceeded, who exhausted the Treasure of his Kingdom, to raise his Fame upon Earth; and spilt the Blood of his Subjects, to purchase Glory in Heaven,

С

In

In the next Reign, a Struggle for Liberty was again made, but not for the Liberty of the People; the Struggle lay between a King who had a mind to be fole Tyranto and the Barons who had long tyrannifed in their particular Districts over their Inferiors, and now wanted to tyrannife over their Superior too.

During the long fubfequent Reign of Harry the Third, (that is, after the King was of Age, and the Regency of the great Earl of Pembroke determin'd) the Contest was the fame as in the preceding Reign; and tho' by the Conduct of the Earl of Leicester the People afterward feem'd to have got fome ground, by chufing Repre-fentatives who were inftituted with a Pretence of taking care of their Rights; yet this was indeed but a feeming Acquifition, and no real Advantage in present gain'd; for whilst the Pretence of this Inftitution was only the Security of the Rights, Liberties, and Privileges of the People, the true Reafon of

3

## 107

of their Institution (as the subsequent Conduct of the Inftitutor fhow'd) was fo like the popular Affectation, and Patriot-Professions of a more modern Party, that this Measure was only taken to ferve the ambitious Purpofes of Particulars; and ended just as much in the Benefit of those, whose Cause their Patriot-Leader pretended to espouse, as the military Project of our modern Patriots (had it taken effect) would have ended in the Liberty of this Country; as the Schemes of Pompey, had he fucceeded, would have ended in the Liberty of Rome, and the Establishment of the Rights of the Senate; or as the Schemes of Oliver Cromwell (who did fucceed) ended in the Honour and Interest of the Parliament, under whofe Banner he at first speciously fought, whose Cause he plaufibly pretended alone to espoufe, and who had only the Honour of being his laft Victim.

The long Reign therefore of *Harry* the Third was a fhifting Scene of va-C 2 rious unjuit, oppreffive King, others in fayour of a turbulent Nobility, or one able, ambitious Man', but for the poor unhappy People, whatever Spoke of this Wheel was uppermost, their Liberties, like the Earth, were always beneath its Revolutions, and crush'd by its Weight.

To Henry the third fucceeded Edward the first, a great Man, and a great King; but tho' his People grew more confiderable under him, they became not more free: The Conquest of Wales, and the Progress the Englifb Arms made in Scotland, increafed the Confideration of the English Nation, but it reftored no Privileges to the People; and tho' the national Boundaries of the English Dominion were stretch'd, the Limits of English Freedom were still unenlarg'd; the Gates of Liberty were as closely fhut, and as ftrongly barr'd as ever; and the only Comfort of the People in this Reign was, not that the Power of the Crown

[ 13 ]

Crown was bounded, but that it was not abused.

As no body will fay the Reign of his weak and unfortunate Son Edward the fecond, was a Reign of Liberty, and that I mention no Reign but with Regard to that fingle Point, I fhall pass it over without any farther Comment.

King Edward the third, and his Son, who never was King, were both of them great and able Men; great in Action, and able in Council; England too was great under them, but not free; and even its Grandeur under these Princes, as well as under Harry the fourth and fifth was a dangerous Grandeur, which, tho'it rais'd the Reputation of this Country in prefent, would if it had gone on a little longer, have ended in its Ruin; for the only Difference ultimately between France being conquer'd by E gland, or England conquer'd by France, must be whether an English or a French King should rule both; fince in either Cafe,

### [ 14 ]

Cafe, the Seat of Empire would be in France, and England confequently in either would become a Province to France; as in it wo Poffeffions under the fame Prince, the leffer must always be a Province to the greater.

In the Beginning of *Richard* the fecond's unjuft, luxurious, tyrannical and fimple Reign, one tumultuous Mob indeed under a rafh, intoxicated, ignorant Leader, made a fhew of ftruggling for Liberty; but all the fubfequent Part of his Reign was Difquiet, Confusion and Oppreffion, which ended in his Deposition, and the Eftablifhment of *as able a Tyrant*, as ever fat on this Throne.

The gentler Difposition of this fagacious Usurper's great and wife Son *Harry* the fifth; the Success of his Arms abroad, and the calmer Situation of his Subjects at home, less irritated by domestick Oppression, and less torn by intestine Divisions, made the People more happy, but made them in no one Particular more free: For tho' the the Expences of his rapid and extensive Conquests were defray'd with less Charge than such Expeditions and Atchievements usually are, yet this was entirely owing to the owife Frugality of his own Oeconomy, to the Bounds he himself set to his Demands, and not the Limits the People prescribed to *bis* Disbursements, or *their* Donations.

In the fubsequent Reign began the fatal Disputes between the Houses of York and Lancaster; which terminated after four Reigns, and about fixty Years, in the Establishment of Harry the feventh; during all this Contest the People were fo far from enjoying any Freedom, that they never fo much as grafp'd at it; they fought not for Liberty but for a Master; and never aim'd at striking off one Chain, but in order to put on another; as if it were material in Chains of equal Weight and Strength, whole Name was engraved on the Rivets by which they were fastened.

Harry

Harry the feventh, a Prince not wanting Understanding, but wanting every good Quality; byafs'd by the most unroyal Vices, and guilty of the hardeft Opprellions and meaneft Cruelties; found a Disposition in his People; to bear far and patiently; and exercifed their Patience accordingly; he knew they would fubmit to almost any thing he would impose upon them, rather than venture by new Refistance, to draw on again the Blows from which they were still fore, and open Wounds which were yet hardly skinn'd: and having Senfe enough to difcern this to be their Cafe, without Compassion, Benevolénce, of Justice enough to take pity of it; he chofe, inftead of making himfelf fafe in their Affections, (a Security, which in this Situation he might eafily have obtain'd) to try how far they would fuffer; and by a Series of the most rapacious and cruel Acts of Injustice, Violence, Ingratitude, and Treachery, made his Reign den erous and uneafy

[ 16 ]

uneafy to himfelf, as it was odious and oppreffive to his Subjects.

[ 17 ]

This Man, however, by a little dirty Cunning flown in fqueezing the Rich, and deceivingtoevery body that trusted him, got the Title of the Englifb Solomon; to have call'd him the Énglish David would have been more apposite, as he dy'd much more like the One, than he liv'd like the Other; by ordering his Son on his Death-bed to do by the unfortunate Earl of Suffolk, as David order'd his Son to do by Shimei, when after having promifed to spare his Life, he commanded it by a mean, revengeful, unjust and cruel Equivocation, to be taken from him by another.

In Harry the Eighth center'd the two different Claims of York and Lancafter to the Crown; and as he had of courfe an uncontefted and indifputable Right, by this Union of the two Claims, to afcend the Throne; fo he feem'd to think he had an equal Privilege to do whatever he thought fit D when when he was placed there; and that the People in general had no more Right to object to any Violences, his Caprice and Cruelty put him upon acting as King, than any particular Perfon had to object to his being fo.

As his Father and Mother convey'd to him the Titles both of the York and Lancaster Family, so he seem'd likewife to inherit, through them, all the Faults and Vices of both these Houses; he had all the Diffoluteness, the Luxury and Diffipation of his Mother's Family, in the early Days of his Reign; and in the more advanced Part of it, all the Rapacious fields and Cruelty of his Father; so that it was difficult to fay which was most fatal to this Country, the Contest of these two Families, or such a Conclusion of them.

During the Reign of this Oppreffor of the People, this Butcher of his Wives, this Terror of the Nobility, and Scourge to all Mankind; this Dupe abroad, and Tyrant at home; [ 19 ]

there was in *England* neither civil, nor religious Liberty; neither Liberty of acting, fpeaking, or thinking; intimidated, fervile<sup>11</sup>Parliaments were often the Proxies of his Tyranny, but never Checks to it; they made and unmade, enacted and abrogated Laws backward and forward, juft as his fluctuating Difpofition and lawlefs Will (under the Appearance of Law) dictated and ordain'd.

In religious Matters his Conduct was not lefs defultory and tyrannic, than in regard to the Succeffion: Refentment to the Power of Rome, and Bigotry to its Doctrines, produced fuch medley Work in his Councils, that notwithstanding a Disposition in the People, through Fear, to embrace any Opinion which he had a mind to recommend, and profess any Belief he wish'd to impose upon them; yet his Ordinances were often so contradictory, and often fo unintelligible, that many miferable Wretches were put to Death for faying they believed, and  $D_2$ many

many for faying they disbelieved, the fame thing; whilft others were burnt for profeffing, contrary to his Will, what they had only profess'd, becaufe they thought those Professions conformable to it.

During the fhort Reign of his Son Edward the Sixth, the Pride and Weaknefs of the Protector Somerfet, and the Ambition and Wickednefs of Dudley Duke of Northumberland, fucceffively harrafs'd the Kingdom with all the Calamities attending a People fqueez'd by oppreflive Taxes, and forced into civil Wars: and tho' the bad Conduct of thefe two afpiring Men ended in their own mutual Ruin, yet the Ceffation of their Sway gave not to the People any Relief from thofe Miferies caufed by their Authority whilft it lafted.

It is true we owe in a great Meafure the Benefits we at this Day enjoy from the Reformation to the Duke of Somerfet; But that this Country fhou'd owe fuch a Benefit originally to one of the the worft Kings, and fecondly, to one of the weakeft Ministers that ever govern'd it, is a Reflexion that makes one wonder atilthe very beneficial Effects fometimes collaterally produced from very unrespectable Causes, but gives one very little Reverence for fuch cafual Benefactors.

To Edward the Sixth fucceeded his Sifter Mary, a devout but execrable Sovereign; for the cruel Piety of that holy Tyrant, exposed this Kingdom to as many Misfortunes under a good Woman, as it ever felt under the worft Man; her whole Authority was confign'd to the Hands of Ecclefiastical Governors, who embru'd them every Day in the Blood of her unhappy Subjects: The Ufurpation of the Lady Jane Grey, and the fubsequent Rebellion of Wiat, made perhaps fome Executions necessary to fecure Queen Mary on the Throne; but to thefe many more, very unneceffary, were added, by the inexorable Spirit of perfecuting Churchmen, who facrificed, like

like the most barbarous Idolaters, whole Hecatombs of Victims, to their edible God, and his *infallible* Ambassan dor.

Christians, profeffing Charity, inflicted on Christians, all those Cruelties which the early Followers of Christ complain'd of receiving at the afflictive Hand of the most harden'd Infidels.

In a Reign then where abfolute Power both in Church and State, urged by *Revenge* and *Enthusiafm* under the Mask of *Loyalty* and *Devotion*, perfecuted and condemned the Offenders against an injur'd Queen, and what they call'd an injur'd God; in fuch a Reign what Privileges and Freedom were allow'd to the People, even those who are most unacquainted with the History of these Times may easily imagine from the Nature of such Circumstances.

At the Conclusion of this bloody melancholy Scene (which closed not till the Death of the weak Bigot that open'd open'd it) came Queen Elizabeth; a Woman as different from her Sifter and Predeceffor, in Understanding as in Religion; and one whofe great and glorious Reign can hever be unjuitly extoll'd, but when it is call'd a Reign, where the People enjoy'd the least Shadow of Liberty. Every Step she took tended to the publick Welfare, the Honour of the Nation, and the Interest of the collective Body of her People; but was taken as abfolutely without their Consent, as the most prejudicial Measures of any of her most arbitrary Predeceffors, or Success.

She often fent for the Speaker of the House of Commons, and told him not only what she would and would not fuffer to be done, but also what she would or would not allow to be faid; told him she wanted Money, and would have it; and that yea or nay should only be utter'd when it was proposed to be given. That the Commons understood not State Affairs; that State Affairs were not their Business; nefs; and that it behoved them only to meddle with what was properly within their Province. She by her Lord Chancellor told the Speaker, and by the Speaker told the Commons, that they had no Right to judge of Returns in Elections, but that her Lord Chancellor fhould be the fole Judge, and determine in those Cafes.

She imprifon'd Members of Parliament merely by her own Authority; forbid fome Bills to be read in the Houfe; others to be debated there; and refufed the Royal Affent twice, to above 30 Bills each Time, that had pafs'd both Houfes.

Never were the Reins of *Preroga*tive held with a ftricter Hand, or the Yoke of Slavery fafter bound upon the People's Necks than at this Period of Time; and tho' the People were driven where they ought to have chofen to go, yet they were ftill driven; and the fame Power that forced them into Foreign Glory and Domeftick Profperity, might in the Hands of a lefs skilful [ 25 ]

ful or worfe-difpos'd Driver have forced them into the Paths of Contempt abroad, and Deftruction at home; the fame Method of Government purfued in the fubfequeent Rengel, and the Effects of that Method on different Maxims and Policy verify this Affertion.

All therefore that can be faid with regard to the Liberty enjoy'd in the Reign of Queen *Elizabeth* is, that fhe who allow'd her Subjects none, knew their Interest fo well, and purfued it fo fteadily, that under *ber* they poffefs'd every Good, but that of being able to make the Good they possible to the Effects of their own Election.

To draw then a juft Parallel between that Reign and the prefent, I think one may, by only enumerating the most material Circumstances of both, without the least Flattery affirm, that we now reap all the public Benefits that accrued to the Nation from her Counfels, without the latent Evil of their being forced upon us, and con-E fequently fequently rather inflicted than beftow'd.

Both the present King and Queen Elizabeth came to the Throne with a difputed Witleso and Both on their Acceffion found this Nation, with regard to foreign Affairs, in the greateft Perplexity, and in the most delicate Situ-Both of them often found ation. their Title ftruck at under the pretence of National Grievances; and both faw through the Arts, and defeated the Defigns of their open and fecret Enemies; Both of them by Negotiation with all the Powers on the Continent alternately, brought this Country into fuch a Situation, that no one Advantage the English could expect was left ungain'd, no Difpute unadjusted, and not a Court in Europe but follicited their Friendship, and dreaded their Enmity. The only Difference between the Advantages procured to this Kingdom by these two Reigns is, that as the Benefits England received from Queen Elizabeth, were only the mild Effects 3

Effects of the Authority of a Princels who trampled on our Liberties; the Benefits we now receive, come from the Hand of the Protector and Preferver of them : All those Advantages which we were conftrain'd to accept from the one, come recommended to us from the other; the Deliberations of Parliament go hand in hand with the King's Counfels; what his Wifdom and Vigilance has provided and propofed, the other has accepted and approved; the Nation has been preferv'd without any Blow to the Conflitution; and every Good which Queen Elizabeth compell'd her Subjects to receive, without the least Show of Freedom, the present King has procured for them, without the leaft Infringement of it.

There is another fimilar Circumftance in these two Reigns, which is, that in each of them, a great and able Minister, a faithful Servant both to the Crown and to the Publick, was, by the Difcernment and Justice of thofe E 2

those he serv'd, protected and maintain'd, in spight of all the Clamour raifed against him by fuch as look'd with equal Envy on his pofferfing and meriting that Favour that diftinguish'd him. A wife and difcerning Prince always knows that Envy must follow Favour, and Chmour, Envy; confequently, that he must never judge of the Merit of his Servants by the Degree of Clamour against them, which will generally be in proportion to the Degree of Favour, but by examining the Truth or Falshood of the Charge laid against them; and in this Situation alone can be feen the true Refolution and Prudence of a Sovereign; for to yield to Clamour for no other Reafon than merely becaufe it is Clamour, is Weaknefs; and to refift it for no other Reafon, Obstinacy. Queen Elizabeth and King Charles the Second are two Examples of the different Conduct of a wife Queen and a weak King on this Occafion; King Charles, meanly for himfelf, and ungratefully gratefully to his juft, able, and faithful Servant, gave up my Lord *Clarendon*, and by fo doing brought himfelf into Diftreffes that ended but with his Life; Queen *Elizabeth* continu'd *Burleigh* in that Favour that ended but with hers.

To Queen Elizabeth fucceeded the Original of that equally unhappy and undeferving Race of Stuarts, King James the First : His Reign and his Son's were one continued Series of Folly and Injustice; and Queen Elizabeth the Caufe of most of the Miffortunes that befel either them or their People. For these Princes imagining they had a Right to keep up the Prerogative at the Mark they found it, thought of nothing but their Prerogative, and vainly imagin'd the Nation would fubmit to the fame Stretch of the Prerogative when exercifed to their Infamy and Ruin, under which it had acquiesc'd when employ'd for their Glory and Prosperity,

The

The Conduct of James and Charles the First in this particular, was a little like a more modern Prince upon the Continent, wwwho affects, acting with the fame haughty Carriage, and on the fame despotic Principles that were

practifed in the Court of *Charles* the Fifth, without either his Power, his Purfe, or his Understanding: in like manner did these proud, vain, ambitious, weak Kings endeavour to tread the Paths of Queen *Elizabeth*, without either her Head to conduct them in those Paths, or such Ministers, as she made use of, to affist them.

I fhall enter into no particular Detail of the Opprefilion and Defpoticifm of thefe two Reigns; the Notoriety of the Facts makes it needlefs; and with regard to the Struggle made for Liberty when the People could bear no longer the lawlefs opprefive Conduct of King *Charles* the Firft, tho' that Struggle free'd them from hisTyranny, it was far from procuring them that Freedom for which it commenced; their their Deliverer became their Undoer, and an abfolute Stratocracy was the only Exchange they made for an abfolute Monarchy. I cannot help here obferving, that when the Patriot-Reformers of those Days, call'd Levellers, posted up their Declaration at Banbury, three of the four Articles of which, according to Whitlock, that Declaration confisted, were these:

1ft, Against the present Parliament and their Proceedings.

2dly, Against the Council of State.

3dly, Against the Council of the Army.

I must add too, that this Declaration was made just after these Levellers, in modelling the Government, had agreed that no Excise should subsist above four Months after the Commencement of the next Parliament.

No publick Officers of Salary should be capable of being chosen at the next Flection.

No Members of one Reprefentative to be chosen of the next.

The

## [ 32 ]

The next and future Parliaments to be annual.

Not to depend longer on the uncertain Inclination of Parliament.

Tythes not to continue longer than the next Reprefentative.

Every Parifs to chufe their own Minister, and no Pariss to be forced to pay him.

And no one to be exempted from Offices on account of Religion.

If there is any Conformity between thefe Sentiments and Meafures, and thofe of any other Set of Men at prefent fubfifting; if thefe Levelling Texts to reforming Difcourfes, have been adopted by more modern Lay-Preachers, and ferv'd for the Heads of all the late political Sermons of the Craftsman, for this laft Year, every Reader will anticipate any Reflexion or Application I can poffibly make of what I have here quoted, and their own Memory of fuch recent Performances muft confequently make any Refreshment to it unnecessfary.

I fhall

I shall therefore not deviate from my Purpole of fhewing how little Liberty fuch Contenders for Liberty procured for this Country, but conclude my Remarks on the well known Events of these Times, by faying, That those who pretended at first only to reduce and bound the Power of the Crown, and curb him who had abufed it, became themfelves, after they had deftroy'd the King, fuch intolerable Oppreffors of the People, that they straiten'd every Chain they pretended to loofe, and doubled every Evil they pretended to cure; and thus (according to Rapin) one may with great Truth affirm, that England was never less free than during the long Parliament and the Administration of Cromwell.

Such Exceffes brought the Affairs of this Country into the utmost Confufion, and that Confusion ended in recalling from long Banishment the Son of that Oppreffor whom these Patriots had deposed.

Upon

## [ 34 ]

Upon this Reftoration of King Charles the Second, all the Grievances complain'd of in his Father's Reign (or at leaft the Source of them, which was arbitrary Power) were by Miracle only prevented from being again eftablish'd in this Country, and even by Law.

For had it not been for the great and honeft Minister, I have already mention'd, my Lord Clarendon; that faithful Servant to an ungrateful Mafter; that true Patriot and real Benefactor to an unthankful People, the Form of this Government had been entirely alter'd; the English had been for fome time at least as absolute Slaves as the Turks or the Persians, the King had had two Millions a Year fettled upon him to defray all the Expences neceffary for the Support of his Government; Parliaments had been made ufelefs, and confequently laid afide; whilft the Regal Authority had been the fole legislative, as well as principal executive Power in this Country.

That

That this Opposition of Lord Clarendon to the fervile Schemes of the Tory-Restoration Men of this Æra, was no Proof of his Liove to his Master, tho' it was a great one of his Zeal for the Publick, was the way of reafoning which the Enemies of this great Man made use of to destroy him, and which prevail'd at last with his unfeeling, blinded, and cruel Mafter to give him up and difcard him. But in Truth this Conduct of Lord Clarendon was as much for the real Interest of the King as the People; He thought, and thought rightly, that it was the Intereft of the King to have his Government establish'd on that Foot which the Bent of the Nation would quietly fubmit to, and not fuch as they would be tempted afterward to refift, from the fame Motives that had before provoked Refiftance; he imagined from the Examples of Charles the First, Richard the Second, Edward the Second, and Harry the Third, who had been ruin'd by grasping at absolute F 2 Power

Power in this Country, that it was much more prudent for a King here to content himfelf with a bounded Authority which he might make permanent and fecure; than to make his whole Authority precarious by trying to extend it beyond its proper Limits; which had in all Times made the People fo uneafy whenever it was attempted, that Rebellion and Civil-Wars had generally been the Confequence of fuch Plans and Meafures.

[ 36 ]

When the Ephori were eftablish'd in Sparta, by the Confent of Theopompus, who then reign'd there; his Wife asked him if he should not be ashamed to leave the regal Authority to his Sons in a worfe Condition than he found it? to which he answer'd be should leave it in a better, as it would be more secure, in being more tolerable; and more permanent from being liable to fewer Abuses. Had Lord Clarendon been reproach'd for fuffering any Boundary to be put to the Power of his Mafter, I dare fay he would have return'd just fuch an An-And fiver.

And that this way of arguing in Lord Clarendon was falutary for the King, as well as becoming a Lover of his Country, wither Effect of different Reafonings and Meafures in the next Reign plainly fhew'd.

But to return to the Account I propofed to give of the State of Liberty; I acknowledge there was by the upright Conduct of *Lord Clarendon* on the Reftoration, for fome time the dawning Appearance of Liberty in fome wife and beneficial Laws that were then made; but this Dawning was foon overcaft; other Meafures were purfued; this witty Man, and filly King, was govern'd by his ftill more filly Brother; and not only the Liberty but the Religion of the People was ftruck at and invaded.

To these Domestic Grievances, was added the infamous Management of our foreign Affairs; the Parliament grew refractory on Account of both; and tho' for fome time the *Duke of* York and the Parliament got the better

<sup>[ 37 ]</sup> 

ter alternately, yet at last the Duke's Scale prevail'd, and the King for the three last Years of his Reign, govern'd without calling any Parliament at all.

Had King Charles liv'd much longer, it is hardly to be doubted, from the Circumstances in which we then were, but that the Government by Degrees had funk into the most abject State, and in all probability into absolute Slavery: A vicious Court, a corrupt King, a profligate Nobility, no House of Commons, the Growth of Popery, and the Decay of Spirit among the People, were Symptoms that feem'd to prefage the End of all Sort of Freedom being near at hand: But his weak, bigotted, impetuous Brother, not contented to let our Liberties die gradually of that chronical Malady under which he found them languifhing, and by which they muft at laft have expired, grew in hafte for their Diffolution, and by endeavouring to precipitate their Ruin, drew on his own. Nay, had he been fatisfy'd with

with the total Subversion of our civil Liberties, even that, I believe, he might have obtain'd; to that also perhaps he might subsequently have added the Subversion of our religious Rights: but nothing would content this hot, injudicious Man, but doing both at once; and by aiming at both, he was prevented from accomplishing either.

And as fimple People will always do fimple things, and in a fimple manner; fo the recent Example of King *Charles* the Firft before his Eyes proved no Warning to deter King *James* from the like Practices; he proceeded to the fame Violences without dreading the fame Fate, and the incautious Son fplit on that very Rock by which the Father had been fhipwreck'd.

For as King *Charles* the Firft had certainly carry'd all the contefted Points of his Prerogative, had it not been for the injudicious Step he took with regard to the *Liturgy in Scotland*, which commenced a Rebellion there, that that foon fpread its contagious Nature here; fo King James, had he fuffer'd the Change he had a mind to make in our Religion to be postpon'd till what he contended for an Prerogative had been settled, I fear by degrees he had been able to compleat his whole Scheme; but by endeavouring to execute it all at once, he ruin'd himself, and involuntarily faved the Constitution which he had otherwise destroy'd.

From King *James* the Second's Banifhment, Abdication, Deposition, or whatever People pleafe to call it, I date the Birth of real Liberty in this Kingdom, or at least the Establishment, if not the Commencement, of every valuable Privilege we now enjoy.

The Bill of Rights afcertain'd all those disputable Points of Prerogative and Liberty, that had hitherto been infisted on either by the Crown or the People, just as the Power of the one, or the other, at different Æra's, had prevail'd.

For

[ 40 ]

For notwithstanding the Great Charter, till this explanatory Renewal of it, or rather till this supplemental Ingraftment on the great Charter; the Bounds of Liberty and Prerogative were fo indistingly mark'd out, and fo indeterminately known, that the Names of Liberty and Prerogative were made use of both by Prince and People, just as Opportunity favour'd the arbitrary Views of the one, or the licentious Disposition of the other.

No body knew the just Degrees of either; Tyranny often wore the plaufible Title of the one, and Rebellion as often took the specious Form of the other, according as Occasions offer'd, and as the Temper and Circumstances of the Times, gave handle and colour to favour the Schemes and Pretensions of one or the other of these Excesses.

On the one hand the Prince had call'd every thing *bis Prerogative* that his Ambition induced him to wifh was fo; every thing that his Refolu-G tion tion made him attempt, or his Strength enabled him to acquire; every thing that either the Weaknefs of his People wasyobliged to fubmit to, or their Servility was ready to allow.

On the other hand, whatever Encroachments were made by the People on the Prerogative, went under the Denomination of maintaining their Liberties; every Point they gain'd on the Crown, stimulated by their own Spirit and Vigor, or encouraged by the Indolence and Supineness of their Prince, was only call'd reviving former Rights, and afferting ancient Privileges; and thus both King and People were ever acting like those two Parties defcrib'd by Davila, who, jealous of each other, and mutually fearing Encroachments might be made upon them if they continued quiet, were always guilty of Injustice themselves to avoid fuffering it from others; Come fosse fempre necessario o offendere o essere offello; as if it was always necellary to injure, or be injur'd.

3

The

# [ 43 ]

The Prince and People in this Country were by these means formerly just in the same Situation, in which Livy describes the Patnioians and Plebeians of Rome; who (fays he) instead of being joint Supports to the Commonwealth, thought of nothing but how to impair the Strength of one another, till their mutual Jealouss of each other, and their immoderate Desire of preserving their Rights, was the Cause that when either Party was prevalent, it employ'd its whole Power to oppress the other.

The greatest Misfortune therefore that can happen to a Country that is under a mix'd Government like ours, is to have the Districts of the chief Parts of that Government fo indistinctly known, that those who should be joint Administrators of the Good of the whole, are more concern'd about having the Power to administer it, than about the Good itself; and are striving for the one, when they should be promoting the other.

To

# [ 44 ]

To fuch fort of Contention (fays Machiaval) is owing the Ruin of moft States; perche fra gli Huomine, parte defidenando bhavere di piu, parte temendo di perdere l'acquistato, si viene alle inimicitie, alle contenzione, S alle Guerro, della qual nasce la Rouina di quella Provincia; because one Party desiring to gain, and another fearing to lose what it has gain'd, Enmities are bred, Contention ensues, and then civil War, by which the Country is undone.

As therefore no Government can be free but a mix'd Government, and no mix'd Government peaceable, but where the particular Jurifdictions are allotted, and the Bounds of each Part fully known and fettled; fo I think one may with great Truth and Juftice affirm, this Government was never on fo free and fo defirable a Foot, as after *the Bill of Rights* was pass'd, and when the farther Limitations on the Crown by the Act of Settlement took place.

Thofe

Those therefore who fay our Government is founded on Resistance, and from thence infer that Refistance and Struggle is the Situation in which the People in this Country, whether oppress'd or not, ought always to keep themselves in order to preserve their Liberties; are as great Enemies in my Opinion to this Conflitution, at least to the Peace of it, as those who would advise the Crown, instead of maintaining its legal Prerogative, to be watching every favourable Opportunity to increase it; fince the one must produce the other; and if that State of Contention between King and People, is the Point at which both these Do-Etrines must meet, it is pretty immaterial which End you begin at.

I defire not to be mifunderftood in this Point, or misinterpreted; I am far from contending for that infamous, fervile, and unnatural Doctrine of *Non-Refistance*; I know that every Bleffing we now enjoy is owing to the exploding of that Doctrine, and to the acting contrary [ 46 ]

contrary to its unreasonable Maxims and flavish Tenets. When the People are injur'd, when they are oppress'd, when their Rights are infring'd, their Liberties invaded, and the Constitution hurt and wounded, let them refift; it is their Interest, and it is their Duty to refift; it is their Nature, it has been their Practice, and I hope ever will be fo in that Cafe. But what I condemn is the general Doctrine of Refistance, now fo industriously preach'd and inculcated; and I do affirm, that if without any Allegation of Injury fuffer'd, or Wrong attempted, this general Doctrine is to keep the People for ever on the Brink. of Infurrection and Rebellion; if it is at all Times to be preach'd to the People (tho' the Government be ever fo duly. administer'd) if it is to operate equally under the beft and the worft King; I fay it must tend to making the Prince and his People always act as. natural and irreconcilable Enemies, who should always act as natural and infe-. parable

parable Friends; it must make them look on each others Interest, which should always be mutually purfued; as oppositevand incompatible Interests; it must fow the Seeds of eternal Difcord where Union only fhould be cultivated; and at this time I am fure, if this general Way of Reafoning were to influence the Actions of the People, without any one just Pretence of Encroachment made by the Crown upon the People; it is opening a Gate to fuch Strife and fuch Confusion, as in my Opinion would temporarly weaken each of them, and must finally conclude in the absolute Ruin of one or the other; perhaps in the Ruin of both; by making way for One, who could have as little Chance otherwife to fucceed in his long baffled Schemes and Pretenfions, as the People would have for their Liberties if in fuch Confusion he ever fhould fucceed.

To return to the Reign of King William, I allow it to be a Reign of Liberty, but it was alfo a Reign of Difquiet

quiet both for him and his People; this Disquiet was partly owing to the Ruffle every Government must fuffer in fo great a Change as a Revolution, (even tho' that Change is for the better) and to fome Time being neceffary to let fuch Ferments fubfide, before the Good which that Ferment confequentially produces can be felt and enjoy'd. This Difquiet was partly owing too, to the King himfelf, who tho' bleft with admirable natural Parts, had an Impatience in his Temper, and an Inexperience of the English Nation, that hinder'd him from ruling with that Steddinefs in his Conduct, which more Experience wou'd certainly have taught him was neceffary, and lefs Impatience in his Temper would have allow'd him to practife.

King William found himfelf in Difficulties, and by his Impatience conducted himfelf in those Difficulties just as impatient People do when they are fick, who try the Prescriptions of every Physician, and by applying a Multitude titude of Remedies give none the proper time to operate; and confequently prevent that which might prove a Cure, with way fair to ryals of rom ever doing them any Good:

His fluctuating Measures, his fudtlen Changes from one Party to another, and his alternate Recourse to the Affiftance of Whigs and Tories, join'd to his fatal Mistake of thinking, because the Tories profess'd an Attachment to the Prerogative, and the Power of the Crown, that therefore they would fupport him who they would not allow to have any Right to exercife the one, or wear the other; these were the Steps and Opinions that kept him during his whole Life in fuch Perplexity and Uneafinefs, that neither He nor his People tafted the Fruit of that Happiness in his Reign which we now enjoy, tho' all the Seeds of it were then fown.

For the farther Illustration of these Truths, and to avoid a minute Prolixity in this Paper, I must refer my H Readers Readers to a Book publish'd last Year, which will confirm what I have advanc'd with regard to the Frequency of King William's changing Hands, and the Effects those Changes produced. As to the Principles of Non-Refiftance and maintaining the Prerogative of of the Crown, being Principles on which the Tories act only when they have a King of their own, I must apeal to Rapin, who fays they always distinguish between a rightful and an actual King; and that their Behaviour in King William's Reign and the beginning of Queen Anne's compared with the latter End of it, plainly demonstrated that they never acted on those Principles for the Sovereign de facto, till the Sovereign de facto acted in a Manner that tended to the Restoration of him they call'd the Sovereign de jure.

That no body may accuse me of Partiality in my Account of Queen Anne, all the Comments I shall make on her Reign, shall be, by inferting some 3 ortho-

3

orthodox Extracts out of the Craftsman's own political Evangelist Rapin. These are his Words:

" Queen Anne had been educated " in the Principles of the most rigid " Tories with regard to Religion, and " according to all appearances in " those of the most extravagant To-" ries with regard to Government. " Every body at leaft who had any " Influence over ber, or any Share " in her Education, had that Cha-" racter: Her Father King James, " her paternal Uncle King Charles, and her maternal Uncle the Earl of " 66 Rochefter, were each of them of 66 fuch Principles and Sentiments, as 66 hold all Oppofition whatever to the 66 Will of a Sovereign to be abfolute " Rebellion. Queen Anne was natu-66 rally obstinate, and of a very bound-55 ed Genius and Capacity. This lat-" ter Misfortune made it easy for Peo-" ple to conclude, that her Minifters " would have a greater Share in her " Government than herfelf; and eve-H 2 " IY " ry one, but the higheft Tories of all, was confequently apprehenfive left the Prejudices of Parentage and Eddeator Thould induce her to put Lord Rochefter at the Head of Affairs, whom the whole World look'd upon as a dangerous Man, and capable of pufhing things to the laft Extremity.

" These Apprehensions in the Majority of the Nation prevented Lord " Rochester from being employ'd, and " the Queen was forced (I know not, " fays Rapin, by what Intrigues) to " put herself into the Hands of Lord " Marlborough, Lord Godolphin, and " those Men whom they recommend-" ed".

Rapin proceeds in giving great Encomiums to their Administration, and attributes " the Lofs of their Power " entirely to the perfonal Addrefs, " and political Dexterity of one Lady seeing much fuperior to that of another,

" Imme=

" Immediately after the Victory of this Lady was compleated, the Tories (fays he) were employ'd; the Parliament diffoly'dm all Ecclefiaftical Difputes were reviv'd, the Prefbyterians were fhamefully perfecuted, and the Populace made to believe that the eftablifb'd Church was in Danger.

" Hitherto every thing went as the " new Tory-Ministers could wish; " but they forefaw that the chimeri-" cal Terrors they had fcatter'd among the People could not laft, though 66 " they had been of immediate Ufe, " and ferv'd the prefent Purpofe: Be-66 fides, tho' the Ministers could have " kept the People warm, yet as the " Queen, who was very infirm, might " die, they knew, the Elector of Ha-" nover, who must fucceed, if the çc Act of Settlement took place, would " unrauel all they had been doing. " They fet themfelves therefore im-¢¢ . mediately to work, to guard against this Danger without Loss of Time; 66 " they

## [ 54 ]

" they knew by the Experience of King William's Reign, and the Be-55 " ginning of Queen Anne's, that their 55 Party was too weak to fuftain itfelf " with a Sovereign against them; and ٢, concluded therefore (if one may " judge by their Conduct) that the only Security they could have, was ٢, in giving the Crown to the Preten-٢C •• der." Rapin, however, does not rank Lord O - - - d in the Number of those who were in this Scheme.

" Thofe who were in the Preten-" der's Intereft, he fays, thought it " abfolutely neceffary to engage " *France* on their Side; and to this " End made that infamous and preci-" pitate *Peace of Utrecht*, which a-" ftonifh'd the whole World.

" In all probability (Rapin adds) " if the Queen had liv'd much longer, " the AEt of Successfron would have been " repeal'd, and the Whigs would have " been obliged to call the Elector of " Hanover to their Succour, as they " had formerly done the Prince of " Orange;

" Orange; tho' they would not (fays " he) have had the fame Profpect of " the universal Concurrence of the " People inwonior Gasonasathe other; " because in the Case of King James " the Second, the Oppressions of his " Government were actually felt; " whereas those of the Pretender " were only prefumptively apprehend-" ed." But here I differ from Rapin, having too good an Opinion of the Understandings of my Countrymen not to believe, that the certain Confequences of the Pretender's coming here, would operate as ftrongly on the Minds of the English, as the actual Violences of King James; that they would exert themfelves as firenuoufly to prevent these Evils, as they did to cure those; and no more fuffer the Pretender to come, than they did King James to remain here.

" All that happen'd immediately after the Acceffion of King George the First to the Throne, (according to Rapin) demonstrably proved, that " that those who govern'd in the " latter Part of the Queen's Reign, de-" fign'd to impose the Pretender on " this Nation.

" this Nation As to the Liberty then enjoy'd thefe are his Words: Let any one reflect on the Measures pursued by Queen Anne in the last Years of her Reign; as for Example, on the manner on which the Peace of Utrecht was treated and concluded; the Twelve Peers created all at once, and the Violence committed in Elections; and no one can deny but these were so many Steps leading to Defpoticism and in which she was guided and supported by the Tories. The Attempts made on the Liberty of the Press, and the religious Persecutions in those Days, are corroborative Circumftances not mention'd by Rapin; but what ought not I think to have been omitted.

They are Circumftances too which every Body fhould carry in their Mind, whenever they make a Comparison between the Regard a Whig and a Tory Admini-

#### [ 56 ]

[ 57 ]

Administration have always shewn to Liberty: And whoever examines, or reflects on the Conduct of a Tory Administration in this Country, or Men acting on Tory Principles, even before the Name of Tory was known; will find I believe that they have generally set out with a Plan to ruin the People, which has most commonly ended in the Ruin of themselves, or the Prince that employ'd them, or both.

Having by this Deduction run thro' all the Reigns of the English Kings from the Conquest to the Acceffion of the present Royal Family to the Throne, and given a cursory View of the State of Liberty in each of them, I come now to the Point at first proposed, of examining whether the State of Liberty at present is for much impair'd as the Opponents to the Government are every Day affirming; and whether the Prerogative of the Crown is got to that monstrous Height that some People endeavour to represent it.

In

In order to clear this Point I defire only to ask two Queftions. Was the *Prerogative* of the Crown enlarg'd or limited by the *AEt of Settlement?* And has the King comply'd with the Articles contain'd in the Act of Settlement, or has he afferted any Point of Prerogative not left to the Crown by that Act, or infring'd any Privilege referv'd by that Act to the People?

And if it is indifputably true that the Crown was never bound by ftronger Reftrictions than in that Act, and as true that none of those Reftrictions have been unobserv'd or evaded, with what Truth or Justice can these clamorous Inveighers against the Government complain of the present Decay of Liberty, or reproach the Prince upon the Throne with the least Infringement of any of the Rights or Privileges of his Subjects? In what one Particular can they find Proof for these Affertions, and how without Proof can they hope to find Credit for them?

Words

Words it is certain may always be found to blacken and calumniate the fairest Characters and the most upright Conduct; and as I will allow, that Words may also be found to varnish over the worft Actions, and foften the greatest Faults; fo upon this Occasion, as upon all others, where Truth is fought or discovered, let Things and Facts speak for themselves; and when they do fo, they will always stamp their own proper, intrinsic Value, on the Opinion of the Bulk of Mankind, notwithstanding the various Turns Partiality may give to them on one Hand or Malice on the other: For as the Gross of the Nation and the Body of the People in general, who are to be benefited or prejudiced by the Conduct of those who are at the Helm, will judge by Facts and what they fee and feel, fo it will be impossible for the Glare of Flattery long to dazzle them with a Representation of Profperity if they do not feel that Profperity; nor can the most plausible and artful I 2

artful Infinuations of Calumny and Detraction make them blind to Benefits if they really receive and enjoy them.

Notwithstanding therefore the fashionable, and general Accufations of Blunders abroad, and Corruption at home; when the People of England do fee and know, that those intricate, contradictory Treaties, those Labyrinths of Negotiation, (as our Transactions abroad have been elegantly call'd) those injudicious Steps and Meafures have been fuch, that, whilft the reft of Europe is in Arms, and in Confusion, England is in Peace and Profperity; that these Treaties have been fo made, that England, in the prefent Wrangles and Difputes, is entirely at Liberty to chufe for whom fhe will act, or whether fhe will act at all; that no one Point she has drove at, with regard to her own Interest, is brought anew into Question; that her Possefions abroad are unattack'd, and her Commerce fo unrival'd, fo unobstructed, and

and fo flourishing, that the Imports and Exports were never higher, nor our Credit in greater Prosperity; when the People of liEngland fee and know that every Court in Europe (where the Opponents have reprefented us as mendicant and fuing) is folliciting our Favour, and apprehending our Enmity; when they fee every great Power as anxious for the Success of their Minister's Applications to this Court, as for the Success of their Generals in the Field; whilft England makes this Figure in Europe, I have too good an Opinion of my Countrymen to believe that a few mercenary Journalifts and tefty Pamphleteers will be able to perfuade them, that the Affairs of this Kingdom have been blunderingly, ignorantly, or diffeoneftly conducted; or that our Negotiations for thefe late Years have brought us either into Contempt or Diftress; nor can I imagine that the inflammatory Suggestions of repeated Libels. will be able, againft Demonstration, to convince the Nation,

#### [ 62 ]

tion, that we have a Prince on the Throne, who wants either Abilities or Inclination to procure the Welfare of his Subjects; that he has been ill ferv'd in those Views, or that they have not fucceeded.

With regard to Corruption, and the Loss of Liberty at home; the Charge of the Opponents is just as well supported by Facts, or made out by Examples in these Points, as in the other.

In what Article has Liberty been infring'd? Was the Power of Parliament ever in greater Vigor? Was the Law ever more equitably administer'd? Did Men of greater Abilities, or fairer Characters, ever prefide in the Courts of Juffice? Were the Sentences and Decifions of the Law, either in the first Trials, or in the extreme Refort, ever less complain'd of? or was the Equity of the Legislature ever more unimpeach'd? Was there ever any Reign in which fewer Attempts were made to stretch the Bounds of the Prerogative, or fewer Abuses made of

of the Prerogative within its legal and proper Limits? Was there ever any Court where fo few Employments were ever bought and fold? or rather, Can there be one Inftance given where Money has operated in the Diftribution of Offices; where Favour has over-ruled Juffice, or Money purchafed Favour? Were Men of more Knowledge, of more exemplary Lives, and more unexceptionable Characters ever preferr'd in the Church? Was there ever any Court where Vice met with fo little Encouragement from the Countenance flown to it, or fo little Juftification by Example? Was there ever any Court fo just, fo punctual, and fo exact in all its Payments? Was there ever in any Court more proper Grandeur and Dignity kept up, or more Order and Decency observ'd? And let any Man, who knows the Prince upon the Throne, and will fay what he knows, declare if ever it was fill'd by One, who look'd more minutely into every Matter relating to his

his high Station, the Welfare of his People, and national Concern; or one who paid a more inviolable Regard to Truth in all his Words, or to Juffice in all his Actions. Nor will the Equity of future Times refufe to confirm this Truth, how unwilling foever the Ingratitude of fome of our Cotemporaries makes them to allow, what they may deny, but cannot difprove. Suum cuique decus Posteritas rependit.

This being the true Situation, and fuch the happy Condition of this Country at prefent, I would be glad to ask the Enemies to his Majefty's Government, the Afperfers of his Adminiftration, and the Deluders of his People; if they were to chufe throughout the whole Hiftory of *England*, from the first Institution of Government in this Island, what Period of Time they would pick out, for the Circumstances of which they would be glad to exchange the Circumstances of the prefent Times?

If they can find none; and if it is farther visible, after all the Threats and Menaces thrown out, the Beginning of the last Session of Parliament, with every Subject open to the Difquifition of Parliament, that no one particular Charge either of Neglect or Guilt has been exhibited against any Man concern'd in the Administration of the Government, how are we to account for all the Clamour and Complaint fo indefatigably propagated, and fo artfully fomented all over the Kingdom? The Way I shall account for it, and the only way I think it can be accounted for, is in the Manner that Rapin accounts for the Proceedings of the *fame Party* upon another Occafion. And as I cannot put his Reflexion into better Words than his own; here they are.

Ce ne sont pas done tous les Anglois qui doivent porter le Blame de ces Divisions denaturées, mais seulement ceux qui les fomentent pour leur interêt, par-K ticu-

#### [ 66 ]

ticuliers: ce sont les Chefs qui, pour leur propre interêt, seduicent le pauvre People, & qui ne se fout point de Scrupule de l'engager dans le Risque d'une Guerre civile.

It is not then all the English who should bear the Blame of these unnatural Divisions, but only Those who foment them for their own private Interest; those Heads of the Party, who to pursue their own Interest seduce the poor People, and make no Scruple of risking to engage the Kingdom in a civil War.

I shall add nothing more to this Paper, but to ask the People, Who are then the properest Men to be intrusted with the Care of their Fellow-Subjects, Those who would fill them with false Fears of the Civil-Government, and expose Them to the real Dangers of a Military-Government; or Those who have been to watchful over the true Interest of this Country, as to preferve the Constitution in its ancient Form against againft all Attacks to undermine it ? And whether it is to be imagined, that those who have shows for little Regard to the Interest of the People when out of Power, would have much more Regard to it if they were in ? Or having abused the People in order to acquire Power, whether it is reasonable to think they would abuse them less, when they would have the Means in their Hands of abusing them more?

#### FINIS.



Nacional States and

si mint of and

