

12463
79

www.libtool.com.cn

Ingersoll - Shakespeare - 1904



12453.72

www.libtool.com.cn

**HARVARD COLLEGE
LIBRARY**



**THE BEQUEST OF
EVERT JANSEN WENDELL
CLASS OF 1882
OF NEW YORK**

1918



www.libtool.com.cn

www.libtool.com.cn

10
12783.79
PRICE, 25 CENTS.

www.digitool.com.cn
SHAKESPEARE

A LECTURE.



By **ROBERT G. INGERSOLL.**

C. P. FARRELL, PUBLISHER,

NEW YORK, 1904.

Col. Robert G. Ingersoll's

COMPLETE WORKS

Dresden Edition of 12 Handsome Octavo Volumes
Complete index to all the volumes and table of contents to each volume

THE only authorized and complete edition of Ingersoll's works. *Published with the authority and supervision of the family*, from his manuscripts, notes and literary memoranda. This edition of the writings of Robert G. Ingersoll justifies its description as complete. Besides including all of the author's famous lectures, addresses and orations already issued in pamphlet form, the volumes contain three thousand pages of matter not hitherto published. Among his inedited writings, now first appearing, may be mentioned the author's first lecture, entitled "Progress," delivered in 1860; the lectures on "Robert Burns," "The Great Infidels," "My Reviewers Reviewed," an answer to the Rev. Lyman Abbott's article, "Flaws in Ingersollism," published in the *North American Review*; an answer to Archdeacon Farrar's article, "A Few Words on Colonel Ingersoll," published in the same magazine; an answer to the Dean of St. Paul's article on "Cruelty"; many new pages on Divorce, after-dinner Speeches, Magazine articles on the Chinese Question; essays on Art and Morality, "Three Philanthropists," "Is Avarice Triumphant?" "Some Interrogation Points" (on the Labor Question); Prefaces, Tributes, Fragments, etc., etc. Among the numerous essays to be found in these volumes are those on Professor Huxley, Ernest Renan, and Count Tolstoy.

The matter given precedence in the Dresden Edition, as might be foreseen, comprises the author's great lectures on the Bible and the Christian Religion and his discussions with theologians, amateur and professional. Among his opponents were the Rt. Hon. W. E. Gladstone, Cardinal Manning, Judge Jeremiah S. Black, and the Rev. Henry M. Field, whose defences of their faith are given in full. It is doubted that Colonel Ingersoll's Replies will be found in the published writings of those authors.

The work is beautifully illustrated with photogravures, etchings, half-tones and fac-similes, consisting of portraits of the author taken at various times, and other matter pertinent to the works. The 12 volumes contain over 7000 pages, printed in large type, on finest of laid deckle-edge paper, wide margins, gilt tops, and bound library style, in olive silk cloth or half levant. Sold only in sets.

Price, cloth, \$30.00; either paper-label or gilt backs

Half levant, \$60.00.

Send for circular, containing full description of the *Dresden Edition*, Ingersoll's Miscellaneous Pamphlets, Portraits, Souvenir Spoons, Paper Weights, and anything pertaining to Ingersoll, to C. P. FARRELL, 117 East 21st Street, New York City, N. Y., U. S. A.

N. B.—Sole English Agents in Great Britain for the Dresden Edition, Ingersoll's Complete Works: THE FREETHOUGHT PUBLISHING CO., Ltd., 2 Newcastle Street, Farringdon Street, London, E. C., England.

COMMENTS

"Colonel Ingersoll writes with a rare and enviable brilliancy."

—W. E. GLADSTONE.

"I envy the land that brings forth such glorious fruit as an Ingersoll."

—BJÖRNSTJERNE BJÖRNSSON.

"Col. Ingersoll is a wonderful man, his speech for half an hour was a revelation.

"Royal Bob," as Garfield called him, was never in better feather, and how deep he goes and how high he soars."—WALT WHITMAN.

"Col. Ingersoll, the man whom above all others I should have wished and hoped to meet if I had visited America during his lifetime."

—ALGERNON CHARLES SWINBURNE.

"Col. Ingersoll, whose services for the promotion of the truth, I value most sincerely."—Prof. ERNST HAECKEL.

"His was a great and beautiful spirit, he was a man—all man, from his crown to his foot-soles. My reverence for him was deep and genuine, I prized his affection for me, and returned it with usury."—MARK TWAIN.

www.libtool.com.cn

www.libtool.com.cn



SHAKESPEARE.

From the Kesselstadt Death Mask.

www.libtool.com.cn

SHAKESPEARE.

A LECTURE

BY

ROBERT G. INGERSOLL.

Shakespeare.—An intellectual ocean, whose waves touched all the shores of thought.



NEW YORK.
C. P. FARRELL, PUBLISHER,
1904.

www.wlibstool.com.cn

HARVARD COLLEGE LIBRARY
FROM
THE BEQUEST OF
EVERT JANSEN WERDELL
1919

Entered according to Act of Congress, in the year 1894,
By ROBERT G. INGERSOLL,
In the Office of the Librarian of Congress at Washington, D. C.

SHAKESPEARE.

I.

WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE was the greatest genius of our world. He left to us the richest legacy of all the dead—the treasures of the rarest soul that ever lived and loved and wrought of words the statues, pictures, robes and gems of thought.

It is hard to overstate the debt we owe to the men and women of genius. Take from our world what they have given, and all the niches would be empty, all the walls naked—meaning and connection would fall from words of poetry and fiction, music would go back to common air, and all the forms of subtle and enchanting Art would lose pro-

portion and become the unmeaning waste and shattered spoil of thoughtless Chance.

Shakespeare is too great a theme. I feel as though endeavoring to grasp a globe so large that the hand obtains no hold. He who would worthily speak of the great dramatist should be inspired by "a muse of fire that should ascend the brightest heaven of invention" — he should have "a kingdom for a stage, and monarchs to behold the swelling scene."

More than three centuries ago, the most intellectual of the human race was born. He was not of supernatural origin. At his birth there were no celestial pyrotechnics. His father and mother were both English, and both had the cheerful habit of living in this world. The cradle in which he was rocked was canopied by neither myth nor miracle, and in his veins there was no drop of royal blood.

This babe became the wonder of mankind. Neither of his parents could read or write. He grew up in a small and ignorant village on the banks of the Avon, in the midst of the common people of three hundred years ago. There was nothing in the peaceful, quiet landscape on which he looked, noth-

ing in the low hills, the cultivated and undulating fields, and nothing in the murmuring stream, to excite the imagination — nothing, so far as we can see, calculated to sow the seeds of the subtlest and sublimest thought.

So there is nothing connected with his education, or his lack of education, that in any way accounts for what he did. It is supposed that he attended school in his native town — but of this we are not certain. Many have tried to show that he was, after all, of gentle blood, but the fact seems to be the other way. Some of his biographers have sought to do him honor by showing that he was patronized by Queen Elizabeth, but of this there is not the slightest proof.

As a matter of fact, there never sat on any throne a king, queen, or emperor who could have honored William Shakespeare.

Ignorant people are apt to overrate the value of what is called education. The sons of the poor, having suffered the privations of poverty, think of wealth as the mother of joy. On the other hand, the children of the rich, finding that gold does not produce happiness, are apt to underrate the value of wealth. So the children of the educated often care

www.libtool.com.cn

but little for books, and hold all culture in contempt. The children of great authors do not, as a rule, become writers.

Nature is filled with tendencies and obstructions. Extremes beget limitations, even as a river by its own swiftness creates obstructions for itself.

Possibly, many generations of culture breed a desire for the rude joys of savagery, and possibly generations of ignorance breed such a longing for knowledge, that of this desire, of this hunger of the brain, Genius is born. It may be that the mind, by lying fallow, by remaining idle for generations, gathers strength.

Shakespeare's father seems to have been an ordinary man of his time and class. About the only thing we know of him is that he was officially reported for not coming monthly to church. This is good as far as it goes. We can hardly blame him, because at that time Richard Bifield was the minister at Stratford, and an extreme Puritan, one who read the Psalter by Sternhold and Hopkins.

The church was at one time Catholic, but in John Shakespeare's day it was Puritan, and in 1564, the year of Shakespeare's birth, they had the images defaced. It is greatly to the honor of John

www.libtool.com.cn

Shakespeare that he refused to listen to the "tidings of great joy" as delivered by the Puritan Bifield.

Nothing is known of his mother, except her beautiful name — Mary Arden. In those days but little attention was given to the biographies of women. They were born, married, had children, and died. No matter how celebrated their sons became, the mothers were forgotten. In old times, when a man achieved distinction, great pains were taken to find out about the father and grandfather—the idea being that genius is inherited from the father's side. The truth is, that all great men have had great mothers. Great women have had, as a rule, great fathers.

The mother of Shakespeare was, without doubt, one of the greatest of women. She dowered her son with passion and imagination and the higher qualities of the soul, beyond all other men. It has been said that a man of genius should select his ancestors with great care—and yet there does not seem to be as much in heredity as most people think. The children of the great are often small. Pigmies are born in palaces, while over the children of genius is the roof of straw. Most of the great are like

mountains, with the valley of ancestors on one side and the depression of posterity on the other.

In his day Shakespeare was of no particular importance. It may be that his mother had some marvelous and prophetic dreams, but Stratford was unconscious of the immortal child. He was never engaged in a reputable business. Socially he occupied a position below servants. The law described him as "a sturdy vagabond." He was neither a noble, a soldier, nor a priest. Among the half-civilized people of England, he who amused and instructed them was regarded as a menial. Kings had their clowns, the people their actors and musicians. Shakespeare was scheduled as a servant. It is thus that successful stupidity has always treated genius. Mozart was patronized by an Archbishop—lived in the palace,—but was compelled to eat with the scullions.

The composer of divine melodies was not fit to sit by the side of the theologian, who long ago would have been forgotten but for the fame of the composer.

We know but little of the personal peculiarities, of the daily life, or of what may be called the outward Shakespeare, and it may be fortunate that so little is

www.libtool.com.cn
known. He might have been belittled by friendly fools. What silly stories, what idiotic personal reminiscences, would have been remembered by those who scarcely saw him! We have his best—his sublimest—and we have probably lost only the trivial and the worthless. All that is known can be written on a page.

We are tolerably certain of the date of his birth, of his marriage and of his death. We think he went to London in 1586, when he was twenty-two years old. We think that three years afterward he was part owner of Blackfriars' Theatre. We have a few signatures, some of which are supposed to be genuine. We know that he bought some land—that he had two or three law-suits. We know the names of his children. We also know that this incomparable man—so apart from, and so familiar with, all the world—lived during his literary life in London—that he was an actor, dramatist and manager—that he returned to Stratford, the place of his birth,—that he gave his writings to negligence, deserted the children of his brain—that he died on the anniversary of his birth at the age of fifty-two, and that he was buried in the church where the images had been defaced, and that on his

www.libtool.com.cn

tomb was chiseled a rude, absurd and ignorant epitaph.

No letter of his to any human being has been found, and no line written by him can be shown.

And here let me give my explanation of the epitaph. Shakespeare was an actor—a disreputable business—but he made money—always reputable. He came back from London a rich man. He bought land, and built houses. Some of the supposed great probably treated him with deference. When he died he was buried in the church. Then came a reaction. The pious thought the church had been profaned. They did not feel that the ashes of an actor were fit to lie in holy ground. The people began to say the body ought to be removed. Then it was, as I believe, that Dr. John Hall, Shakespeare's son-in-law, had this epitaph cut on the tomb :

“ Good friend, for Jesus' sake forbear
To digg the dust enclosed heare :
Blest be ye man yt spares thes stones,
And curst be he yt moves my bones.”

Certainly Shakespeare could have had no fear that his tomb would be violated. How could it have entered his mind to have put a warning, a threat and a blessing, upon his grave? But the ignorant peo-

ple of that day were no doubt convinced that the epitaph was the voice of the dead, and so feeling they feared to invade the tomb. In this way the dust was left in peace.

This epitaph gave me great trouble for years. It puzzled me to explain why he, who erected the intellectual pyramids, — great ranges of mountains — should put such a pebble at his tomb. But when I stood beside the grave and read the ignorant words, the explanation I have given flashed upon me.

II.

IT has been said that Shakespeare was hardly mentioned by his contemporaries, and that he was substantially unknown. This is a mistake. In 1600 a book was published called *England's Parnassus*, and it contained ninety extracts from Shakespeare. In the same year was published the *Garden of the Muses*, containing several pieces from Shakespeare, Chapman, Marston and Ben Jonson. *England's Helicon* was printed in the same year, and contained poems from Spenser, Greene, Harvey and Shakespeare.

In 1600 a play was acted at Cambridge, in which

www.libtool.com.cn

Shakespeare was alluded to as follows : “ Why, here’s our fellow Shakespeare who puts them all down.” John Weaver published a book of poems in 1595, in which there was a sonnet to Shakespeare. In 1598 Richard Bamfield wrote a poem to Shakespeare. Francis Meres, “ clergyman, master of arts in both universities, compiler of school books,” was the author of the *Wits’ Treasury*. In this he compares the ancient and modern tragic poets, and mentions Marlowe, Peele, Kyd and Shakespeare. So he compares the writers of comedies, and mentions Lilly, Lodge, Greene and Shakespeare. He speaks of elegiac poets, and names Surrey, Wyatt, Sidney, Raleigh and Shakespeare. He compares the lyric poets, and names Spenser, Drayton, Shakespeare and others. This same writer, speaking of Horace, says that England has Sidney, Shakespeare and others, and that “as the soul of Euphorbus was thought to live in Pythagoras, so the sweet-wittie soul of Ovid lives in the mellifluous and honey-tongued Shakespeare.” He also says : “ If the Muses could speak English, they would speak in Shakespeare’s phrase.” This was in 1598. In 1607, John Davies alludes in a poem to Shakespeare.

Of course we are all familiar with what rare Ben Jonson wrote. Henry Chettle took Shakespeare to task because he wrote nothing on the death of Queen Elizabeth.

It may be wonderful that he was not better known. But is it not wonderful that he gained the reputation that he did in so short a time, and that twelve years after he began to write he stood at least with the first?

III.

BUT there is a wonderful fact connected with the writings of Shakespeare: In the Plays there is no direct mention of any of his contemporaries. We do not know of any poet, author, soldier, sailor, statesman, priest, nobleman, king, or queen, that Shakespeare directly mentioned.

Is it not marvelous that he, living in an age of great deeds, of adventures in far-off lands and unknown seas—in a time of religious wars—in the days of the Armada—the massacre of St. Bartholomew—the Edict of Nantes—the assassination of Henry III.—the victory of Lepanto—the execution of Marie Stuart—did not mention the name of any

man or woman of his time? Some have insisted that the paragraph ending with the lines :

“The imperial votress passed on in maiden meditation fancy
free,”

referred to Queen Elizabeth ; but it is impossible for me to believe that the daubed and wrinkled face, the small black eyes, the cruel nose, the thin lips, the bad teeth, and the red wig of Queen Elizabeth could by any possibility have inspired these marvelous lines.

It is perfectly apparent from Shakespeare's writings that he knew but little of the nobility, little of kings and queens. He gives to these supposed great people great thoughts, and puts great words in their mouths and makes them speak—not as they really did—but as Shakespeare thought such people should. This demonstrates that he did not know them personally.

Some have insisted that Shakespeare mentions Queen Elizabeth in the last scene of Henry VIII. The answer to this is that Shakespeare did not write the last scene in that Play. The probability is that Fletcher was the author.

Shakespeare lived during the great awakening of

the world, when Europe emerged from the darkness of the Middle Ages, when the discovery of America had made England, that blossom of the Gulf-Stream, the centre of commerce, and during a period when some of the greatest writers, thinkers, soldiers and discoverers were produced.

Cervantes was born in 1547, dying on the same day that Shakespeare died. He was undoubtedly the greatest writer that Spain has produced. Rubens was born in 1577. Camoens, the Portuguese, the author of the *Lusiad*, died in 1597. Giordano Bruno—greatest of martyrs—was born in 1548—visited London in Shakespeare's time—delivered lectures at Oxford, and called that institution "the widow of learning." Drake circled the globe in 1580. Galileo was born in 1564—the same year with Shakespeare. Michael Angelo died in 1563. Kepler—he of the Three Laws—born in 1571. Calderon, the Spanish dramatist, born in 1601. Corneille, the French poet, in 1606. Rembrandt, greatest of painters, 1607. Shakespeare was born in 1564. In that year John Calvin died. What a glorious exchange!

Seventy-two years after the discovery of America Shakespeare was born, and England was filled with

the voyages and discoveries written by Hakluyt, and the wonders that had been seen by Raleigh, by Drake, by Frobisher and Hawkins. London had become the centre of the world, and representatives from all known countries were in the new metropolis. The world had been doubled. The imagination had been touched and kindled by discovery. In the far horizon were unknown lands, strange shores beyond untraversed seas. Toward every part of the world were turned the prows of adventure. All these things fanned the imagination into flame, and this had its effect upon the literary and dramatic world. And yet Shakespeare—the master spirit of mankind—in the midst of these discoveries, of these adventures, mentioned no navigator, no general, no discoverer, no philosopher.

Galileo was reading the open volume of the sky, but Shakespeare did not mention him. This to me is the most marvelous thing connected with this most marvelous man.

At that time England was prosperous — was then laying the foundation of her future greatness and power.

When men are prosperous, they are in love with life. Nature grows beautiful, the arts begin to

flourish, there is work for painter and sculptor, the poet is born, the stage is erected — and this life with which men are in love, is represented in a thousand forms.

Nature, or Fate, or Chance prepared a stage for Shakespeare, and Shakespeare prepared a stage for Nature.

Famine and faith go together. In disaster and want the gaze of man is fixed upon another world. He that eats a crust has a creed. Hunger falls upon its knees, and heaven, looked for through tears, is the mirage of misery. But prosperity brings joy and wealth and leisure — and the beautiful is born.

One of the effects of the world's awakening was Shakespeare. We account for this man as we do for the highest mountain, the greatest river, the most perfect gem. We can only say : He was.

“It hath been taught us from the primal state
That he which is was wished until he were.”

IV.

IN Shakespeare's time the actor was a vagabond, the dramatist a disreputable person — and yet the greatest dramas were then written. In spite of law, and social ostracism, Shakespeare reared the many-

colored dome that fills and glorifies the intellectual heavens.

Now the whole civilized world believes in the theatre — asks for some great dramatist — is hungry for a play worthy of the century, is anxious to give gold and fame to any one who can worthily put our age upon the stage — and yet no great play has been written since Shakespeare died.

Shakespeare pursued the highway of the right. He did not seek to put his characters in a position where it was right to do wrong. He was sound and healthy to the centre. It never occurred to him to write a play in which a wife's lover should be jealous of her husband.

There was in his blood the courage of his thought. He was true to himself and enjoyed the perfect freedom of the highest art. He did not write according to rules — but smaller men make rules from what he wrote.

How fortunate that Shakespeare was not educated at Oxford — that the winged god within him never knelt to the professor. How fortunate that this giant was not captured, tied and tethered by the literary Lilliputians of his time.

He was an idealist. He did not — like most

writers of our time — take refuge in the real, hiding a lack of genius behind a pretended love of truth. All realities are not poetic, or dramatic, or even worth knowing. The real sustains the same relation to the ideal that a stone does to a statue — or that paint does to a painting. Realism degrades and impoverishes. In no event can a realist be more than an imitator and copyist. According to the realist's philosophy, the wax that receives and retains an image is an artist.

Shakespeare did not rely on the stage-carpenter, or the scenic painter. He put his scenery in his lines. There you will find mountains and rivers and seas, valleys and cliffs, violets and clouds, and over all "the firmament fretted with gold and fire." He cared little for plot, little for surprise. He did not rely on stage effects, or red fire. The plays grow before your eyes, and they come as the morning comes. Plot surprises but once. There must be something in a play besides surprise. Plot in an author is a kind of strategy — that is to say, a sort of cunning, and cunning does not belong to the highest natures.

There is in Shakespeare such a wealth of thought that the plot becomes almost immaterial — and such

is this wealth that you can hardly know the play — there is too much. After you have heard it again and again, it seems as pathless as an untrodden forest.

He belonged to all lands. “Timon of Athens” is as Greek as any tragedy of Eschylus. “Julius Cæsar” and “Coriolanus” are perfect Roman, and as you read, the mighty ruins rise and the Eternal City once again becomes the mistress of the world. No play is more Egyptian than “Antony and Cleopatra”—the Nile runs through it, the shadows of the pyramids fall upon it, and from its scenes the Sphinx gazes forever on the outstretched sands.

In “Lear” is the true pagan spirit. “Romeo and Juliet” is Italian — everything is sudden, love bursts into immediate flower, and in every scene is the climate of the land of poetry and passion.

The reason of this is that Shakespeare dealt with elemental things, with universal man. He knew that locality colors without changing, and that in all surroundings the human heart is substantially the same.

Not all the poetry written before his time would make his sum — not all that has been written since, added to all that was written before, would equal his.

There was nothing within the range of human thought, within the horizon of intellectual effort, that he did not touch. He knew the brain and heart of man—the theories, customs, superstitions, hopes, fears, hatreds, vices and virtues of the human race.

He knew the thrills and ecstasies of love, the savage joys of hatred and revenge. He heard the hiss of envy's snakes and watched the eagles of ambition soar. There was no hope that did not put its star above his head—no fear he had not felt—no joy that had not shed its sunshine on his face. He experienced the emotions of mankind. He was the intellectual spendthrift of the world. He gave with the generosity, the extravagance, of madness.

Read one play, and you are impressed with the idea that the wealth of the brain of a god has been exhausted—that there are no more comparisons, no more passions to be expressed, no more definitions, no more philosophy, beauty, or sublimity to be put in words—and yet, the next play opens as fresh as the dewy gates of another day.

The outstretched wings of his imagination filled the sky. He was the intellectual crown o' the earth.

THE plays of Shakespeare show so much knowledge, thought and learning, that many people — those who imagine that universities furnish capacity — contend that Bacon must have been the author.

We know Bacon. We know that he was a scheming politician, a courtier, a time-server of church and king, and a corrupt judge. We know that he never admitted the truth of the Copernican system — that he was doubtful whether instruments were of any advantage in scientific investigation — that he was ignorant of the higher branches of mathematics, and that, as a matter of fact, he added but little to the knowledge of the world. When he was more than sixty years of age he turned his attention to poetry, and dedicated his verses to George Herbert.

If you will read these verses you will say that the author of "Lear" and "Hamlet" did not write them.

Bacon dedicated his work on the *Advancement of Learning, Divine and Human*, to James I., and in his dedication he stated that there had not been, since the time of Christ, any king or monarch so learned in all erudition, divine or human. He placed James the First before Marcus Aurelius and

all other kings and emperors since Christ, and concluded by saying that James the First had “the power and fortune of a king, the illumination of a priest, the learning and universality of a philosopher.” This was written of James the First, described by Macaulay as a “stammering, slobbering, trembling coward, whose writings were deformed by the grossest and vilest superstitions — witches being the special objects of his fear, his hatred, and his persecution.”

It seems to have been taken for granted that if Shakespeare was not the author of the great dramas, Lord Bacon must have been.

It has been claimed that Bacon was the greatest philosopher of his time. And yet in reading his works we find that there was in his mind a strange mingling of foolishness and philosophy. He takes pains to tell us, and to write it down for the benefit of posterity, that “snow is colder than water, because it hath more spirit in it, and that quicksilver is the coldest of all metals, because it is the fullest of spirit.”

He stated that he hardly believed that you could contract air by putting opium on top of the weather glass, and gave the following reason :

“ I conceive that opium and the like make spirits fly rather by malignity than by cold.”

This great philosopher gave the following recipe for staunching blood :

“ Thrust the part that bleedeth into the body of a capon, new ripped and bleeding. This will staunch the blood. The blood, as it seemeth, sucking and drawing up by similitude of substance the blood it meeteth with, and so itself going back.”

The philosopher also records this important fact :

“ Divers witches among heathen and Christians have fed upon man’s flesh to aid, as it seemeth, their imagination with high and foul vapors.”

Lord Bacon was not only a philosopher, but he was a biologist, as appears from the following :

“ As for living creatures, it is certain that their vital spirits are a substance compounded of an airy and flamy matter, and although air and flame being free will not mingle, yet bound in by a body that hath some fixing, will.”

Now and then the inventor of deduction reasons by analogy. He says :

“ As snow and ice holpen, and their cold activated by nitre or salt, will turn water into ice, so it may be it will turn wood or stiff clay into stone.”

Bacon seems to have been a believer in the transmutation of metals, and solemnly gives a formula for changing silver or copper into gold. He also believed in the transmutation of plants, and had arrived at such a height in entomology that he informed the world that "insects have no blood."

It is claimed that he was a great observer, and as evidence of this he recorded the wonderful fact that "tobacco cut and dried by the fire loses weight;" that "bears in the winter wax fat in sleep, though they eat nothing;" that "tortoises have no bones;" that "there is a kind of stone, if ground and put in water where cattle drink, the cows will give more milk;" that "it is hard to cure a hurt in a Frenchman's head, but easy in his leg; that it is hard to cure a hurt in an Englishman's leg, but easy in his head;" that "wounds made with brass weapons are easier to cure than those made with iron;" that "lead will multiply and increase, as in statues buried in the ground;" and that "the rainbow touching anything causeth a sweet smell."

Bacon seems also to have turned his attention to ornithology, and says that "eggs laid in the full of the moon breed better birds," and that "you can make swallows white by putting ointment on the eggs before they are hatched."

www.libtool.com.cn

He also informs us "that witches cannot hurt kings as easily as they can common people;" that "perfumes dry and strengthen the brain;" that "any one in the moment of triumph can be injured by another who casts an envious eye, and the injury is greatest when the envious glance comes from the oblique eye."

Lord Bacon also turned his attention to medicine, and he states that "bracelets made of snakes are good for curing cramps;" that "the skin of a wolf might cure the colic, because a wolf has great digestion;" that "eating the roasted brains of hens and hares strengthens the memory;" that "if a woman about to become a mother eats a good many quinces and considerable coriander seed, the child will be ingenious," and that "the moss which groweth on the skull of an unburied dead man is good for staunching blood."

He expresses doubt, however, "as to whether you can cure a wound by putting ointment on the weapon that caused the wound, instead of on the wound itself."

It is claimed by the advocates of the Baconian theory that their hero stood at the top of science; and yet "it is absolutely certain that he was ignorant

of the law of the acceleration of falling bodies, although the law had been made known and printed by Galileo thirty years before Bacon wrote upon the subject. Neither did this great man understand the principle of the lever. He was not acquainted with the precession of the equinoxes, and as a matter of fact was ill-read in those branches of learning in which, in his time, the most rapid progress had been made."

After Kepler discovered his third law, which was on the 15th of May, 1618, Bacon was more than ever opposed to the Copernican system. This great man was far behind his own time, not only in astronomy, but in mathematics. In the preface to the "Descriptio Globi Intellectualis," it is admitted either that Bacon had never heard of the correction of the parallax, or was unable to understand it. He complained on account of the want of some method for shortening mathematical calculations; and yet "Napier's Logarithms" had been printed nine years before the date of his complaint.

He attempted to form a table of specific gravities by a rude process of his own, a process that no one has ever followed; and he did this in spite of the fact that a far better method existed.

We have the right to compare what Bacon wrote with what it is claimed Shakespeare produced. I call attention to one thing—to Bacon's opinion of human love. It is this :

“ The stage is more beholding to love than the life of man. As to the stage, love is ever matter of comedies and now and then of tragedies, but in life it doth much mischief—sometimes like a siren, sometimes like a fury. Amongst all the great and worthy persons there is not one that hath been transported to the mad degree of love, which shows that great spirits and great business do keep out this weak passion.”

The author of “ Romeo and Juliet ” never wrote that.

It seems certain that the author of the wondrous Plays was one of the noblest of men.

Let us see what sense of honor Bacon had.

In writing commentaries on certain passages of Scripture, Lord Bacon tells a courtier, who has committed some offence, how to get back into the graces of his prince or king. Among other things he tells him not to appear too cheerful, but to assume a very grave and modest face ; not to bring the matter up himself ; to be extremely industrious, so that the

prince will see that it is hard to get along without him ; also to get his friends to tell the prince or king how badly he, the courtier, feels ; and then he says, all these failing, "let him contrive to transfer the fault to others."

It is true that we know but little of Shakespeare, and consequently do not positively know that he did not have the ability to write the Plays — but we do know Bacon, and we know that he could not have written these Plays — consequently, they must have been written by a comparatively unknown man — that is to say, by a man who was known by no other writings. The fact that we do not know Shakespeare, except through the Plays and Sonnets, makes it possible for us to believe that he was the author.

Some people have imagined that the Plays were written by several — but this only increases the wonder, and adds a useless burden to credulity.

Bacon published in his time all the writings that he claimed. Naturally, he would have claimed his best. Is it possible that Bacon left the wondrous children of his brain on the door-step of Shakespeare, and kept the deformed ones at home? Is it possible that he fathered the failures and deserted the perfect?

Of course, it is wonderful that so little has been found touching Shakespeare — but is it not equally wonderful, if Bacon was the author, that not a line has been found in all his papers, containing a suggestion, or a hint, that he was the writer of these Plays? Is it not wonderful that no fragment of any scene — no line — no word — has been found?

Some have insisted that Bacon kept the authorship secret because it was disgraceful to write Plays. This argument does not cover the Sonnets — and besides, one who had been stripped of the robes of office for receiving bribes as a judge, could have borne the additional disgrace of having written “Hamlet.” The fact that Bacon did not claim to be the author, demonstrates that he was not. Shakespeare claimed to be the author, and no one in his time or day denied the claim. This demonstrates that he was.

Bacon published his works, and said to the world : This is what I have done.

Suppose you found in a cemetery a monument erected to John Smith, inventor of the Smith-churn, and suppose you were told that Mr. Smith provided for the monument in his will, and dictated the inscription — would it be possible to convince you

that Mr. Smith was also the inventor of the locomotive and telegraph?

Bacon's best can be compared with Shakespeare's common, but Shakespeare's best rises above Bacon's best, like a domed temple above a beggar's hut.

VI.

OF course it is admitted that there were many dramatists before and during the time of Shakespeare—but they were only the foot hills of that mighty peak the top of which the clouds and mists still hidè. Chapman and Marlowe, Heywood and Jonson, Webster, Beaumont and Fletcher wrote some great lines, and in the monotony of declamation now and then is found a strain of genuine music—but all of them together constituted only a herald of Shakespeare. In all these Plays there is but a hint, a prophecy, of the great drama destined to revolutionize the poetic thought of the world.

Shakespeare was the greatest of poets. What Greece and Rome produced was great until his time. "Lions make leopards tame."

The great poet is a great artist. He is painter and sculptor. The greatest pictures and statues

have been painted and chiseled with words. They outlast all others. All the galleries of the world are poor and cheap compared with the statues and pictures in Shakespeare's book.

Language is made of pictures represented by sounds. The outer world is a dictionary of the mind, and the artist called the soul uses this dictionary of things to express what happens in the noiseless and invisible world of thought. First a sound represents something in the outer world, and afterwards something in the inner, and this sound at last is represented by a mark, and this mark stands for a picture, and every brain is a gallery, and the artists — that is to say, the souls — exchange pictures and statues.

All art is of the same parentage. The poet uses words — makes pictures and statues of sounds. The sculptor expresses harmony, proportion, passion, in marble ; the composer, in music ; the painter in form and color. The dramatist expresses himself not only in words, not only paints these pictures, but he expresses his thought in action.

Shakespeare was not only a poet, but a dramatist, and expressed the ideal, the poetic, not only in words, but in action. There are the wit, the humor, the

pathos, the tragedy of situation, of relation. The dramatist speaks and acts through others — his personality is lost. The poet lives in the world of thought and feeling, and to this the dramatist adds the world of action. He creates characters that seem to act in accordance with their own natures and independently of him. He compresses lives into hours, tells us the secrets of the heart, shows us the springs of action — how desire bribes the judgment and corrupts the will — how weak the reason is when passion pleads, and how grand it is to stand for right against the world.

It is not enough to say fine things, — great things, dramatic things, must be done.

Let me give you an illustration of dramatic incident accompanying the highest form of poetic expression :

Macbeth having returned from the murder of Duncan says to his wife :

“Methought I heard a voice cry : Sleep no more,
Macbeth does murder sleep ; the innocent sleep ;
Sleep, that knits up the ravelled sleeve of care,
The death of each day’s life, sore labor’s bath,
Balm of hurt minds, great Nature’s second course,
Chief nourisher in life’s feast.” * * *

“ Still it cried : Sleep no more, to all the house,
Glamis hath murdered sleep, and therefore Cawdor
Shall sleep no more— Macbeth shall sleep no more.”

She exclaims :

“ Who was it that thus cried ?
Why, worthy Thane, you do unbend your noble strength
To think so brain-sickly of things ; get some water,
And wash this filthy witness from your hand.
Why did you bring the daggers from the place ?”

Macbeth was so overcome with horror at his own deed, that he not only mistook his thoughts for the words of others, but was so carried away and beyond himself that he brought with him the daggers — the evidence of his guilt — the daggers that he should have left with the dead. This is dramatic.

In the same play, the difference of feeling before and after the commission of a crime is illustrated to perfection. When Macbeth is on his way to assassinate the king, the bell strikes, and he says, or whispers :

“ Hear it not, Duncan, for it is a knell.”

Afterward, when the deed has been committed, and a knocking is heard at the gate, he cries :

“ Wake Duncan with thy knocking. I would thou couldst.”

Let me give one more instance of dramatic action. When Antony speaks above the body of Cæsar he says :

“ You all do know this mantle : I remember
The first time ever Cæsar put it on—
'Twas on a summer's evening, in his tent,
That day he overcame the Nervii :
Look ! In this place ran Cassius' dagger through :
See what a rent the envious Casca made !
Through this the well-beloved Brutus stabbed, .
And as he plucked his cursed steel away,
Mark how the blood of Cæsar followed it.”

VII.

THERE are men, and many of them, who are always trying to show that somebody else chiseled the statue or painted the picture, — that the poem is attributed to the wrong man, and that the battle was really won by a subordinate.

Of course Shakespeare made use of the work of others — and, we might almost say, of all others. Every writer must use the work of others. The only question is, how the accomplishments of other minds are used, whether as a foundation to build higher, or whether stolen to the end that the thief may make a

reputation for himself, without adding to the great structure of literature.

Thousands of people have stolen stones from the Coliseum to make huts for themselves. So thousands of writers have taken the thoughts of others with which to adorn themselves. These are plagiarists. But the man who takes the thought of another, adds to it, gives it intensity and poetic form, throb and life, — is in the highest sense original.

Shakespeare found nearly all of his facts in the writings of others, and was indebted to others for most of the stories of his plays. The question is not: Who furnished the stone, or who owned the quarry, but who chiseled the statue?

We now know all the books that Shakespeare could have read, and consequently know many of the sources of his information. We find in Pliny's *Natural History*, published in 1601, the following: "The sea Pontis evermore floweth and runneth out into the Propontis; but the sea never retireth back again with the Impontis." This was the raw material, and out of it Shakespeare made the following:

"Like to the Pontic Sea,
Whose icy current and compulsive course
Ne'er feels retiring ebb, but keeps due on

www.libtool.com.cn

To the Propontic and the Hellespont——
 Even so my bloody thoughts, with violent pace,
 Shall ne'er turn back, ne'er ebb to humble love,
 Till that a capable and wide revenge
 Swallow them up."

Perhaps we can give an idea of the difference between Shakespeare and other poets, by a passage from "Lear." When Cordelia places her hand upon her father's head and speaks of the night and of the storm, an ordinary poet might have said :

"On such a night, a dog
 Should have stood against my fire."

A very great poet might have gone a step further and exclaimed :

"On such a night, mine enemy's dog
 Should have stood against my fire."

But Shakespeare said :

"Mine enemy's dog, though he had bit me,
 Should have stood, that night, against my fire."

Of all the poets — of all the writers — Shakespeare is the most original. He is as original as Nature.

It may truthfully be said that "Nature wants stuff to vie strange forms with fancy, to make another."

VIII.

THERE is in the greatest poetry a kind of extravagance that touches the infinite, and in this Shakespeare exceeds all others.

You will remember the description given of the voyage of Paris in search of Helen :

“ The seas and winds, old wranglers, made a truce,
And did him service ; he touched the ports desired,
And for an old aunt, whom the Greeks held captive,
He brought a Grecian queen whose youth and freshness
Wrinkles Apollo, and makes stale the morning.”

So, in Pericles, when the father finds his daughter, he cries out :

“ O Helicanus ! strike me, honored sir ;
Give me a gash, put me to present pain,
Lest this great sea of joys, rushing upon me,
O'erbear the shores of my mortality.”

The greatest compliment that man has ever paid to the woman he adores is this line :

“ Eyes that do mislead the morn.”

Nothing can be conceived more perfectly poetic.

In that marvelous play, the “ Midsummer Night's Dream,” is one of the most extravagant things in literature :

www.libtool.com.cn
 “Thou rememberest
 Since once I sat upon a promontory,
 And heard a mermaid on a dolphin's back
 Uttering such dulcet and harmonious breath
 That the rude sea grew civil at her song,
 And certain stars shot madly from their spheres
 To hear the sea-maid's music.”

This is so marvelously told that it almost seems probable.

So the description of Mark Antony :

“ For his bounty
 There was no winter in't — an autumn t'was
 That grew the more by reaping. His delights
 Were dolphin-like—they showed his back above
 The element they lived in.”

Think of the astronomical scope and amplitude of this :

“ Her bed is India—there she lies a pearl.”

Is there anything more intense than these words of Cleopatra ?

“ Rather on Nilus mud lay me stark naked
 And let the water-flies blow me into abhorring.”

Or this of Isabella :

“ The impression of keen whips I'd wear as rubies,
 And strip myself to death as to a bed
 That longing I've been sick for, ere I yield
 My body up to shame.”

www.libtool.com.cn
 Is there an intellectual man in the world who will
 not agree with this ?

“ Let me not live
 After my flame lacks oil, to be the snuff
 Of younger spirits.”

Can anything exceed the words of Troilus when
 parting with Cressida :

“ We two, that with so many thousand sighs
 Did buy each other, most poorly sell ourselves
 With the rude brevity and discharge of one.
 Injurious time now with a robber’s haste
 Crams his rich thievery up, he knows not how ;
 As many farewells as be stars in heaven,
 With distinct breath and consigned kisses to them,
 He fumbles up into a loose adieu,
 And scants us with a single famished kiss,
 Distasted with the salt of broken tears.”

Take this example, where pathos almost touches
 the grotesque.

“ O dear Juliet, why art thou yet so fair?
 Shall I believe that unsubstantial death is amorous,
 And that the lean, abhorred monster keeps thee here
 I’ the dark, to be his paramour ?”

Often when reading the marvelous lines of Shake-
 speare, I feel that his thoughts are “ too subtle potent,
 tuned too sharp in sweetness, for the capacity of my

www.libtool.com.cn
ruder powers." Sometimes I cry out, "O churl!—
write all, and leave no thoughts for those who follow
after."

IX.

SHAKESPEARE was an innovator, an iconoclast. He cared nothing for the authority of men or of schools. He violated the "unities," and cared nothing for the models of the ancient world.

The Greeks insisted that nothing should be in a play that did not tend to the catastrophe. They did not believe in the episode—in the sudden contrasts of light and shade—in mingling the comic and the tragic. The sunlight never fell upon their tears, and darkness did not overtake their laughter. They believed that nature sympathized or was in harmony with the events of the play. When crime was about to be committed—some horror to be perpetrated—the light grew dim, the wind sighed, the trees shivered, and upon all was the shadow of the coming event.

Shakespeare knew that the play had little to do with the tides and currents of universal life—that Nature cares neither for smiles nor tears, for life nor

death, and that the sun shines as gladly on coffins as on cradles.

The first time I visited the Place de la Concorde, where during the French Revolution stood the guillotine, and where now stands an Egyptian obelisk — a bird, sitting on the top, was singing with all its might. — Nature forgets.

One of the most notable instances of the violation by Shakespeare of the classic model, is found in the 6th scene of the I. Act of Macbeth.

When the King and Banquo approach the castle in which the King is to be murdered that night, no shadow falls athwart the threshold. So beautiful is the scene that the King says :

“This castle hath a pleasant seat ; the air
Nimbly and sweetly recommends itself
Unto our gentle senses.”

And Banquo adds :

“This guest of summer,
The temple-haunting martlet, does approve
By his loved mansionry that the heaven’s breath
Smells wooingly here ; no jutty, frieze,
Buttress, nor coign of vantage, but this bird
Hath made his pendent bed and procreant cradle.
Where they most breed and haunt, I have observed
The air is delicate.”

Another notable instance is the porter scene immediately following the murder. So, too, the dialogue with the clown who brings the asp to Cleopatra just before the suicide, illustrates my meaning.

I know of one paragraph in the Greek drama worthy of Shakespeare. This is in "Medea." When Medea kills her children she curses Jason, using the ordinary Billingsgate and papal curse, but at the conclusion says: "I pray the gods to make him virtuous, that he may the more deeply feel the pang that I inflict."

Shakespeare dealt in lights and shadows. He was intense. He put noons and midnights side by side. No other dramatist would have dreamed of adding to the pathos — of increasing our appreciation of Lear's agony, by supplementing the wail of the mad king with the mocking laughter of a loving clown.

X.

THE ordinary dramatists — the men of talent — (and there is the same difference between talent and genius that there is between a stone-mason and a sculptor) create characters that become types. Types are of necessity caricatures — actual men and women are to some extent contradictory in their

www.libtool.com.cn

actions. Types are blown in the one direction by the one wind—characters have pilots.

In real people, good and evil mingle. Types are all one way, or all the other—all good, or all bad, all wise, or all foolish.

Pecksniff was a perfect type, a perfect hypocrite—and will remain a type as long as language lives—a hypocrite that even drunkenness could not change. Everybody understands Pecksniff, and compared with him Tartuffe was an honest man.

Hamlet is an individual, a person, an actual being—and for that reason there is a difference of opinion as to his motives and as to his character. We differ about Hamlet as we do about Cæsar, or about Shakespeare himself.

Hamlet saw the ghost of his father and heard again his father's voice, and yet, afterward, he speaks of "the undiscovered country from whose bourne no traveler returns."

In this there is no contradiction. The reason outweighs the senses. If we should see a dead man rise from his grave, we would not, the next day, believe that we did. No one can credit a miracle until it becomes so common that it ceases to be miraculous.

Types are puppets — controlled from without — characters act from within. There is the same difference between characters and types that there is between springs and water-works, between canals and rivers, between wooden soldiers and heroes.

In most plays and in most novels the characters are so shadowy that we have to piece them out with the imagination.

One waking in the morning sometimes sees at the foot of his bed a strange figure — it may be of an ancient lady with cap and ruffles and with the expression of garrulous and fussy old age — but when the light gets stronger, the figure gradually changes and he sees a few clothes on a chair.

The dramatist lives the lives of others, and in order to delineate character must not only have imagination but sympathy with the character delineated. The great dramatist thinks of a character as an entirety, as an individual.

I once had a dream, and in this dream I was discussing a subject with another man. It occurred to me that I was dreaming, and I then said to myself: If this is a dream, I am doing the talking for both sides — consequently I ought to know in advance what the other man is going to say. In my dream I

tried the experiment. I then asked the other man a question, and before he answered made up my mind what the answer was to be. To my surprise, the man did not say what I expected he would, and so great was my astonishment that I awoke.

It then occurred to me that I had discovered the secret of Shakespeare. He did, when awake, what I did when asleep — that is, he threw off a character so perfect that it acted independently of him.

In the delineation of character Shakespeare has no rivals. He creates no monsters. His characters do not act without reason, without motive.

Iago had his reasons. In Caliban, nature was not destroyed—and Lady Macbeth certifies that the woman still was in her heart, by saying :

“Had he not resembled my father as he slept, I had done it.”

Shakespeare's characters act from within. They are centres of energy. They are not pushed by unseen hands, or pulled by unseen strings. They have objects, desires. They are persons—real, living beings.

Few dramatists succeed in getting their characters loose from the canvas—their backs stick to the wall—they do not have free and independent action—

they have no background, no unexpressed motives—no untold desires. They lack the complexity of the real.

Shakespeare makes the character true to itself. Christopher Sly, surrounded by the luxuries of a lord, true to his station, calls for a pot of the smallest ale.

Take one expression by Lady Macbeth. You remember that after the murder is discovered—after the alarm bell is rung—she appears upon the scene wanting to know what has happened. Macduff refuses to tell her, saying that the slightest word would murder as it fell. At this moment Banquo comes upon the scene and Macduff cries out to him :

“Our royal master’s murdered.”

What does Lady Macbeth then say? She in fact makes a confession of guilt. The weak point in the terrible tragedy is that Duncan was murdered in Macbeth’s castle. So when Lady Macbeth hears what they suppose is news to her, she cries :

“What! In our house!”

Had she been innocent, her horror of the crime would have made her forget the place—the venue. Banquo sees through this, and sees through her.

Her expression was a light, by which he saw her guilt—and he answers :

“Too cruel anywhere.”

No matter whether Shakespeare delineated clown or king, warrior or maiden—no matter whether his characters are taken from the gutter or the throne—each is a work of consummate art, and when he is unnatural, he is so splendid that the defect is forgotten.

When Romeo is told of the death of Juliet, and thereupon makes up his mind to die upon her grave, he gives a description of the shop where poison could be purchased. He goes into particulars and tells of the alligators stuffed, of the skins of ill-shaped fishes, of the beggarly account of empty boxes, of the remnants of pack-thread, and old cakes of roses—and while it is hardly possible to believe that under such circumstances a man would take the trouble to make an inventory of a strange kind of drug-store, yet the inventory is so perfect—the picture is so marvelously drawn—that we forget to think whether it is natural or not.

In making the frame of a great picture—of a great scene—Shakespeare was often careless, but the

picture is perfect. In making the sides of the arch he was negligent, but when he placed the keystone, it burst into blossom. Of course there are many lines in Shakespeare that never should have been written. In other words, there are imperfections in his plays. But we must remember that Shakespeare furnished the torch that enables us to see these imperfections.

Shakespeare speaks through his characters, and we must not mistake what the characters say, for the opinion of Shakespeare. No one can believe that Shakespeare regarded life as "a tale told by an idiot, full of sound and fury, signifying nothing." That was the opinion of a murderer, surrounded by avengers, and whose wife—partner in his crimes—troubled with thick-coming fancies—had gone down to her death.

Most actors and writers seem to suppose that the lines called "The Seven Ages" contain Shakespeare's view of human life. Nothing could be further from the truth. The lines were uttered by a cynic, in contempt and scorn of the human race.

Shakespeare did not put his characters in the livery and uniform of some weakness, peculiarity or passion. He did not use names as tags or brands. He

did not write under the picture, "This is a villain." His characters need no suggestive names to tell us what they are—we see them and we know them for ourselves.

It may be that in the greatest utterances of the greatest characters in the supreme moments, we have the real thoughts, opinions and convictions of Shakespeare.

Of all writers Shakespeare is the most impersonal. He speaks through others, and the others seem to speak for themselves. The didactic is lost in the dramatic. He does not use the stage as a pulpit to enforce some maxim. He is as reticent as Nature.

He idealizes the common and transfigures all he touches—but he does not preach. He was interested in men and things as they were. He did not seek to change them—but to portray. He was Nature's mirror—and in that mirror Nature saw herself.

When I stood amid the great trees of California that lift their spreading capitals against the clouds, looking like Nature's columns to support the sky, I thought of the poetry of Shakespeare.

XI.

WHAT a procession of men and women—statesmen and warriors—kings and clowns—issued from Shakespeare's brain! What women!

Isabella—in whose spotless life love and reason blended into perfect truth.

Juliet—within whose heart passion and purity met like white and red within the bosom of a rose.

Cordelia—who chose to suffer loss, rather than show her wealth of love with those who gilded lies in hope of gain.

Hermione—“tender as infancy and grace”—who bore with perfect hope and faith the cross of shame, and who at last forgave with all her heart.

Desdemona—so innocent, so perfect, her love so pure, that she was incapable of suspecting that another could suspect, and who with dying words sought to hide her lover's crime—and with her last faint breath uttered a loving lie that burst into a perfumed lily between her pallid lips.

Perdita—“a violet dim, and sweeter than the lids of Juno's eyes”—“The sweetest low-born lass that ever ran on the green sward.” And

Helena—who said :

“ I know I love in vain, strive against hope—
 Yet in this captious and intenable sieve
 I still pour in the waters of my love,
 And lack not to lose still,
 Thus, Indian-like,
 Religious in mine error, I adore
 The sun that looks upon his worshiper,
 But knows of him no more.”

Miranda— who told her love as gladly as a flower
 gives its bosom to the kisses of the sun. And

Cordelia— whose kisses cured and whose tears
 restored. And stainless

Imogen— who cried : “ What is it to be false ? ”

And here is the description of the perfect woman :

“ To feed for aye her lamp and flames of love ;
 To keep her constancy in plight and youth—
 Outliving beauty's outward with a mind
 That doth renew swifter than blood decays.”

Shakespeare has done more for woman than all
 the other dramatists of the world.

For my part, I love the Clowns. I love *Launce*
 and his dog Crabb, and *Gobbo*, whose conscience
 threw its arms around the neck of his heart, and
Touchstone, with his lie seven times removed ; and
 dear old *Dogberry*— a pretty piece of flesh, tedious
 as a king. And *Bottom*, the very paramour for a

sweet voice, longing to take the part to tear a cat in ; and *Autolycus*, the snapper-up of unconsidered trifles, sleeping out the thought for the life to come. And great *Sir John*, without conscience, and for that reason unblamed and enjoyed — and who at the end babbles of green fields, and is almost loved. And ancient *Pistol*, the world his oyster. And *Bardolph*, with the flea on his blazing nose, putting beholders in mind of a damned soul in hell. And the poor *Fool*, who followed the mad king, and went “to bed at noon.” And the clown who carried the worm of Nilus, whose “biting was immortal.” And *Corin*, the shepherd — who described the perfect man : “I am a true laborer : I earn that I eat — get that I wear — owe no man aught — envy no man’s happiness — glad of other men’s good — content.”

And mingling in this motley throng, *Lear*, within whose brain a tempest raged until the depths were stirred, and the intellectual wealth of a life was given back to memory — and then by madness thrown to storm and night — and when I read the living lines I feel as though I looked upon the sea and saw it wrought by frenzied whirlwinds, until the buried treasures and the sunken wrecks of all the years were cast upon the shores.

And *Othello* — who like the base Indian threw a pearl away richer than all his tribe.

And *Hamlet* — thought-entangled — hesitating between two worlds.

And *Macbeth* — strange mingling of cruelty and conscience, reaping the sure harvest of successful crime — “Curses not loud but deep — mouth-honor — breath.”

And *Brutus*, falling on his sword that Cæsar might be still.

And *Romeo*, dreaming of the white wonder of Juliet's hand. And *Ferdinand*, the patient log-man for Miranda's sake. And *Florizel*, who, “for all the sun sees, or the close earth wombs, or the profound seas hide,” would not be faithless to the low-born lass. And *Constance*, weeping for her son, while grief “stuffs out his vacant garments with his form.”

And in the midst of tragedies and tears, of love and laughter and crime, we hear the voice of the good friar, who declares that in every human heart, as in the smallest flower, there are encamped the opposed hosts of good and evil — and our philosophy is interrupted by the garrulous old nurse, whose talk is as busily useless as the babble of a stream that hurries by a ruined mill.

From every side the characters crowd upon us — the men and women born of Shakespeare's brain. They utter with a thousand voices the thoughts of the "myriad-minded" man, and impress themselves upon us as deeply and vividly as though they really lived with us.

Shakespeare alone has delineated love in every possible phase—has ascended to the very top, and actually reached heights that no other has imagined. I do not believe the human mind will ever produce or be in a position to appreciate, a greater love-play than "Romeo and Juliet." It is a symphony in which all music seems to blend. The heart bursts into blossom, and he who reads feels the swooning intoxication of a divine perfume.

In the alembic of Shakespeare's brain the baser metals were turned to gold—passions became virtues—weeds became exotics from some diviner land—and common mortals made of ordinary clay outranked the Olympian Gods. In his brain there was the touch of chaos that suggests the infinite—that belongs to genius. Talent is measured and mathematical—dominated by prudence and the thought of use. Genius is tropical. The creative instinct runs riot, delights in extravagance and

waste, and overwhelms the mental beggars of the world with uncouthed gold and unnumbered gems.

Some things are immortal: The plays of Shakespeare, the marbles of the Greeks, and the music of Wagner.

XII.

SHAKESPEARE was the greatest of philosophers. He knew the conditions of success — of happiness — the relations that men sustain to each other, and the duties of all. He knew the tides and currents of the heart — the cliffs and caverns of the brain. He knew the weakness of the will, the sophistry of desire — and

“That pleasure and revenge have ears more deaf than adders to the voice of any true decision.”

He knew that the soul lives in an invisible world — that flesh is but a mask, and that

“There is no art to find the mind’s construction
In the face.”

He knew that courage should be the servant of judgment, and that

“When valor preys on reason it eats the sword
It fights with.”

He knew that man is never master of the event, that he is to some extent the sport or prey of the blind forces of the world, and that

“In the reproof of chance lies the true proof of men.”

Feeling that the past is unchangeable, and that that which must happen is as much beyond control as though it had happened, he says :

“Let determined things to destiny
Hold unbewailed their way.”

Shakespeare was great enough to know that every human being prefers happiness to misery, and that crimes are but mistakes. Looking in pity upon the human race, upon the pain and poverty, the crimes and cruelties, the limping travelers on the thorny paths, he was great and good enough to say :

“There is no darkness but ignorance.”

In all the philosophies there is no greater line. This great truth fills the heart with pity.

He knew that place and power do not give happiness — that the crowned are subject as the lowest to fate and chance.

“ For within the hollow crown,
 That rounds the mortal temples of a king,
 Keeps death his court ; and there the antick sits,
 Scoffing his state, and grinning at his pomp ;
 Allowing him a breath, a little scene
 To monarchize, be fear’d, and kill with looks ;
 Infusing him with self and vain conceit,—
 As if this flesh, which walls about our life,
 Were brass impregnable ; and, humour’d thus,
 Comes at the last, and with a little pin
 Bores through his castle wall, and—farewell king !”

So, too, he knew that gold could not bring joy —
 that death and misfortune come alike to rich and
 poor, because :

“ If thou art rich thou art poor ;
 For like an ass whose back with ingots bows
 Thou bearest thy heavy riches but a journey,
 And death unloads thee.”

In some of his philosophy there was a kind of
 scorn — a hidden meaning that could not in his day
 and time have safely been expressed. You will
 remember that Laertes was about to kill the king,
 and this king was the murderer of his own brother,
 and sat upon the throne by reason of his crime —
 and in the mouth of such a king Shakespeare puts
 these words :

“ There’s such divinity doth hedge a king.”

So, in Macbeth :

“How he solicits Heaven himself best knows ; but
strangely visited people
All swollen and ulcerous, pitiful to the eye,
The mere despairs of surgery, he cures ;
Hanging a golden stamp about their necks,
Put on with holy prayers ; and 'tis spoken
To the succeeding royalty — he leaves
The healing benediction. With this strange virtue
He hath a heavenly gift of prophecy,
And sundry blessings hang about his throne,
That speak him full of grace.”

Shakespeare was the master of the human heart —
knew all the hopes, fears, ambitions and passions
that sway the mind of man ; and thus knowing, he
declared that

“Love is not love that alters
When it alteration finds.”

This is the sublimest declaration in the literature
of the world.

Shakespeare seems to give the generalization —
the result — without the process of thought. He
seems always to be at the conclusion — standing
where all truths meet.

In one of the Sonnets is this fragment of a line
that contains the highest possible truth :

“Conscience is born of love.”

If man were incapable of suffering, the words right and wrong never could have been spoken. If man were destitute of imagination, the flower of pity never could have blossomed in his heart.

We suffer—we cause others to suffer—those that we love—and of this fact conscience is born.

Love is the many-colored flame that makes the fireside of the heart. It is the mingled spring and autumn—the perfect climate of the soul.

XIII.

IN the realm of comparison Shakespeare seems to have exhausted the relations, parallels and similitudes of things. He only could have said :

“Tedious as a twice-told tale
Vexing the ears of a drowsy man.”

“Duller than a great thaw.
Dry as the remainder biscuit after a voyage.”

In the words of Ulysses, spoken to Achilles, we find the most wonderful collection of pictures and comparisons ever compressed within the same number of lines :

“Time hath, my lord, a wallet at his back,
Wherein he puts alms for oblivion,—
A great-sized monster of ingratitude—
Those scraps are good deeds past ; which are devoured
As fast as they are made, forgot as soon
As done ; perseverance, dear my lord,
Keeps honor bright : to have done is to hang
Quite out of fashion, like a rusty mail
In monumental mockery. Take the instant way ;
For honor travels in a strait so narrow
Where one but goes abreast ; keep then the path ;
For emulation hath a thousand sons
That one by one pursue ; if you give way,
Or hedge aside from the direct forthright,
Like to an entered tide, they all rush by
And leave you hindmost :
Or, like a gallant horse fallen in first rank,
Lie there for pavement to the abject rear,
O’errun and trampled on : then what they do in present,
Tho’ less than yours in past, must o’ertop yours ;
For time is like a fashionable host
That slightly shakes his parting guest by the hand,
And with his arms outstretched as he would fly,
Grasps in the comer : Welcome ever smiles,
And Farewell goes out sighing.”

So the words of Cleopatra, when Charmain
speaks :

“Peace, peace :
Dost thou not see my baby at my breast
That sucks the nurse asleep?”

XIV.

NOTHING is more difficult than a definition—a crystallization of thought so perfect that it emits light. Shakespeare says of suicide :

“It is great to do that thing
That ends all other deeds,
Which shackles accident, and bolts up change.”

He defines drama to be :

“Turning the accomplishments of many years
Into an hour glass.”

Of death :

“This sensible warm motion to become a kneaded clod,
To lie in cold obstruction and to rot.”

Of memory :

“The warder of the brain.”

Of the body :

“This muddy vesture of decay.”

And he declares that

“Our little life is rounded with a sleep.”

He speaks of Echo as :

“The babbling gossip of the air” —

Romeo, addressing the poison that he is about to take, says :

“Come, bitter conduct, come unsavory guide,
Thou desperate pilot, now at once run on
The dashing rocks thy sea-sick, weary bark.”

He describes the world as

“This bank and shoal of time.”

He says of rumor —

“That it doubles, like the voice and echo.”

It would take days to call attention to the perfect definitions, comparisons and generalizations of Shakespeare. He gave us the deeper meanings of our words — taught us the art of speech. He was the lord of language — master of expression and compression.

He put the greatest thoughts into the shortest words — made the poor rich and the common royal.

Production enriched his brain. Nothing exhausted him. The moment his attention was called to any subject — comparisons, definitions, metaphors and generalizations filled his mind and begged for utterance. His thoughts like bees robbed every blossom in the world, and then with “merry march” brought the rich booty home “to the tent royal of their emperor.”

Shakespeare was the confidant of Nature. To him she opened her “infinite book of secrecy,” and in his brain were “the hatch and brood of time.”

XV.

THERE is in Shakespeare the mingling of laughter and tears, humor and pathos. Humor is the rose, wit the thorn. Wit is a crystallization, humor an efflorescence. Wit comes from the brain, humor from the heart. Wit is the lightning of the soul.

In Shakespeare's nature was the climate of humor. He saw and felt the sunny side even of the saddest things. You have seen sunshine and rain at once. So Shakespeare's tears fell oft upon his smiles. In moments of peril — on the very darkness of death — there comes a touch of humor that falls like a fleck of sunshine.

Gonzalo, when the ship is about to sink, having seen the boatswain, exclaims :

“ I have great comfort from this fellow ;
Methinks he hath no drowning mark upon him ;
His complexion is perfect gallows. ”

Shakespeare is filled with the strange contrasts of grief and laughter. While poor Hero is supposed to be dead — wrapped in the shroud of dishonor — Dogberry and Verges unconsciously put again the wedding wreath upon her pure brow.

The soliloquy of Launcelot — great as Hamlet's — offsets the bitter and burning words of Shylock,

There is only time to speak of Maria in "Twelfth Night," of Autolycus in the "Winter's Tale," of the parallel drawn by Fluellen between Alexander of Macedon and Harry of Monmouth, or of the marvelous humor of Falstaff, who never had the faintest thought of right or wrong — or of Mercutio, that embodiment of wit and humor — or of the gravediggers who lamented that "great folk should have countenance in this world to drown and hang themselves, more than their even Christian," and who reached the generalization that "the gallows does well because it does well to those who do ill."

There is also an example of grim humor — an example without a parallel in literature, so far as I know. Hamlet having killed Polonius is asked :

"Where's Polonius?"

"At supper."

"At supper! where?"

"Not where he eats, but where he is eaten."

Above all others, Shakespeare appreciated the pathos of situation.

Nothing is more pathetic than the last scene in "Lear." No one has ever bent above his dead who did not feel the words uttered by the mad king, — words born of a despair deeper than tears :

“ Oh, that a horse, a dog, a rat hath life
And thou no breath !”

So Iago, after he has been wounded, says :

“ I bleed, sir ; but not killed.”

And Othello answers from the wreck and shattered
remnant of his life :

“ I would have thee live ;
For in my sense it is happiness to die.”

When Troilus finds Cressida has been false, he
cries :

“ Let it not be believed for womanhood ;
Think ! we had mothers.”

Ophelia, in her madness, “ the sweet bells jangled
out o’ tune,” says softly :

“ I would give you some violets ;
But they withered all when my father died.”

When Macbeth has reaped the harvest, the seeds
of which were sown by his murderous hand, he ex-
claims,— and what could be more pitiful ?

“ I ’gin to be aweary of the sun.”

Richard the Second feels how small a thing it is
to be, or to have been, a king, or to receive honors

www.libtool.com.cn

before or after power is lost ; and so, of those who stood uncovered before him, he asks this piteous question :

“ I live with bread, like you ; feel want,
Taste grief, need friends ; subjected thus,
How can you say to me I am a king ? ”

Think of the salutation of Antony to the dead Cæsar :

“ Pardon me, thou piece of bleeding earth.”

When ‘Pisanio informs Imogen that he had been ordered by Posthumus to murder her, she bares her neck and cries :

“ The lamb entreats the butcher :
Where is thy knife ? Thou art too slow
To do thy master’s bidding when I desire it.”

Antony, as the last drops are falling from his self-inflicted wound, utters with his dying breath to Cleopatra, this :

“ I here importune death awhile, until
Of many thousand kisses the poor last
I lay upon thy lips.”

To me, the last words of Hamlet are full of pathos :

“ I die, Horatio.
The potent poison quite o’er crows my spirit * * *
The rest is silence.”

SOME have insisted that Shakespeare must have been a physician, for the reason that he shows such knowledge of medicine — of the symptoms of disease and death—was so familiar with the brain, and with insanity in all its forms.

I do not think he was a physician. He knew too much—his generalizations were too splendid. He had none of the prejudices of that profession in his time. We might as well say that he was a musician, a composer, because we find in “The Two Gentlemen of Verona” nearly every musical term known in Shakespeare’s time.

Others maintain that he was a lawyer, perfectly acquainted with the forms, with the expressions familiar to that profession — yet there is nothing to show that he was a lawyer, or that he knew more about law than any intelligent man should know.

He was not a lawyer. His sense of justice was never dulled by reading English law.

Some think that he was a botanist, because he named nearly all known plants. Others, that he was an astronomer, a naturalist, because he gave hints and suggestions of nearly all discoveries.

Some have thought that he must have been a sailor, for the reason that the orders given in the opening of "The Tempest" were the best that could, under the circumstances, have been given to save the ship.

For my part, I think there is nothing in the plays to show that he was a lawyer, doctor, botanist or scientist. He had the observant eyes that really see, the ears that really hear, the brain that retains all pictures, all thoughts, logic as unerring as light, the imagination that supplies defects and builds the perfect from a fragment. And these faculties, these aptitudes, working together, account for what he did.

He exceeded all the sons of men in the splendor of his imagination. To him the whole world paid tribute, and nature poured her treasures at his feet. In him all races lived again, and even those to be were pictured in his brain.

He was a man of imagination — that is to say, of genius, and having seen a leaf, and a drop of water, he could construct the forests, the rivers, and the seas — and in his presence all the cataracts would fall and foam, the mists rise, the clouds form and float.

If Shakespeare knew one fact, he knew its kindred and its neighbors. Looking at a coat of mail, he instantly imagined the society, the conditions, that produced it and what it, in turn, produced. He saw the castle, the moat, the draw-bridge, the lady in the tower, and the knightly lover spurring across the plain. He saw the bold baron and the rude retainer, the trampled serf, and all the glory and the grief of feudal life.

He lived the life of all.

He was a citizen of Athens in the days of Pericles. He listened to the eager eloquence of the great orators, and sat upon the cliffs, and with the tragic poet heard "the multitudinous laughter of the sea." He saw Socrates thrust the spear of question through the shield and heart of falsehood. He was present when the great man drank hemlock, and met the night of death, tranquil as a star meets morning. He listened to the peripatetic philosophers, and was unpuzzled by the sophists. He watched Phidias as he chiseled shapeless stone to forms of love and awe.

He lived by the mysterious Nile, amid the vast and monstrous. He knew the very thought that wrought the form and features of the Sphinx. He

heard great Memnon's morning song when marble lips were smitten by the sun. He laid him down with the embalmed and waiting dead, and felt within their dust the expectation of another life, mingled with cold and suffocating doubts — the children born of long delay.

He walked the ways of mighty Rome, and saw great Cæsar with his legions in the field. He stood with vast and motley throngs and watched the triumphs given to victorious men, followed by uncrowned kings, the captured hosts, and all the spoils of ruthless war. He heard the shout that shook the Coliseum's roofless walls, when from the reeling gladiator's hand the short sword fell, while from his bosom gushed the stream of wasted life.

He lived the life of savage men. He trod the forests' silent depths, and in the desperate game of life or death he matched his thought against the instinct of the beast.

He knew all crimes and all regrets, all virtues and their rich rewards. He was victim and victor, pursuer and pursued, outcast and king. He heard the applause and curses of the world, and on his heart had fallen all the nights and noons of failure and success.

He knew the unspoken thoughts, the dumb desires, the wants and ways of beasts. He felt the crouching tiger's thrill, the terror of the ambushed prey, and with the eagles he had shared the ecstasy of flight and poise and swoop, and he had lain with sluggish serpents on the barren rocks uncoiling slowly in the heat of noon.

He sat beneath the bo-tree's contemplative shade, wrapped in Buddha's mighty thought, and dreamed all dreams that light, the alchemist, has wrought from dust and dew, and stored within the slumbrous poppy's subtle blood.

He knelt with awe and dread at every shrine—he offered every sacrifice, and every prayer—felt the consolation and the shuddering fear—mocked and worshiped all the gods—enjoyed all heavens, and felt the pangs of every hell.

He lived all lives, and through his blood and brain there crept the shadow and the chill of every death, and his soul, like Mazeppa, was lashed naked to the wild horse of every fear and love and hate.

The Imagination had a stage in Shakespeare's brain, whereon were set all scenes that lie between the morn of laughter and the night of tears, and where his players bodied forth the false and true, the

joys and griefs, the careless shallows and the tragic deeps of universal life.

From Shakespeare's brain there poured a Niagara of gems spanned by Fancy's seven-hued arch. He was as many-sided as clouds are many-formed. To him giving was hoarding—sowing was harvest—and waste itself the source of wealth. Within his marvelous mind were the fruits of all thought past, the seeds of all to be. As a drop of dew contains the image of the earth and sky, so all there is of life was mirrored forth in Shakespeare's brain.

Shakespeare was an intellectual ocean, whose waves touched all the shores of thought; within which were all the tides and waves of destiny and will; over which swept all the storms of fate, ambition and revenge; upon which fell the gloom and darkness of despair and death and all the sunlight of content and love, and within which was the inverted sky lit with the eternal stars—an intellectual ocean—towards which all rivers ran, and from which now the isles and continents of thought receive their dew and rain.

WORKS OF COL. ROBERT G. INGERSOLL

ALL BOOKS MARKED WITH A STAR ARE MAILABLE AS
SECOND-CLASS MATTER.

- ***Abraham Lincoln.** A Lecture. With *Century* portrait of the martyr President.....25 cents.
- ***About the Holy Bible.** A Lecture.....25 cents.
- A Few Reasons for Doubting the Inspiration of THE BIBLE.** From manuscript notes found among Col. Ingersoll's papers.....10c.
- A Thanksgiving Sermon.** Book contains also A TRIBUTE TO HENRY WARD BEECHER. "I thank," says Ingersoll, "the heroes, the apostles of reason, the disciples of truth, the soldiers of freedom—the heroes who held high the holy torch and filled the world with light.".....25 cents.
- Address before the New York Unitarian Club.** In "INGERSOLL TO THE CLERGY.".....25 cents.
- ***Blasphemy.** Argument in the Trial of C. B. Reynolds, at Morristown, N. J.....Cloth, 50 cents; paper, 25 cents.
- Bible Idolatry.** (Tract.)..... 3 cents.
- Bible not a Moral Guide.** (Tract.).....5 cents.
- Brooklyn Divines (To the.)** In "INGERSOLL TO THE CLERGY.".....25c
- Creed of Science.** Printed on heavy enameled card; illustrated. A companion piece to LIFE.....50 cents.
- ***Christian Religion (The.)** A Discussion between Col. Ingersoll and Judge Jeremiah S. Black of Pennsylvania.....Cloth, 50 cents; paper, 25 cts.
- ***Crimes Against Criminals.** A Speech delivered before the New York State Bar Association.....10 cents.
- Declaration of Independence and Vision of War.—** Centennial Oration, and the famous passage from Colonel Ingersoll's speech at the Soldiers' Reunion, at Indianapolis in 1876, called "A Vision of War.".....10c.
- Declaration of the Free.** Colonel Ingersoll's last poem. On board, handsomely illustrated, size 12½ x 16, (for mantel, wall or easel).....50 cents.
- ***Devil (The.)** A lecture, (1899.) "If the Devil should die, would God make another?".....25 cents.
- ***Essays and Criticisms.** Contents: Why am I an Agnostic? Huxley and Agnosticism; Ernest Renan; Count Tolstoy and the Kreutzer Sonata.....Cloth, 50 cents; paper, 25 cents.
- ***Foundations of Faith.** This lecture contains Colonel Ingersoll's famous "Creed of Science.".....25 cents.
- Freethought: Is it Destructive or Constructive?** A Symposium by Col. Robert G. Ingersoll and the other famous Freethinkers of this country, England, and Canada. A most successful effort to analyze the philosophy and tendency of Freethought.....25 cents.
- Faith that Surely Wanes.** This is Colonel Ingersoll's commentary on the Fast Day Proclamation of Governor Rollins of New Hampshire, which caused a wide discussion on the vanishing belief and influence of Christianity. (Tract.).....25 cents.

C. P. Farrell, Publisher, New York.
www.libtool.com.cn

- *Field-Ingersoll Discussion.** From the *North American Review*..... Cloth, 50 cents; paper, 25 cents.
- Gods and other Lectures (The.)** Comprising the Gods, Humboldt, Thomas Paine, Individuality, Heretics and Heresies. 252 pages. Cloth, \$1.00; paper.....50 cents.
- Gods (The.)** Forty pages.....15 cents.
- Ghosts and other Lectures (The.)** Including the Ghosts, Liberty of Man, Woman and Child; The Declaration of Independence, About Farming in Illinois, Speech Nominating James G. Blaine for Presidency in 1876, the Grant Banquet, A Tribute to Rev. Alex. Clark, A Vision of War, and a Tribute to Ebon C. Ingersoll. 253 pages. Cloth. \$1.00; paper.....50 cents.
- God in the Constitution.**.....10 cents.
- Also in “Ingersoll to the Clergy.”.....25 cents.
- Gold Speech.** Delivered in the McKinley Campaign of 1896. Only a few left.....15 cents.
- *Great Ingersoll Controversy (The.)** Containing the Famous Christmas Sermon, by Col. R. G. Ingersoll, the indignant protests thereby evoked from ministers of various denominations, and Colonel Ingersoll's replies to the same.....25 cents.
- How to Reform Mankind.** An address delivered before the Militant Church, at the Columbia Theatre, Chicago, April 12, 1896, (with portrait.).....25 cents.
- Hard Times and the Way Out.** Only a few left.....15 cents.
- Individuality.** A Lecture.....25 cents.
- *Ingersoll as He Is.** The truth about the Life, Works, and Character of Col. R. G. Ingersoll, with a refutation of the baseless and false calumnies, libels, and slanders invented and circulated by the clergy of the United States and elsewhere. The work covers the career of Colonel Ingersoll in WAR, POLITICS, AND PRIVATE AND PUBLIC LIFE. It disproves all the statements contained in the slanders of his clerical vilifiers. The Third Edition is supplied with an appendix of 46 pages containing a refutation and disproof of the clerical and other falsehoods inspired by religious malice which have appeared since the previous edition was printed; together with the testimony of his fellow townsmen of Peoria and of his army comrades as to Colonel Ingersoll's character as a citizen and his bearing as a soldier. This work, giving date of Colonel Ingersoll's birth and death, with many incidents in his career, affords more biographical matter than is elsewhere to be obtained. It is fully indexed, enabling the inquirer to turn at once to any subject or the name of any person mentioned in either the body of the book or the appendix. Compiled with the approval and assistance of the family of Colonel Ingersoll. 196 pages. Cloth, 50 cents; paper.....25 cents.
- Indianapolis Clergymen (To the.)** In “Ingersoll to the Clergy.”.....25 cents.
- *Ingersoll-Gladstone Controversy.** Wm. E. Gladstone's article and Colonel Ingersoll's Reply. From the *North American Review*. Cloth, 50 cents; paper.....25 cents.
- Ingersoll Catechised.** An interview, (Tract).....3 cents.
- Ingersoll on McGlynn.** An interview, (Tract).....3 cents.
- Ingersoll Souvenir Spoon.** Heavy sterling silver. Most artistic spoon in the market, only \$2.00. Orange spoons, \$2.50; sugar spoons, \$3.00; gilt bowl.....50 cts. extra.
- Ingersoll Paper Weights.** Glass weight, 2 x 4 inches, containing Photograph of Col. R. G. Ingersoll. Sent by mail or express prepaid for 50 cts.
- *Interviews on Talmage.** Being six interviews with the Famous Orator on Six Sermons by the Rev. T. DeWitt Talmage of Brooklyn, to which is added a Talmagian Catechism. 474 pages.....Cloth, \$1.25; paper, 50 cents.
- *Ingersoll to the Clergy.** His Answers to their Questions and Criticisms. Replies to the Indianapolis and Brooklyn Ministers. Address before the Unitarian Club, Address on Thomas Paine, and a paper on God in the Constitution.....25 cents.

Catalogue of Robert G. Ingersoll's Works.
www.libtool.com.cn

Ingersoll the Man. The Great Orator as he was known to those who lived near to him. By Clarence S. Brown, Colonel Ingersoll's legal associate. (Tract.).....5 cents.

***Is Suicide a Sin?** Colonel Ingersoll's Famous Letters and Replies from eminent men, with "Great Suicides of History" and Schopenhauer's Essay.....25 cents.

Lay Sermon on the Labor Question.....5 cents.

***Liberty in Literature.** Testimonial to Walt Whitman. An address delivered in Philadelphia, Oct. 21, 1890, with portrait of Whitman. Containing also Colonel Ingersoll's address at the Funeral of Walt Whitman, March 30, 1892.....Cloth, 50 cents; paper, 25 cents.

***Liberty for Man, Woman and Child.** Has a fine photographing of the Colonel and both his grandchildren, Eva and Robert; also the *TRIBUTE TO HIS BROTHER*.....25 cents.

Limitations of Toleration. A Discussion between Col. R. G. Ingersoll, the Hon. Frederick R. Coudert, and Ex-Gov. Stewart L. Woodford, before the Nineteenth Century Club.....10 cents.

Lectures in One Volume. Contents: The Gods; Humboldt; Individuality; Thomas Paine; Heretics and Heresies; The Ghosts; The Liberty of Man, Woman and Child; The Centennial Oration, or Declaration of Independence, July 4, 1876. What I Know About Farming in Illinois; Speech at Cincinnati in 1876, nominating James G. Blaine for the Presidency; The Past Rises Before Me, or a Vision of War, an extract from a speech made at the Soldiers' and Sailors' Reunion at Indianapolis, Ind., Sept. 21, 1876; A Tribute to Ebon C. Ingersoll; The Grant Banquet; Crimes Against Criminals; Tribute to the Rev. Alexander Clark; Some Mistakes of Moses; What Must we do to be Saved? Blasphemy, Argument in the trial of C. B. Reynolds; Six Interviews with Robert G. Ingersoll on Six Sermons by the Rev. T. De Witt Talmage, D. D., to which are added a Talmagian Catechism and four Prefaces. Containing a fine steel engraving, with autograph fac-simile of the author. This volume has had the greatest popularity, is beautifully bound in half morocco, mottled edges, 1481 pages, good paper, large type. Octavo, half morocco, \$5.00; cloth, \$3.50; sheep.....\$5.00

Life. A Prose-Poem. This world-famous monograph is without its peer in literature. It is a gem without a flaw. The engraver's and printer's art have blended strength and beauty in their work, faithfully producing the dual portrait, and entwining a wild-rose border about it and the text, making altogether an exquisite work of art, suitable for elegant frame, for parlor, easel or mantel. This elegant panel is printed and lithographed in color, and signed in autograph fac-simile on heavy card board, size 12½ x 16 inches. Price.....50 cts.

Lithograph of R. G. Ingersoll. 22 x 28 inches, heavy plate paper. Life size head and bust; tinted back ground.....50 cents.

Look Backward and a Prophecy (A.) By R. G. Ingersoll. Being the article he wrote for the Twenty-fifth Anniversary Number of the *Truth Seeker*, (1898.) A most brilliant review of the religious changes of the past quarter of a century. (Tract.).....3 cents.

Love. Colonel Ingersoll's beautiful words on Love. Printed on heavy enameled card, illustrated in colors and portraits, companion piece to *Life*, for framing.....50 cents.

***Myth and Miracle.** A Lecture.....25 cents.

***Mistakes of Moses (Some.)** Contents: Some Mistakes of Moses, Free Schools, The Politicians, Man and Woman, The Pentateuch, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday. He Made the Stars Also, Friday, Saturday, Let us Make Man, Sunday, The Necessity for a Good Memory, The Garden, the Fall, Dampness, Bacchus and Babel, Faith in Filth, The Hebrews, The Plagues, The Flight, Confess and Avoid, Inspired Slavery, Marriage, War, Religious Liberty, Conclusion. 270 pages.....25 cents.

Orthodoxy. One of Colonel Ingersoll's greatest lectures.....10 cents.

Opening Speech to the Jury: In the suit of the B. & M. Tel. Co. vs. W. U. Tel. Co., 1886.....15 cents.

C. P. Farrell, Publisher, New York.

www.libtool.com.cn

Proceedings at Civil Rights Meeting in Washington, D. C. Being Speeches of Col. Robert G. Ingersoll and Frederick Douglass protesting against the decision of the Supreme Court that the Civil Rights Act is unconstitutional.....10 cents.

Prose-Poems and Selections. Revised and greatly enlarged. A handsome quarto, containing over 400 pages. Full tree-calf, highest possible finish, \$9.00; full turkey morocco, gilt, exquisitely fine, \$7.50; half morocco, gilt edges, \$5.00; half calf, mottled edges, library style, \$4.50. In cloth, beveled boards, gilt edges, containing steel engraving with autograph fac-simile of the author\$2.50

A Cheaper Edition from Same Plates, good paper, wide margins, cloth.....\$1.50

Patriotic Speeches: Reunion Address and Decoration Day Oration. His address delivered at Elmwood, Ill., September 5, 1895, at the reunion of his old regiment, the Eleventh Illinois Cavalry—also his famous Oration delivered on Decoration Day 1882, before the Grand Army of the Republic, at the Academy of Music, New York. These two classics are published in book form for the first time from revised manuscript, and are the only authorized and correct reprints. It also contains a handsome half-tone portrait of the Colonel and his little grandson, Robert G. Ingersoll-Brown. Printed on good paper, large type, wide margins, in one volume. Cloth 50 cents. Paper..... 25 cents

Photographs of Col. Robt. G. Ingersoll. Perfect in pose! Happy in expression! Faultless in finish! These Pictures almost speak to you audibly. You have only to imagine the musical, sympathetic voice, the fine flashing eye, the glowing countenance, and the whole animated, pulsating form, to see and hear the living man and orator before you. The panel size, in full length portraiture, is particularly suited for framing, and is commended to all the Colonel's admirers as the one eminently fitted for parlor, library or drawing-room. Prices: Panel, $\frac{3}{4}$ figure standing 18 x 24 in., \$5.00. Panel, full figure, sitting in chair, 18 x 24 in., \$5.00. Imperial, $7\frac{1}{2}$ x 18 in., \$1.50. Cabinet, 4 x 6 in.....50 cents.

The Latest Portraits of Colonel Ingersoll, taken by Hollinger, in March, 1897, are without doubt the most wonderful examples of art-photography ever produced. On account of the great skill and infinite detail required to work these examples up to this exquisite degree of perfection they naturally are expensive. Every admirer of this great man who can afford to should secure one of them. The large size $\frac{3}{4}$ face or full profile, 24 x 30 in., \$25.00. The small size $\frac{3}{4}$ face or full profile, 11 x 14 in.....\$5.00

***Rome or Reason.** Discussion between Cardinal Manning and Colonel Ingersoll, to which are added the Articles discussing the Question "Is Divorce Wrong?" by Cardinal Gibbons, Bishop Henry C. Potter, and Colonel Ingersoll. Cloth 50 cents. Paper.....25 cents.

***Superstition.** A Lecture. (1899).....25 cents.

***Shakespeare.** "An Intellectual ocean, whose waves touched all the shores of thought." A lecture with likeness of Shakespeare from the Kesselstadt death mask. Cloth 50 cents. Paper.....25 cents.

***Some Reasons Why.** A Lecture. Contents: Some Reasons Why. Duties to God. Inspiration. God's Experiment with the Jews. Civilized Countries. A comparison of Books. The New Testament. Christ's Mission. Eternal Pain.....25 cents.

Stage and Pulpit. An interview with Colonel Ingersoll upon their Comparative Merit. (Tract.).....3 cents.

The Oath Question. (Tract.).....3 cents.

The Truth. A Lecture.....25 cents.

Truth of History. Comments on the assertion by an evangelist that Colonel Ingersoll had become a Christian, had admitted that Thomas Paine recanted, and that his own children had joined the church. (Tract.).....3 cents.

Thomas Paine. A Lecture.....25 cents

Catalogue of Robert G. Ingersoll's Works.

www.libtool.com.cn

***Thomas Paine's Vindication.** A Reply to the New York *Observer's* attack upon the Author-Hero of the Revolution. A little pamphlet which every admirer of Thomas Paine should have by him for reference..... 15 cents.

Tribute to His Brother, Ebon C. Ingersoll. In the pamphlet, "Liberty of Man, Woman and Child."..... 25 cents.

Tribute to Roscoe Conkling. Printed by the State of New York, on heavy plate paper, wide margins, fine steel engraving with autograph facsimile of Mr. Conkling. Bound in black cloth, gilt-sides; now out of print and very valuable..... 50 cents.

Voltaire. A Lecture. With Portrait of the great French Philosopher and Poet. "Voltaire was the greatest man of his century, and did more to free the human race than any other of the sons of men"..... 25 cents.

Vision of War (A). Magnificently illustrated with thirteen colored lithograph plates, by H. A. Ogden. One of the finest bursts of oratory, one of the sublimest pieces of word painting, one of the noblest prose-poems in all of Ingersoll's works and in all literature, is this "Vision of War." Thousands have read it and been moved to tears or enthusiasm. There is nothing like it in the world; for effectiveness it has no mate. The power of this masterpiece has been greatly augmented, perhaps doubled by the pictures which have been made to illustrate it by Mr. H. A. Ogden and printed with the text of the "Vision." The pictures are colored lithographs, printed upon heavy paper, about ten by twelve inches in size. Of these plates there are thirteen, with two additional leaves upon which the whole of the "Vision" is printed for consecutive reading. One page shows the author in uniform as colonel of the Eleventh Illinois Cavalry in 1862, and again in 1877, when he was at his prime. The artist was chosen by Colonel Ingersoll's publisher for this task because of his facilities for gaining access to the necessary material for the illustration of war scenes, and because of his attainments in this special field. The pictures were seen and approved by Colonel Ingersoll before his death. Next to the beauty of the collection is its cheapness. The whole of the vision, with its grand and pathetic passages, is effectively pictured by the artist. For a gift nothing can surpass in beauty and appropriateness these illuminated plates. The cost of all is not above the worth of each. Sent postpaid, complete.....\$1.00

Why I Am an Agnostic. A Lecture..... 25 cents.

***What Must We Do to Be Saved?** A Lecture. Analyzes the so-called gospels of Matthew, Mark, Luke and John, and devotes a chapter each to the Catholics, Episcopalians, Methodists, Presbyterians, Evangelical Alliance, and answers the question of the Christians as to what he proposes instead of Christianity, the religion of sword and flame..... 25 cents.

***Which Way.** This lecture is a consideration of the difference between the natural and supernatural with some reasons why the former is the better way to go..... 25 cents.

What Is Religion? Colonel Ingersoll's last public address, delivered at the meeting of the Free Religious Association in Boston on June 2, 1899..... 10 cts.

All Books are sent by express or mail prepaid upon receipt of prices named.

Colonel Ingersoll's Note to the Public.

I wish to notify the public that all books and pamphlets purporting to contain my lectures, and not containing the imprint of Mr. O. P. FARRELL as publisher, are spurious, grossly inaccurate, filled with mistakes, horribly printed, and outrageously unjust to me. The publishers of all such are simply literary thieves and pirates, and are obtaining money from the public under false pretences. These wretches have published one lecture under four titles, and several others under two or three. I take this course to warn the public that these publications are fraudulent; the only correct editions being those published by Mr. O. P. FARRELL.

R. G. INGERSOLL.

C. P. FARRELL, Publisher, 117 E. 21st St., N. Y.

New Photographs of
Col. Robt. G. Ingersoll.

JUST TAKEN!

PERFECT IN POSE!

HAPPY IN EXPRESSION!

FAULTLESS IN FINISH!

THESE Pictures almost speak to you audibly. You have only to imagine the musical, sympathetic voice, the fine flashing eye, the glowing countenance, and the whole animated, pulsating form, to see and hear the living man and orator before you.

All who want to see COL. INGERSOLL as he is and stands to-day, will get this photo. The panel size, in full length portraiture, is particularly suited for framing, and is commended to all the Colonel's admirers as the one eminently fitted for parlor, library or drawing-room.

PRICES:

Panel,	-	18 x 24 in.	-	\$5.00
Imperial,	-	7½ x 13 in.	-	1.50
Cabinet,	-	4 x 6 in.	-	.25

Sent to any address, by mail or express, prepaid.

C. P. FARRELL, Publisher,

117 East 21st St., New York.

Gems of Eloquence and Beauty from the Immortal Ingersoll.

A VISION OF WAR.

A DMITTED by all to be the most marvelous piece of patriotic word painting that ever issued from the lips of man. Printed on 15 leaves, (10 x 11 1/2) 13 of which are exquisitely illustrated in colors by the well-known artist, Mr. Harry A. Ogden, whose reputation is unequaled in work of this description. Mr. Ogden was employed by the Government to illustrate the celebrated work on the Historical Uniforms of the U. S. Army, and is at present on the staff of Harper's, Scribner's, and the Century Magazines. The title page is embellished by two handsome portraits of COL. INGERSOLL,—one as the soldier, taken in 1862, as Colonel of the 11th Illinois Cavalry, and the other as the lawyer and orator in 1877. The leaves are fastened together by ribbons in the national colors. Every patriot—every lover of liberty should possess this incomparable production.

Price, postpaid, \$1.00.

LIFE. A Prose Poem by Col. Robert G. Ingersoll.

This world-famous Monograph is without its peer in literature. It is a gem without a flaw.

The engraver's and printer's art have blended strength and beauty in their work, faithfully producing the dual portrait, and entwining a wild rose border about it and the text, making altogether an exquisite work of art, suitable for elegant frame, for parlor, easel or mantel.

Printed and lithographed in color and signed in autograph fac-simile on heavy card board, size 12 1/2 x 16 inches.

Sent by mail, carefully wrapped, on receipt of price, 50c.

"LOVE." A Prose Poem by Col. Robert G. Ingersoll.

Printed upon heavy card paper, with a photograph of the author and his grandchildren and a handsome bunch of flowers in colors. To the selection is appended a fac-simile of the signature of COLONEL INGERSOLL. Liberals will want this picture for framing. It is the work of an artist at photograph and color painting, and the effect is striking and beautiful. Size, 12 x 16. Price, 50 cts.

THE CREED OF SCIENCE.

Generally called "MY RELIGION." Printed on heavy enameled card, illustrated. A companion piece to LIFE. Price, 50 cts.

The DECLARATION OF THE FREE

Col. Ingersoll's last Poem. Same size and style, illustrated. Price, 50c.

Every Liberal ought to have these four framed and hanging on his parlor walls. They are magnificent expressions of Freethought, and will be silent missionaries, yet always teaching. They are printed in such a handsome manner as to ornament any place.

SPECIAL TO PRIVATE BUYERS.

In order that these wonderful gems from the master mind of the nineteenth century may find a place on the walls or tables of every patriotic, liberty-loving American, I have concluded to make a cut-rate on the five prints, viz.:—VISION OF WAR—LOVE—LIFE—THE CREED OF SCIENCE—DECLARATION OF THE FREE—so as to come within the reach of all. They can be had for the paltry sum of \$1.50, express paid when sent to one address. Liberals desiring to get them by the hundred, will be given a very low figure. These prices can be had ONLY by applying DIRECT to the publisher. Remember you are getting \$3.00 worth for \$1.50.

Address, C. P. FARRELL, 117 East 21st St., N. Y. City.

A NEW EDITION. JUST PUBLISHED.

A Short History of the Bible:

Being an account of the formation and development of the Canon, by

BRONSON C. KEELER.

Price, Cloth, 75 cents. Paper, 50 cents. Postage paid.

This Book should be read by every Clergyman, Layman, Scholar and Liberal.

Everybody knows that the contents of the Bible were voted upon by different councils of the church; that books were included in the early centuries which are no longer regarded as a part of the sacred scriptures; that many of the books now in the Bible were for centuries not a part of it; and that bishops, and synods, and councils labored long to agree upon what books should be considered canonical and what should not be. But the general knowledge has been indefinite. Few people are aware, for example, that the book of Revelation was for 1500 years rejected by the Eastern branch of the Christian church, and was voted into the Bible by that branch at a council held in Jerusalem in 1672. The aim of Mr. Keeler's book is to go over this entire ground from the beginning of the Christian era to the present time, and to furnish all the facts concerning the formation and development of the Bible canon, giving briefly but succinctly the views of each bishop and the action of every council having any influence on the contents of the sacred volume. Mr. Keeler does not deal in opinions. He simply states facts, and gives a reference for each fact to the early Christian fathers and other recognized authorities; and it is believed that his book throws much light on a hitherto obscured department of religious history.

"I have read Mr. Keeler's book with great pleasure and profit. He gives, in my opinion, a clear and intelligent account of the growth of the bible. He shows why books were received as inspired, and why they were rejected. He does not deal in opinions, but in facts; and for the correctness of his facts, he refers to the highest authorities. He has shown exactly who the Christian fathers were, and the weight that their evidence is entitled to. The first centuries of Christianity are filled with shadow; most histories of that period simply tell us what did not happen, and even the statements of what did not happen are contradictory. The falsehoods do not agree. Mr. Keeler must have spent a great deal of time in the examination of a vast number of volumes, and the amount of information contained in his book could not be collected in years. Every minister, every college professor, and every man who really wishes to know something about the origin and growth of the bible, should read this book."—R. G. INGERSOLL.

TO C. P. FARRELL, Esq.—Often have I wished that some writer, who had a learned head and a lucid pen, would give us a brief yet comprehensive account of the Books of the Bible—how we came by them—when the world first got them—and what were the qualities, characters and pretensions of those who first imposed them upon credulous and superstitious believers. Often have I wished that if such a book were written, some publisher, having the ear of the Free Thought world, would issue it. Great was my surprise and pleasure when I saw at Washington, Bronson Keeler's "*Short History of the Bible*" we have, and the marvellous number of suppressed Scriptures—all Christian, all curious, all instructive—most of them wiser, all equally authentic, and all believed to be equally divine by those who had better means of judging them than we have. All who are Christian—all who think they ought to be—and all who are not—should read Mr. Keeler's "*Short*," masterly and wise book.—GEORGE ACOB HOLYOAKE, *London, England.*

The New York Sun. (Sunday, Oct. 9, 1881, in a review occupying four and one-quarter columns). "On what questionable ground some writings were admitted and others excluded from the Christian scriptures is briefly and effectively set forth in a monograph entitled '*A Short History of the Bible*,' by Bronson C. Keeler. The writer of this striking essay has not drawn his materials from the German rationalists, but bases his assertions on the statements of Christian historians and commentators, especially on the writings of the Christian fathers and the ecclesiastical history of Eusebius, and, among modern works, on Milman's '*History of Latin Christianity*,' and the disquisitions of Westcott, Davidson, Lange and Schaff. We trust that no one who has been led by the appearance of the revised version to ponder the origin and history of the sacred writings will fail to examine for himself Mr. Keeler's admirable monograph."

Address C. P. FARRELL, Publisher, New York.

Just Out, New Edition.

Prose--Poems and Selections,

BY

ROBERT G. INGERSOLL.

Sixth Edition, Revised and greatly Enlarged. A Handsome Quarto containing over 400 pages.

THIS is beyond question, the most elegant volume in Liberal literature. Its mechanical finish is worthy of its intrinsic excellence. No expense has been spared to make if the thing of beauty it is. The type is large and clear, the paper heavy, highly calendered and richly tinted, the press work faultless, and the binding as perfect as the best materials and skill can make it. The book is in every way an artistic triumph.

As to the contents, it is enough to say that they include some of the choicest utterances of the greatest writer on the topics treated that has ever lived.

You will have in this book of selections many bright samples of his lofty thought, his matchless eloquence, his wonderful imagery, and his epigrammatic and poetic power.

The book is designed for, and will be accepted by, admiring friends as a rare personal souvenir. To help it serve this purpose, a fine steel portrait, with autograph fac-simile, has been prepared especially for it. In the more elegant styles of binding it is eminently suited for presentation purposes, for any season or occasion.

CONTENTS.

Oration delivered on Decoration Day, 1882, before the Grand Army of the Republic, at the Academy of Music, N. Y.	The Unpardonable Sin.	The Sacred Leaves,
A Tribute to Ebon C. Ingersoll.	The Olive Branch,	Origin and Destiny.
A Vision of War.	Free Will.	What is Poetry?
At a Child's Grave,	The King of Death,	My Position,
Benefits for Injuries,	The Wise Man,	Good and Bad,
We Build,	Bruno.	The Miscellaneous Book,
A Tribute to the Rev. Alexander Clark,	The Real Bible,	Orthodox Detrage,
The Grant Banquet,	Benedict Spinoza,	The Abolitionists,
Apostrophe to Liberty.	The First Doubt,	Providence,
A Tribute to John G. Mills,	The Infinite Horror,	The Man Christ,
The Warp and-woof,	Nature,	The Divine Salutation.
The Cemetery,	Night and Morning,	At the Grave of Benjamin W Parker,
Originality,	The Conflict,	Fashion and Beauty.
Then and Now,	Death of the Aged,	Apostrophe to Science,
Voltaire,	The Charity of Extravagance	Elizur Wright.
Lazarus.	Woman,	The Imagination,
What is Worship?	The Sacred Myths,	No Respector of Persons,
Humboldt,	Inspiration,	Abraham Lincoln,
God Silent,	Religious Liberty of the Bible.	The Meaning of Law,
Alcohol,	The Laugh of a child,	What is Blasphemy?
Auguste Comte,	The Christian Night,	Some Reasons,
The Infidel,	My Choice,	Selections,
Napoleon,	Why?	Love,
The Republic,	Imagination.	The Birthplace of Burns.
Dawn of the New Day,	Science,	Mrs. Ida Whiting Knowles,
Reformers,	If Death Ends All,	Art and Morality,
The Garden of Eder.	Here and There,	Tribute to Roscoe Conklin,
Thomas Paine,	How Long?	Tribute to Rich'd H. Whiting,
The Age of Faith,	Liberty,	Mrs. Mary H. Fiske,
Origin of Religion,	Jehovah and Brahma,	Horace Seaver,
	The Free Soul,	The Music of Wagner,
	Life,	Leaves of Grass,
	Tribute to Henry Ward	Vivisection,
	Beecher,	The Republic of Mediocrity,
	Tribute to Courtlandt Palmer	A Tribute to Walt Whitman.
	The Brain,	

In Cloth, beveled boards, gilt edges, - - -	\$2.50
In Half Morocco, gilt edges, - - -	5.00
In Half Calf, mottled edges, library style, - - -	4.50
In Full Turkey Morocco, gilt, exquisitely fine, - - -	7.50
In Full Tree-Calf, highest possible finish, - - -	9.00

Send to any address, by express, prepaid, or mail, post free, on receipt of price

—A cheaper edition from same plates, good paper, wide margins, cloth, \$1.50.—

www.libtool.com.cn

www.libtool.com.cn

2008. 8. 1

2008. 8. 1

2008. 8. 1

www.libtool.com.cn



3 2044 024 508

This book should be returned to the Library on or before the last date stamped below.

A fine of five cents a day is incurred by retaining it beyond the specified time.

Please return promptly.

FEB 26 1930

~~MAR 26 1980~~

~~DUE JUN 23 '38~~

~~APR 14 1939~~

~~JUN 1 1939~~

~~DUE JUN 16 '35~~

~~DUE FEB 12 '41~~

WIDENER

JUN 2 6 1994

CANCELLED

Catalogue of Robert G. Ingersoll's Works.
www.libtool.com.cn

Ingersoll the Man. The Great Orator as he was known to those who lived near to him. By Clarence S. Brown, Colonel Ingersoll's legal associate. (Tract.).....5 cents.

***Is Suicide a Sin?** Colonel Ingersoll's Famous Letters and Replies from eminent men, with "Great Suicides of History" and Schopenhauer's Essay.....25 cents.

Lay Sermon on the Labor Question.....5 cents.

***Liberty in Literature.** Testimonial to Walt Whitman. An address delivered in Philadelphia, Oct. 21, 1890, with portrait of Whitman. Containing also Colonel Ingersoll's address at the Funeral of Walt Whitman, March 30, 1892.....Cloth, 50 cents; paper, 25 cents.

***Liberty for Man, Woman and Child.** Has a fine photo-engraving of the Colonel and both his grandchildren, Eva and Robert; also the *TRIBUTE TO HIS BROTHER*.....25 cents.

Limitations of Toleration. A Discussion between Col. R. G. Ingersoll, the Hon. Frederick R. Coudert, and Ex-Gov. Stewart L. Woodford, before the Nineteenth Century Club.....10 cents.

Lectures in One Volume. Contents: The Gods; Humboldt; Individuality; Thomas Paine; Heretics and Heresies; The Ghosts; The Liberty of Man, Woman and Child; The Centennial Oration or Declaration of Independence, July 4, 1876. What I Know About Farming in Illinois; Speech at Cincinnati in 1876, nominating James G. Blaine for the Presidency; The Past Rises Before Me, or a Vision of War, an extract from a Speech made at the Soldiers' and Sailors' Reunion at Indianapolis, Ind., Sept. 21, 1876; A Tribute to Ebon C. Ingersoll; The Grant Banquet; Crimes Against Criminals; Tribute to the Rev. Alexander Clark; Some Mistakes of Moses; What Must we do to be Saved? Blasphemy, Argument in the trial of C. B. Reynolds; Six Interviews with Robert G. Ingersoll on Six Sermons by the Rev. T. DeWitt Talmage, D. D., to which are added a Talmagian Catechism and four Prefaces. Containing a fine steel engraving, with autograph fac-simile of the author. This volume has had the greatest popularity, is beautifully bound in half morocco, mottled edges, 1481 pages, good paper, large type. Octavo, half morocco, \$5.00; cloth, \$3.50; sheep.....\$5.00

Life. A Prose-Poem. This world-famous monograph is without its peer in literature. It is a gem without a flaw. The engraver's and printer's art have blended strength and beauty in their work, faithfully producing the dual portrait, and entwining a wild-rose border about it and the text, making altogether an exquisite work of art, suitable for elegant frame, for parlor, easel or mantel. This elegant panel is printed and lithographed in color, and signed in autograph fac-simile on heavy card board, size 12½ x 16 inches. Price.....50 cts.

Lithograph of R. G. Ingersoll. 22 x 28 inches, heavy plate paper. Life size head and bust; tinted back ground.....50 cents.

Look Backward and a Prophecy (A.) By R. G. Ingersoll. Being the article he wrote for the Twenty-fifth Anniversary Number of the *Truth Seeker*, (1898). A most brilliant review of the religious changes of the past quarter of a century. (Tract.).....3 cents.

Love. Colonel Ingersoll's beautiful words on Love. Printed on heavy enameled card, illustrated in colors and portraits, companion piece to *Life*, for framing.....50 cents.

***Myth and Miracle.** A Lecture.....25 cents.

***Mistakes of Moses (Some.)** Contents: Some Mistakes of Moses, Free Schools, The Politicians, Man and Woman, The Pentateuch, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday. He Made the Stars Also, Friday, Saturday, Let us Make Man, Sunday, The Necessity for a Good Memory, The Garden, the Fall, Dampness, Bacchus and Babel, Faith in Filth, The Hebrews, The Plagues, The Flight, Confess and Avoid, Inspired Slavery, Marriage, War, Religious Liberty, Conclusion. 270 pages.....25 cents.

Orthodoxy. One of Colonel Ingersoll's greatest lectures.....10 cents.

Opening Speech to the Jury: In the suit of the B. & M. Tel. Co. vs. W. U. Tel. Co., 1886.....15 cents.